GENDER AND ETHNIC WAGE GAPS IN LATIN AMERICA AT THE TURN OF THE CENTURY

Juan Pablo Atal, Hugo Ñopo and Natalia Winder[*]
Inter-American Development Bank

Abstract

This paper presents an overview of gender and ethnic wage gaps in the 18 Latin American countries. To formally asses the extent to which these differences correspond to differences in characteristics, the wage gaps are decomposed using a matching comparisons methodology as a non-parametric alternative to the Blinder-Oaxaca (BO) decomposition. The methodology pursued emphasizes the role of the differences in the supports of the distributions of observable characteristics. The failure to recognize those differences has been found to upwardly bias the estimates of unexplained differences in pay under the traditional BO setup.

After controlling for different sets of observable characteristics, we find that men earn between 9% and 27% more than the average female, with high cross-country heterogeneity. The unexplained pay gap is higher among the older, informal, self-employed and those workers in small firms. Ethnic wage differences are found to be larger than those along the gender dimension (between 25% and 40% of average minorities' wages). Educational attainment differentials play an important role at explaining the gap. In contrast with the gender dimension, higher ethnic wage gaps are found among males, single-income generators at home, in rural areas and full-time workers. An important share of the ethnic wage gap is due minorities' failure to reach high-paid positions.

Keywords: gender, ethnicity, wage gaps, Latin America, matching.
JEL codes: C14, D31, J16, O54

1. Introduction

Following a period of sustained economic growth by the turn of last century and the beginning of the new one, Latin America is still challenged with high inequality and lower well-being indicators among certain sectors of the population. Afro-descendants, indigenous peoples and women are often at the lowest economic percentiles of income distributions, facing barriers to access sustainable income generating opportunities (Paes de Barros et al., 2009).

Gender and ethnic gaps in wealth and income are only some of the outcomes of a series of other disparities that occur at different markets and within households. The most salient of these can be found for instance in education, where not only attainment matters, but also quality. While there have been important advances towards gender parity on the former (Duryea et al., 2007), on the latter there is some evidence that differences have been increasing for recent cohorts (Calónico and Ñopo, 2007). Gender and ethnic differences exist also in labor market participation, unemployment and job turnover. These, voluntarily or involuntarily, reduce the possibilities of on-the-job human capital accumulation for individuals. Last, but not least, intra-household arrangements are likely to be unfavorable to women. Budget constrained parents have to decide, on the one hand, to invest lower in their children's schooling, and on the other hand, on a division of labor that in general reduces the possibilities of females' labor force participation. All these disparities lead to differences in income generation capabilities, the outcome that has generated the largest share of analytical research.

This paper focuses on the final outcome, wages, providing both a comprehensive summary of the literature developed to date on gender and ethnic wage gaps in the region and a contemporary measure of those disparities. The measure presented in this paper is comparable across 18 Latin American countries and contains an improvement on the methodological limitations of the prevailing empirical approach.

After this introduction, Section 2 devotes to a comprehensive literature review. Section 3 presents the main results, starting with a description of the data sources, some basic statistics and a brief account of the empirical methodology in order to provide next the estimates of gender and ethnic wage gap decompositions. Section 4 concludes.

2. The Literature on Gender and Ethnic Gaps

With cross-country heterogeneity, the region has recently experienced a period of high growth in GDP rates, an expansion of labor force participation, and some increase in real wages in the formal sector (ILO, 2007). However, unemployment and underemployment rates remain high and the quality of jobs has diminished. More workers are found to have no access to health and pension benefits, turnover rates have increased and temporary contracts have spread in the formal sector (Arias et al., 2005). While there are mixed indications on whether they are decreasing overtime, authors agree that gender and ethnic wage gaps still remain.

This section, dedicated to review the empirical literature, with an emphasis on wage gaps, is divided into two parts: one devoted to the gender perspective and the other to the ethnic one (including those studies exploring the interplay between gender and ethnic differentials). A comprehensive review of the whole literature produced for the region up to date on those topics is a daunting task. As a way of organizing the information, this section focuses on reviewing only those pieces of the literature providing a multi-country approach. Significant country-specific contributions to the literature have been summarized in the Annex (Table A3).

Gender wage gaps: beyond human capital accumulation

Since the mid 80's the region has seen a stable increase of female labor force participation and currently, women account for approximately 52% of the region's labor force.[1] The evidence suggests that women's insertion into the labor market has been facilitated as a result of the region's economic growth, trade liberalization, rapid urbanization and changes in fertility patterns. (Psachapoulos and Tzannatos, 1992; Edwards and Roberts, 1993). Such increase in female labor participation has been accompanied by a slow but steady rise in relative wages for nearly two decades, allowing women in most countries to contribute around one third of households' income (Duryea et al., 2004). However, in many countries in the region women are more likely to hold low-paid occupations (Marquez and Prada, 2007), and gender wage gaps, as this paper will show, remain substantial.

As detailed in Table A3 in the Annex, the region's gender wage differentials have evolved heterogeneously. While up to the late 50's gaps Latin America were smaller than in other regions of the world, the situation reversed from 1960 onwards (Frankema, 2008). Nowadays, the World Economic Forum ranks the region as the 3rd most unequal region (among 9) in Economic Participation and Opportunity of women in relation to men (Hausman et al. 2008).[2]

Several authors have attempted to explain the sources of gender wage differentials in the region, exploring issues such as differences in human capital endowments, individual characteristics (see Table A3 in the Annex); regulation (Lim, 2002; Madrigal, 2004; Cruces and Galiani, 2007; Urdinola, 2006) and occupational segregation (Deutsch et al., 2004; Tenjo, 2006), among others. The literature has also attempted to relate gender wage gaps to differences in income generating opportunities available in urban and rural areas. However, no clear link can be found (Hertz et al, 2008).

By the middle of the 2000 decade most Latin American countries have closed the education attainment gender gap (Duryea et al., 2007; Hausman, 2008). Moreover, Hertz

---

[1] From these, around 10% are unemployed; almost 10% work in the agriculture sector, 14% in industry and 76% in the service sectors. The latter is significantly higher than in other regions of the world (OIT, 2007).

[2] This ranking is based on an index that encompasses other variables beyond wage disparities. The index also includes differences in labor participation and access to certain type of occupations as legislators, senior officials and managers and professional and technical workers. For more details, see Hausman et al (2008).

et al. (2008) establish that nowadays women are found to have higher educational attainments than their male counterparts. Therefore if educational attainment were equally rewarded in the labor market, women should be found to earn more than males. However, this is clearly not the case. In an analysis of fifteen countries in the region, Psacharopoulos and Tzannatos (1992) showed that human capital accounted for one-third of the wage differential leaving a large portion of the wage gaps unexplained.

Still, some empirical research has been able to provide interesting insights about the linkages between wage differentials and the differences in types of jobs men and women get. For instance, the sector – private or public – may influence the size of the differential. A review of 13 countries in Latin America found that women are paid less than men in both sectors, but the gap seemed to be, on average, larger in the private one (Panizza and Qiang, 2009). Linked to this private-public sorting, the notion of occupational segregation has been thoroughly analyzed. It refers to the overrepresentation or underrepresentation of a group – women, men, youth, or ethnic groups – in a specific activity, and its linkage with wage differentials in the region. Most studies agree that, in an effort to manage their housework and childcare responsibilities, women may permanently or temporarily withdraw form the labor market, diminishing their work experience, choose occupations with flexible or relatively less working hours (Tenjo 2006), or invest less in education or on-the-job training (Terrel 1992). As a result, women would be mostly concentrated in low-paid jobs or face higher barriers when attempting to reach higher level (better paid) positions.

Nevertheless, this may only explain part of the wage gap in the region. For instance, in Costa Rica, Ecuador and Uruguay, high and persistent levels of occupation segregation have been found to explain only a small portion of earnings differentials (Deutsch et al (2004). Moreover, a comparative study between Brazil and Mexico showed that despite higher levels of gender occupational segregation in Mexico, gender wage gaps were wider in Brazil (Salas and Leite, 2007).

Currently, women have an important presence in the region's informal sector and some authors have argued that this fact may provide a potential explanation for wage disparities. A contributing fact to this argument has been that gender wage gaps are larger in the informal sector than in the formal one. Plausible explanations include the small impact of education on wages in the informal sector, contrasting with the larger effect of experience, where for the most part, women have a disadvantage over men (Freije, 2009). Furthermore, looking closely at the region's self-employed, it seems that although there might be no real difference self-employed rates among males and females, there are considerable differences in the quality of self-employment, measured in terms of average earnings, conditions of work and income security (Barrientos, 2002).

Additional pieces of literature have discussed the role of regulation, such as maternity laws, gender quotas and employer child care as important drivers for wage gaps. Created to protect and provide flexibility for women in certain occupations, certain labor legislation such as maternity leave and pregnancy protection laws increase women's non-wage labor costs and therefore may be the cause wage disparities. However, the empirical

evidence on this respect is not clear (Urdinola and Wodon, 2006). Other policies such as access to affordable childcare, as well as programs to prevent domestic violence are found to be positively correlated with increases in both female labor force participation and earnings (Deutsch et al., 2004). The discussion has also stressed that differentials may respond to women's roles in society which, regardless of their skill levels and/or potential, led them to choose careers in low productive sectors, and limited hours-low-skilled occupations (Arraigada, 1997; Tenjo, 2006; Contreras and Plaza, 2004).

Ethnic wage gaps: access and quality of education

Although gender wage gaps have been subject of a series of analytical work, the study of ethnic wage gaps has been somewhat constrained. Part of the explanation includes the limitation of data availability especially in household surveys and national census. Currently, only nine countries in Latin America have integrated an "ethnic" question in their national censuses.[3] Likewise, another important constraint is the significant number of individuals belonging to ethnic minorities who have not been registered and/or lack an identity document. Despite these constraints, important analytical efforts have been developed.

According to national statistics there are approximately between 28 and 34 million indigenous peoples in the region; roughly 10% of the population (Patrinos and Hall, 2005). In all countries, these groups are disproportionately represented among the poor and extreme poor; a situation which has not changed significantly over time. Since the 1990's, despite decreasing poverty rates in most countries in the region, the poverty gap between indigenous and non-indigenous groups either reduced at a significantly slower pace, in comparison to the rest of the population, or increased, like the case of Bolivia. (Psacharopoulos and Patrinos, 1994; Jimenez et al., 2005).

On average, between 63% and 69% of the indigenous population are economically active, overrepresented in the agriculture sector and among the self-employed. Despite increasing levels of labor force participation over time, their salaries are in most countries, significantly lower than their non-indigenous peers. In the last decade this gap has been narrowing, but remains significantly high for countries such as Bolivia, Brazil, Guatemala and Chile. (ILO, 2007).

Attempts to explain ethnic gaps have analyzed differences in human capital, especially education, but also differences in other individual characteristics such as age, migratory condition, and the interplay of ethnicity and gender. Despite improvements in educational achievements, indigenous groups are still found to earn significantly less than their non-indigenous counterparts (Psacharapoulos, 1992b). Although for some countries the fact that indigenous peoples present the lowest education indicators might explain to some degree persistent ethnic wage differentials; in others, only half of the wage gap is explained by productive characteristics (Patrinos, 2000). Authors have gone beyond school accumulation, to explore other indicators such as quality of education - measured in terms of certification of teachers, teacher/pupil ratio, pertinence of materials - (Rangel,

---

[3] These questions usually refer to mother tongue or self-ascription to an ethnic group.

2004), as potential drivers of ethnic wage differentials in the region. Similarly, analysis has been carried out considering differences in returns by levels of education. Still, most of the pay differential remains unexplained (Patrinos, 2006).

Sector employment and differences in occupations between indigenous and non-indigenous groups have been identified as barriers to the labor market, where indigenous groups, tend to be concentrated in low-paid sector, as well as low-skilled, low-paid jobs (Rangel, 2004). One potential explanation could be given by the impact of social networks, which especially among migrants may have a significant influence on the economic sector, type and even quality of the job indigenous groups get. However, this is subject to a significant degree of heterogeneity among countries, and between ethnic groups in a country (Fazio, 2007; Patrinos, 2006).

The impact of dominant language proficiency, as well as regional differences (urban/rural, north/south) are among the other potential issues discussed in the literature, mainly in country case studies (Chiswick and Patrinos, 2000 and Contreras and Galvan, 2003). There are still important issues that remain unexplored, as for instance the significant source of rural income that comes from unsalaried labor among non-indigenous people. Also, further regional analysis is pending regarding indigenous peoples in urban areas, their occupations, migratory patterns, sources of income and social capital dynamics.

For many topics the analysis has been constrained to country case studies, limiting their conclusions to a specific labor market and wage structure. However, most authors agree that albeit that additional research is needed to explain the up-to-date "unexplained" portion of ethnic wages differential, human capital endowments are still a critical component. In other words, important progress could be done if interventions were concentrated in improving human capital accumulation among indigenous peoples, while exploring additional complementary policies to increase their return on these investments (Patrinos, 2006).

The interplay of ethnicity and gender is of crucial importance as one of the most recurrent stylized facts (or conventional wisdom) dictates that indigenous women fare the worst in the labor markets. Statistics however are far from reliable as the discrepancies from different sources are enormous. Indigenous women represent between 20% and 35% of the population in countries like Bolivia and Guatemala, and between 0.2% and 5% in Brazil, Ecuador and Panama. They represent, however, around 25-50% of the economically active population, not including unpaid work (Calla, 2007). Despite improvements in female labor force participation and earnings, indigenous women persistently remain at the bottom tier, showing the highest levels of poverty and exclusion (Piras, 2004). For instance, in spite of their important achievements in education and occupational attainment, afro-Brazilian women continue to earn significant less than men, even within their ethnic group (Lovell, 1999). Contreras and Galvan (2003) also state that in Bolivia, being indigenous and female is considered the most unfavorable condition when entering the labor market and securing wages.

Next the paper analyzes contemporary and comparable measures of gender and ethnic wage gaps in the region, in an attempt to complement the existing literature with contemporary, comprehensive, and methodologically refined measures both along the gender and ethnic dimension.

3. A Harmonized Measure of Wage Gap Decompositions for the Region

This section presents non-parametric wage gap decompositions in order to asses the extent to which observed gender and ethnic wage gaps correspond to gaps in individuals' demographic and job related characteristics. The data sources are the National Household Surveys of the 18 countries that compose the region, circa 2005: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru, Paraguay, El Salvador, Uruguay and Venezuela.[4] Every data set is representative at the national level with the exception of Argentina and Uruguay for which have only urban representativeness. Each national survey is being considered with its own sampling expansion factors such that, when expanded, each data set represents the working population of its country. The analysis that follows is focused on wage earners between 18 and 65. Pooling all data sources leads to a single data set that is representative for most of Latin America's working population.[5] The data on wages is obtained from the individuals' primary occupation only. Hourly wages are normalized to 2002 dollars using purchasing power parity (PPP) exchange rates and nominal GDP deflators.

As the gender variable is available in all national data sources, the gender wage gap analysis is performed for the whole sample of countries listed above. However, the information on ethnicity is not as widespread. It is possible to use it only for 7 of the 18 countries: Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, Paraguay and Peru. These countries represent almost 55% of Latin American population. Along the ethnic dimension, individuals are classified as either minority or non minority, depending on the specific ethnic groups that each survey considers. Ethnic "minorities" are defined by individuals' self assessment of being part of an indigenous group in Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala and Peru, by the skin color in the case of Brazil and by the mother tongue in Paraguay.[6] For this reason, cross-country comparisons of ethnic wage gaps should be taken with a grain of salt. The details of this classification are presented in table A2 of the Annex.

---

[4] The precise description of each data source with the exact year chosen for the analysis is shown in Table A1 of the Appendix.

[5] It is also excluded from the data set all the observations for which hourly income or at least one of the characteristics used below as control variables was missing. Observations with outliers on hourly income are also excluded. Also, in the case of Guatemala, the data is restricted to people with full time jobs (i.e. working more than 35 hours a week).

[6] For simplicity, we use the term "minorities" to avoid confusion with most of the literature, but we recognize in some countries these ethnic groups represent majorities within their countries' populations.

3.1. Descriptive statistics: gender and ethnic disparities on wages, but on observable demographics and job characteristics as well.

On average men are found to earn 10% more that women in the region, while non-minorities earn a striking 37.8% more than minorities. These and other results are provided in Table 1 which provides average wages along the gender and ethnic dimension for the whole sample of workers between 18 and 65 years old reporting positive labor earnings. The first two columns are computed as multiples of the average females' wages the other two columns are computed as multiples of the average minorities' wages. Men earn more than women at any age, for each level of education, in any type of employment (self-employed, employers and employees) and in both large and small firms. Only female in rural areas are found to earn on average the same as their male counterparts. Wider disparities are found along the ethnic dimension, but the results are qualitatively similar except for the fact that ethnic wage gaps do appear both in urban and rural areas.

Table 1: Gender and Ethnic Relative Wages

	(Base: Average female wage = 100)		(Base: Average minority wage = 100)	
	Male	Female	Non Minority	Minority
All	110.00	100.00	137.78	100.00
Age				
18 to 24	79.62	74.94	98.44	77.86
25 to 34	106.57	100.90	133.62	98.18
35 to 44	122.45	108.72	149.45	109.45
45 to 54	127.15	111.30	159.80	113.49
55 to 65	113.02	97.84	151.24	100.08
Education				
None or Primary Incomplete	73.06	71.08	108.72	74.67
Primary Complete or Secondary Incomplete	95.27	75.98	113.36	90.79
Secondary Complete or Tertiary Incomplete	141.67	118.10	155.67	127.12
Tertiary Complete	201.99	178.94	223.68	160.16
Presence of children (<12) in the household				
No	117.03	105.04	144.65	104.40
Yes	102.20	95.92	130.73	96.32
Presence of other household member with labor income				
No	108.78	101.95	140.48	96.32
Yes	110.81	99.40	136.67	101.90
Urban				
No	91.34	92.45	92.47	67.96
Yes	116.76	101.60	145.73	108.13
Type of Employment				
Employer	195.34	180.11	264.33	215.35
Self - Employed	95.94	88.81	134.96	95.12
Employee	109.59	101.53	130.84	97.81
Part time				
No	105.04	92.22	133.00	94.31
Yes	158.32	123.55	169.18	132.72
Formality				
No	95.81	86.82	113.45	83.90
Yes	128.38	116.70	160.03	120.98
Small fim				
No	115.90	113.72	152.10	113.79
Yes	85.28	78.13	122.92	87.60
Occupation				
Professionals and technicians	208.68	182.18	236.98	180.33
Directors and upper management	212.50	176.66	271.72	210.97
Administrative personnel and intermediary level	134.02	107.66	136.48	114.02
Merchants and sellers	106.60	93.28	117.47	102.22
Service workers	93.43	70.87	94.99	79.85
Agricultural workers and similar	63.41	80.37	85.29	57.69
Non-agricultural blue-collars, drivers and similar	95.59	70.41	126.07	102.14
Armed forces	105.58	116.23	409.12	260.14
Occupations not classified above	110.52	89.93	170.26	161.39
Economic Sector				
Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing	59.13	54.03	87.64	58.31
Mining and Quarrying	144.27	175.90	195.63	144.83
Manufacturing	115.51	85.42	136.94	103.91
Electricity, Gas and Water supply	153.89	165.60	178.43	151.34
Construction	97.33	109.31	124.16	94.51
Wholesale and Ratail Trade and Hotels and Restorants	106.62	88.84	132.34	102.71
Transport, Storage	115.73	125.02	158.21	129.27
Financing Insurance, Real Estate and Business Services	150.50	149.12	196.78	143.38
Community, Social and Personal Services	153.91	110.13	153.21	112.32

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

The wage disparities reported in Table 1 may reflect, to some extent, the differences in observable individuals' characteristics. These are shown in Table 2. As already pointed out by Hertz et al. (2008), working women in the region have achieved higher schooling than men. On the other hand, women are underrepresented -in relation to men- in employer positions and overrepresented in some other occupations like service workers, merchants, administrative personnel, and professionals. Noticeable economic sector

differences also appear. Construction and agriculture are clearly male-dominated sector while trade, hotels and restaurants is female-dominated. Noticeable gender differences are also present in working hours as almost one-quarter of working women are part-time workers while less than one-tenth of male workers do so.[7]

Ethnic minorities show significantly lower educational attainments than non-minorities. As in the gender case, some disparities in type of employment and occupation also appear along the ethnic dimension. On the other hand, ethnic differences in economic sectors are substantially smaller that along the gender divide. Also in opposition to the gender case, there are important ethnic differences in firm size: While almost half of non-minorities are employed in with five or less workers, almost three-quarters of minorities are employed in these firms.

---

[7] Part-time workers are considered those who work 30 hours or less per week at their main occupation.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

	Men	Women	Non Minority	Minority
Age	37.05	36.59	37.04	36.37
Education (%)				
None or Primary Incomplete	20.90	15.89	14.93	24.82
Primary Complete or Secondary Incomplete	44.51	37.60	38.65	42.99
Secondary Complete or Tertiary Incomplete	29.08	37.96	38.39	27.61
Tertiary Complete	5.51	8.56	8.04	4.59
Presence of children in the household (%)	47.41	55.26	49.34	54.49
Presence of other member with labor income (%)	60.18	76.41	70.81	65.96
Urban (%)	73.40	82.53	85.08	79.76
Type of Employment (%)				
Employer	4.93	2.30	4.46	2.51
Self - Employed	27.96	26.22	24.06	28.19
Employee	67.11	71.49	71.48	69.30
Part time (%)	9.30	24.84	13.21	14.81
Formality (%)	43.56	44.11	52.23	43.42
Small fim (%)	52.39	54.22	49.23	70.08
Occupation (%)				
Professionals and technicians	9.62	15.10	13.62	8.48
Directors and upper management	3.32	2.76	4.75	2.27
Administrative personnel and intermediary level	5.02	10.52	9.61	6.48
Merchants and sellers	9.16	17.19	12.39	11.40
Service workers	11.84	32.52	18.96	24.30
Agricultural workers and similar	15.55	7.05	11.96	16.69
Non-agricultural blue-collars, drivers and similar	32.04	9.41	27.59	29.00
Armed forces	0.77	0.08	0.01	0.00
Occupations not classified above	12.67	5.39	1.11	1.38
Economic Sector (%)				
Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing	18.07	3.78	12.21	16.92
Mining and Quarrying	0.95	0.14	0.78	0.70
Manufacturing	16.70	15.31	16.83	14.49
Electricity, Gas and Water supply	0.85	0.22	0.64	0.50
Construction	12.08	0.79	7.30	9.63
Wholesale and Ratail Trade and Hotels and Restorants	20.96	27.86	23.98	21.89
Transport, Storage	8.97	1.94	6.56	5.35
Financing Insurance, Real Estate and Business Services	3.10	3.10	3.72	1.70
Community, Social and Personal Services	18.32	46.86	27.99	28.83

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

Having shown the differences in observable human capital and job characteristics, and their potential linkages to wage differentials, the next step in this section is to formally asses the role of these differences in wage gaps. The next sub-section briefly describes the methodological approach. Then, results for the gender wage gap analysis are presented, followed by the analysis on the ethnic dimension.

3.2. Empirical Methodology

In the same spirit of the Blinder-Oaxaca (BO) decomposition (Blinder, 1973; Oaxaca, 1973), the aim of this methodology is to explore the extent to which gender and ethnic wage gaps can be attributed to differences in observable characteristics. Even though the BO decomposition is the prevailing approach used in the empirical work on wage gaps, the literature has extensively documented its limitations and drawbacks. Among them it is worth to cite three of them. First, the relationship governing characteristics and wages is not necessarily linear and recent data has been found to violate key implications of the Mincerian model, the key input of the BO decompositions (Hansen and Wahlberg, 1999 and Heckman, Lochner and Todd 2001). Second, BO is informative only about the average wage gap decomposition, providing no clues about the distribution of the differences in pay (Jenkins 1994, DiNardo et al., 1996 and Donald et al., 2000). Third, BO fails in restricting the comparison to comparable individuals which is likely to substantially upwardly bias the estimators for unexplained differences in pay (Barsky et al., 2001).

The econometric procedure pursued in this paper, introduced in Ñopo (2008), is an extension to the BO decomposition using a non-parametric matching approach. In the case of gender gaps, all females and males are matched when showing exactly the same combination of observable characteristics. The matching characteristics are discrete so the match is done perfectly and without using propensity scores or any notion of distance among the characteristics. After matching, the observations of working males and females are grouped into three sets: (i) one of males whose observable characteristics can not be matched to those of any female in the sample, (ii) one of females whose observable characteristics can not be matched to those of any male in the sample, and (iii) one of matched males and females, such that the distribution of observable characteristics for males is equal to that one of females.

The wage gap Δ, computed as the difference in average wages between males and females; and expressed as a percentage of females' average wage, is then decomposed into four additive elements:

$$\Delta = (\Delta_X + \Delta_M + \Delta_F) + \Delta_0$$

As in the BO decomposition, one component of the gap, Δ_X, is attributed to the differences in observable characteristics between males and females. However, as the matching procedure takes into account that not every combination of characteristics found among men is realized among women (and vice-versa), the computation of Δ_X is restricted those males and females for which their characteristics lie in the common support of both characteristic's distributions. Furthermore, extending the basic BO approach, instead of controlling for differences in average males' and females' characteristics, the matching procedure allows for controlling for differences in the distributions of those characteristics.

In turn, Δ_M is the portion of the wage gap that is due to the existence of males with combinations of characteristics that are not met by any women (for instance, highly educated young workers filling high-profile positions like CEOs). Analogously, Δ_F is the portion of the gap that is due to the existence of females with characteristics that cannot be matched to any male characteristics (as may be for instance old and low-skilled domestic workers). That is, Δ_M and Δ_F are two components of the wage gap that exist because the supports of the sets of observable characteristics of males and females do not completely overlap. The sum of the first three components, $\Delta_X + \Delta_M + \Delta_F$, is the portion of the gap that can be attributed to differences in observable characteristics.

Finally, Δ_0 is the portion of the gap that can not be explained by those characteristics and could be attributable to differences in unobservable characteristics, being discrimination possibly one of them. As a result of the matching procedure, Δ_0 is the wage gap that remains in the counterfactual situation in which males and females have the same distribution of observable characteristics but wage disparities persist. The reader is referred to Ñopo (2008) for technical details on the matching procedure, a comparison between it and the traditional approach based on linear regressions and proofs of the asymptotic consistency of the estimators derived from this method. The same procedure is used to decompose gender and ethnic wage gaps in the region.

3.3. Gender Wage Gap Decompositions

We first provide the decompositions that consider 5 possible sets of observable demographic characteristics as control variables (each of them shown in a column in Table 3). Each set results from sequentially adding a new characteristic to the previous one. The characteristics are added in an order that considers first those that are likely to be less endogenous to a model of wage determination.

The full set of demographic control variable, in the order included in the matching exercise, are the following: age, education; presence of children 12 years old or younger in the household (dummy), presence of other wage earner in the household (dummy) and urban area (dummy). Country of residence is another implicit control variable in each specification, as we only match individuals within the same country. Through this exercise we found that (i) the unexplained gender wage gap increases with age; tends to be lower among those with tertiary education, while higher among informal workers, the self-employed and those in small firms. Furthermore, the unexplained gender wage gap for part-time workers is on average similar to that one of full-time workers, but more disperse; and is negative in the mining sector and in the armed forces.

Table 3 shows the measure of the gender wage gaps, the four components comprising its decomposition (for 5 different sets of controls) and the percentages of males and females belonging to the common support of observable characteristics (that is, those who were matched).

Table 3: Gender Wage Gap Decompositions

	Age	+ Education	+ Presence of children in the HH	+ Presence of other income earner in the HH	+ Urban
Δ	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Δ0	8.88%	17.16%	17.40%	17.93%	18.80%
ΔM	0.00%	0.07%	0.20%	0.24%	-0.28%
ΔF	0.00%	-0.03%	-0.11%	-0.38%	-0.58%
ΔX	1.11%	-7.20%	-7.49%	-7.80%	-7.94%
% Men in CS	100.00%	99.80%	99.28%	97.66%	94.67%
% Women in CS	100.00%	99.94%	99.78%	99.12%	97.90%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

After controlling only for age, most of the gender wage gap remains unexplained (that is, most of Δ is captured by Δ_0). Only 1 percentage point out of the 10 percentage points that represents the gender wage gap can be explained by the differences in age distributions between males and females in the labor markets. More prime-age workers are males rather than females and, on average, males are older than females in the labor markets (probably due to earlier retirement for females). This accounts for one of the ten percentage points of the gender wage gap in the regions.

After controlling for education, the unexplained component of the gender wage gap surpasses the original gap. That is, were males and females to have the same distribution of age and education in the labor markets, the gender gap would be even wider than its original level, jumping from 10% to 17% of average females' wages. This reflects the higher educational achievement among female workers than among males, as previously noticed in Table 1. Furthermore, the unexplained component of the wage gap remains higher than the original gap after controlling for each subsequent set of controls, remaining practically constant after the addition of each subsequent characteristic. When referred to demographic characteristics, education plays the most important role, shedding light that the gap would be even higher if males had the same educational attainment than males.

As mentioned above, the last two rows of Table 3 show the percentages of matched males and females for each set of characteristics (that is, those in the common support). The common support shrinks when the number of matching variables increases as the likelihood of finding appropriate matches for the individuals gets reduced. However, the common support is reasonably large even when controlling for the set of five characteristics. So, differences in the support of characteristic's distribution do not play a major role in explaining the wage gap. This can be confirmed by the small magnitude of both Δ_M and Δ_F.

After controlling for observable individuals' demographic characteristics, we turn to the inclusion of job-characteristics. The new variables considered are: type of employment (which could be self-employed, employer or employee), part-time work (a dummy variable taking the value 1 for those working 30 hours or less per week and 0 otherwise), formality status (a dummy variable taking the value 1 for those covered with social security obtained from their labor relationship and 0 otherwise. Dominican Republic is an exception as workers are considered as formal in this country if they report having a contract), economic sector (nine categories of ISIC rev. 2 classification system at the 1 digit level) occupation (nine categories of a slight modification of the ISCO classification system at the 1 digit level), small firm (dummy equal to 1 if firm is no larger than 5 workers). Brazil is an exception because it was not possible to construct the small firm variable, so when small firm is included as a control, we actually are not controlling for this category in the Brazilian sample.

As we do not have a strong *a priori* belief of which variable is "less endogenous" than the rest, we opted for the inclusion of these variables in a different way. The six job characteristics are added separately to the basic set of five socio-demographic matching variables reported on the last column of Table 3. The results are provided in Table 4. For easing comparisons, column 1 of Table 4 reproduces the last column of Table 3. The last column of Table 4 shows the decomposition that result after controlling for the whole set of observables considered: the five demographic and the six job-related characteristics.

Table 4: Gender Wage Decompositions

	Demographic Set	& type of empl.	& Part-time	& Formality	& Sector	& Occupation	& Small firm	Full Set
Δ	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Δ0	18.80%	17.23%	27.30%	17.99%	23.59%	16.84%	18.83%	19.47%
ΔM	-0.28%	1.10%	-0.29%	-0.14%	-5.02%	-0.82%	-0.19%	-2.02%
ΔF	-0.58%	-1.19%	-2.03%	-1.03%	-0.33%	-1.12%	-0.88%	-2.92%
ΔX	-7.94%	-7.14%	-14.98%	-6.82%	-8.25%	-4.89%	-7.75%	-4.53%
% Men in CS	94.67%	87.25%	91.26%	90.82%	64.26%	72.96%	90.75%	27.26%
% Women in CS	97.90%	95.12%	93.46%	96.36%	87.96%	86.79%	96.28%	44.71%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

As can be seen in Table 4, none of the job-characteristics is able to counter the effect of the jump in the unexplained gender wage gap after controlling for education. The unexplained component of the gap is considerably above the original gap after the addition of every job characteristic independently (and also when they are all added together). The unexplained component of the wage gap widens substantially after controlling by part-time work and economic sector. Gender segregation in economic sectors or gender differences in the length of the work schedule would be wrong targets towards the aim of reducing gender wage disparities in the region as they are not by themselves the sources of wage differentials. In the case of economic sector, the result is mainly driven by the males' overrepresentation in agriculture, which is in turn the sector with lower average wages.

The other four job-related characteristics (type of employment, formality, occupations and small firms) reduce the unexplained component of the wage gaps with respect to the unexplained gap that exists after controlling for the five demographic characteristics, but

only slightly. These findings challenge the popular belief that occupational segregation, for instance, positively contributes to the gender wage gaps, reinforcing previous evidence on this matter (Barrientos, 2002).

The last column of Table 4, which shows the decomposition exercise after controlling for the full set of observable characteristics, suggests that the unexplained gender wage gap reaches 20% of average female wages. Indeed, the portion explained by the individual characteristics over the common support (Δ_x) is negative, close to -15%. This means that should women have the same distributions of characteristics than men (over the common support), the wage gap would jump from 10% to 25%. Even though the common support gets reduced after controlling for the full set of variables, the portion of the gap attributable to the non-common support is small. Only a very small fraction of the gender wage gap can be explained by differences in the supports of the distributions of characteristics (contrasting the results that we will show later on ethnic wage differences).

As the matching exercise has been performed implicitly using "country" as another matching variable, it is possible to report gender wage gaps decompositions country by country. Table 5 provides evidence of notorious cross-country heterogeneity behind the region averages reported in Table 3. We provide measures of the original gap and the unexplained component after controlling for three different sets of matching variables: (i) age and education, (ii) the whole set of demographic matching variables and (iii) the whole set of demographic and job-related matching variables.

Table 5: Original and Unexplained Components of the Gender Wage Gap, by Country

Country	Δ	Δ0					
	[%]	Age and education [%]		+ Presence of children in the HH, Presence of other income earner in the HH and Urban [%]		+ Part-time, Formality, Occupation, Economic Sector, Type of Employment and Small Firm [%]	
Argentina	0.5	14.2	*	12.6	*	10.8	*
Bolivia	-5.5	-1.8		3.0		17.8	
Brasil	20.5	29.7	*	31.4	*	26.4	*
Chile	10.9	19.3	*	18.6	*	13.1	*
Colombia	-0.9	7.1	*	6.3	*	7.3	*
Costa Rica	-5.8	13.7	*	13.6	*	17.9	*
Dominican Republic	-3.1	16.6	*	17.3	*	23.9	*
Ecuador	-3.2	16.4	*	13.6	*	5.6	
Guatemala	-3.3	0.3		-0.7		17.7	*
Honduras	5.6	16.3	*	16.3	*	24.2	*
Mexico	2.6	7.8	*	10.5	*	15.3	*
Nicaragua	1.5	20.3	*	19.3	*	28.4	*
Panama	-8.6	13.6	*	16.2	*	10.4	**
Peru	18.3	19.4	*	25.9	*	23.5	*
Paraguay	6.2	16.0	*	13.8	*	6.9	
El Salvador	3.3	11.9	*	16.0	*	11.3	*
Uruguay	5.7	26.3	*	27.5	*	23.4	*
Venezuela	0.4	13.9	*	13.8	*	12.3	*
Latin America	**10.00**	**17.16**		**18.80**		**19.47**	

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.
*Statistically different than zero at the 99% level
**Statistically different than zero at the 95% level

In the first specification, Δ_0 is statistically equal to zero in Guatemala and Bolivia while it reaches 29.7% in Brazil. The influence of controlling by education varies significantly from country to country. While in Peru the unexplained component of the gap is almost equal to the original gap, reflecting small educational differences by gender, in Argentina the former is almost 30 times the later. The gender differences in educational attainment for both countries differ substantially, especially at the extremes of the educational attainment distributions. At the lower extreme of educational distributions, while in Argentina, for both men and women the proportion of workers without education is almost zero, in Peru the situation is unfavorable for females as 7% of female workers but only 2% of male workers have no education. Among those with tertiary education, the gender gaps are wider in Argentina as 40% of females and 25% of males fall within such category, while in Peru the corresponding statistics are 29% and 24% for females and males respectively.

Next, Figure 1 presents the four components of the wage gap by country (sorted by the magnitude of the unexplained component), for the specification with the richest set of

control variables. Beyond the heterogeneity in the magnitudes of every component, interesting qualitative patterns arise. The portion of the gap attributable to differences in distributions of observable characteristics over the common support (Δ_X) is negative in every country. This reflects that in every country of the region women have combinations of human capital characteristics that have higher payoff in the labor markets than the characteristics of males (in particular, educational attainment). Nonetheless, they are not rewarded as males.

Females' lower access to well paid profiles or combinations of observable characteristics explains a substantial part of the wage gap in four countries: Nicaragua, Bolivia, Guatemala and Paraguay). On the other extreme, in 7 other countries (Peru, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Argentina, Panama, Colombia and Ecuador) there are certain labor market profiles with labor earnings below the economies' averages and exclusive female presence. While for the first group of countries the evidence suggest that the problem of gender wage gaps is linked to one of females' access barriers to high paying occupations, for the second groups of countries the problem of wage gaps seems to be linked to females' confinement into low-paying segments of the labor markets.

Figure 1: Gender Wage Gaps Decompositions, by Country

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

An advantage of the matching approach over the traditional regression-based decompositions is that it is informative not only about the average unexplained gap but also about its distribution. Next we turn to exploit this feature. Figure 2 shows confidence intervals for the unexplained component of the wage gaps after controlling for demographic and job related characteristics for different segments of the labor markets. Richer information about the nature of the unexplained gender wage gaps arises and the

findings suggests some lights for the explanation and policy advise regarding this problem.

The unexplained gender wage gap increases with age. Although one possible (and optimistic) interpretation of this result could be that wage gaps are narrowing through time, one has to be cautious asserting that. This finding could also be driven by unobservable characteristics correlated with age. For instance, this result may also be reflecting gender differences in labor experience. Gender disparities in experience could get exacerbated with time as women age and have children. Indeed, it is found that the unexplained component of the gender gap is slightly higher (although not statistically significant) among workers with kids.

The unexplained gender wage gap is lower among those with tertiary education. One possible explanation is that more educated women fill positions in firms where there is less room to discretionary wage settings or discriminatory behavior. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the unexplained wage gap is also found to be lower among formal workers and very high in small firms (where there is less presence of highly educated individuals).

The unexplained gender wage gap is higher among informal workers and those in small firms. These findings reinforce the idea that higher educated females are able to find niches within the labor markets where there is less room for discriminatory behavior. So those with lower education get confined into those segments where there is more room for discretionary wage settings and hence more unexplained gender differences in pay arise.

The unexplained gender wage gap is higher among the self-employed. This finding challenges the view that explains the existence of gender wage gaps as a result of employers' discrimination. Nonetheless, this still leaves room for an explanation of wage gaps on the basis of customers' discrimination (which we can neither assert nor deny at this point). Linked to this result, the unexplained gender wage gap is also highly dispersed among employers, reflecting possible heterogeneities in entrepreneurial abilities and success.

The unexplained gender wage gap for part-time workers is on average similar to that one of full-time workers, but more disperse. The descriptive statistics show that in Latin America part-time workers earn more than their full-time counterparts and also that part-time working is prevalent among females. However, this does not get reflected into a higher wage premium for females. The higher dispersion of unexplained gender wage gaps among those working part-time may be a reflection of the different constraints and motivations that females face when deciding on such form of labor supply.

The unexplained gender wage gap is negative in the mining sector and in the armed forces. However, these are a particular occupation and an economic sector traditionally regarded as male-dominated. As a matter of fact, while 0.77% of males work in an occupation in the armed forces, it is only 0.08% of females who do that; and while 0.95%

of males work in the mining industries, only 0.14% of female do so. The few females who manage to get a career within those male-dominated ambiences enjoy a considerable premium such that they end up earning on average even more than their male counterparts. Presumably, selection plays an important role here.

Figure 2: Confidence Intervals for the Unexplained Gender Wage Gap (after controlling for demographic and job related characteristics), by different characteristics.

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.
Note: the extreme of the boxes correspond to 90% confidence intervals for unexplained wages and the extremes of the whiskers to 99% confidence intervals

Next, Figure 3 presents a different exploration of the distribution of gender differences in pay. It shows the magnitude of unexplained wage gaps along percentiles of the earnings distribution. For that purpose, we compute the wage gap between the representative male

and female at each percentile of the distributions of earnings of males and females respectively. We do this using the matching samples, so in that sense the wage differences are those that remain unexplained after controlling for observable characteristics. We report the results after matching with four different sets of control variables. The wage gap at each percentile is expressed as a percentage the wage of the representative female in the corresponding percentile.

The results depicted in Figure 3 show higher unexplained wage gaps at the lower end of the earnings distribution, followed by a sharp decrease after the 6th percentile, a somewhat flat or slightly increasing pattern in the middle and a negative slope in the upper tail of the distribution (after the 80th percentile). The introduction of education as a matching variable, as shown before, moves up the unexplained gender wage gap, but in this figure it is shown that it does it homogeneously along the overall distribution. Then, the introduction of presence of children and other income earners in the household (to achieve the "Demographic Set" of matching characteristics) maintains almost unchanged the magnitude of the unexplained gender wage gaps for percentiles 40 and above but it increases the magnitude of it by almost 10 percentage points for the lower percentiles (between 5 and 15). Finally, the introduction of the set of job-related characteristics (to achieve the "Full Set" of matching characteristics) moves the unexplained component of the gender gap in different ways. This goes up among the lowest earnings individuals (percentiles 1 to 5), goes down among the lower earnings individuals (percentiles 6 to 35) and goes up for those in the upper end of the distribution (percentiles 65 and above). This suggests important differences in the way gender segmentation occurs in the labor markets and the impacts that this have on labor earnings.

Figure 3. Unexplained Gender Wage Gap by Percentiles of the Wage Distribution of Males and Females

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

3.4. Ethnic Wage Gap Decompositions

Following the same strategy developed previously for gender, this subsection turns into the analysis of ethnic wage gaps. Before getting into the results it is necessary to perform a homogenizing exercise. As mentioned above, the ethnic classification is only available for a restricted subset of 7 countries (Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Guatemala, Paraguay and Peru). Hence, in order to make the ethnic wage gap decompositions comparable to those reported along the gender dimension, it is necessary to provide first an assessment of the gender wage gap decompositions using only these 7 countries. This exercise also adds the ethnicity dummy (distinguishing minorities vs. non-minorities) to the sequence of control variables of Table 3. Results are provided in Table 6. The analysis showed that ethnic wage gaps are higher among males than among females and the unexplained ethnic wage gap seems to be smaller among the youngest.

Table 6: Gender Wage Gap Decompositions

	Ethnicity	+ Age	+ Education	+ Presence of children in the HH	+ Presence of other income earner in the HH	+ Urban
Δ	15.65%	15.65%	15.65%	15.65%	15.65%	15.65%
Δ0	17.16%	16.87%	24.50%	24.40%	24.87%	26.54%
ΔM	0.00%	0.00%	0.27%	0.60%	0.75%	-1.08%
ΔF	0.00%	0.00%	-0.10%	-0.34%	-0.84%	-0.95%
ΔX	-1.51%	-1.22%	-9.03%	-9.01%	-9.13%	-8.86%
% Men in CS	100.00%	100.00%	99.32%	98.29%	95.52%	90.30%
% Women in CS	100.00%	100.00%	99.77%	99.33%	98.43%	96.86%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

As can be seen in Table 6 this subsample of countries show higher gender wage gaps than the overall region (15.65% compared to the 10% reported in Table 3). This is mostly due to the higher wage gaps that exist in Brazil, Peru and Paraguay, together with the higher share that these countries represent within the sample of 7 with ethnic data. Note that controlling for ethnicity alone does not provide much explanation for gender gaps. The results are qualitatively similar to those reported in Table 3, with a notorious jump in the unexplained component of the gap after adding education as a matching variable.

Next, Table 7 shows the ethnic gap decompositions for the same 7 countries. The set of matching variables and the sequence in which these variables are augmented follows the same pattern as in the gender decompositions.

Table 7: Ethnic Wage Gap Decompositions

	Gender	+ Age	+ Education	+ Presence of children in the HH	+ Presence of other income earner in the HH	+ Urban
Δ	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%
Δ0	39.96%	39.50%	27.90%	26.90%	26.19%	25.11%
ΔW	0.00%	0.01%	1.38%	2.37%	3.55%	3.54%
ΔI	0.00%	0.00%	-0.17%	-0.41%	-0.80%	-0.63%
ΔX	-2.18%	-1.72%	8.67%	8.92%	8.84%	9.75%
% Non Minority in CS	100.00%	100.00%	97.96%	95.94%	93.28%	89.61%
% Minority in CS	100.00%	100.00%	99.73%	99.26%	98.14%	95.73%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

The total ethnic wage gap (37.78%) is considerably higher than the gender wage gap (15.65%, for this set of countries). This is also true for the unexplained components of the wage gaps after controlling for gender and age. However, once education is added to the matching variables the unexplained component of the ethnic gap decreases significantly, while the contrary happened on the gender gap analysis. As already noticed in Table 2, ethnic minorities have considerably lower educational attainments than non-minorities. This explains the big drop on the unexplained component after adding such variable (from 40% of average minorities' wages to 28%). Still, a considerable portion of the gap remains unexplained suggesting that, besides their lower access to education, ethnic minorities have lower returns to schooling than non ethnic minorities.[8] After education, the other demographic variables (presence of children and other income earners in the household) do not add much to the explanation of ethnic wage gaps.

As in the case of the gender gap analysis, job-related characteristics are added as matching variables after the full set of demographics. Table 8 presents the results of the decompositions obtained from adding each of the 6 job-related characteristic at once. In order to ease the comparisons of the results the first column of Table 8 reports again the last column of Table 7, which results after matching on 6 demographic characteristics. As it was done on the gender analysis as well, the last column of Table 8 shows the wage gap decompositions resulting form matching on the full set of variables (the 6 demographics and the 6 job-related characteristics).

The comparison of the 6 job-related characteristics reveals that, in contrast with what was found on the gender case, occupational segregation plays an important role at explaining ethnic wage gaps. Occupation is actually the characteristic that reduces the wage gap the most. When adding an occupation sector variable to the demographic set of matching variables the unexplained component decreases from 25% to 18%. Out of the other 5 job-related covariates, 3 of them positively contribute to the ethnic wage gaps but with small effects (2-3 percentage points): type of employment, formality and economic sector; and the other two have almost no effect on ethnic wage gaps (part-time and small firm).

However, when combining all these covariates (last column of Table 8), the unexplained component of the ethnic wage gap diminishes substantially. Almost one-fourth of the gap can be explained by differences in the distribution of characteristics over the common

---

[8] There also could be the case that lower returns to schooling may actually generate incentives to ethnic minorities to drop out the educational system or to exert less effort while in school.

support (Δ_X). An important share of the gap can be explained by the component that exists because non-minorities reach certain combinations of human capital characteristics that minorities fail to reach (Δ_W). More than half of the ethnic wage gap is attributable to the existence of these sort of access barriers to high-paying segments of the labor markets.

Table 8: Ethnic Wage Gaps Decompositions

	Demographic Set	& type of empl.	& Part-time	& Formality	& Sector	& Occupation	& Small firm	Full Set
Δ	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%	37.78%
Δ0	25.11%	22.67%	25.87%	22.36%	22.76%	18.02%	25.12%	12.88%
ΔW	3.54%	6.54%	4.66%	4.88%	6.07%	7.17%	4.04%	21.23%
ΔI	-0.63%	-1.39%	-1.36%	-0.82%	-1.14%	-0.96%	-1.10%	-7.27%
ΔX	9.75%	9.96%	8.61%	11.36%	10.09%	13.55%	9.73%	10.94%
% Non Minority in CS	89.61%	83.51%	85.91%	84.81%	73.23%	74.77%	84.99%	42.98%
% Minority in CS	95.73%	91.23%	92.29%	93.13%	83.57%	85.03%	94.38%	51.39%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

Not surprisingly, when using the full set of demographic and job-related matching characteristics only 43% of non-minorities and 51% of minorities lie on the common support of distributions of observable characteristics. A similar segmentation of the labor markets was reported along the gender divide but with no substantial contribution to the wage gaps as in the ethnic case. Further analyses of the combinations of characteristics found among the non-minorities that are not met by minorities promises to shed some lights on the understanding of ethnic wage gaps. Table 9 provides descriptive statistics of the sample of non-minorities divided by whether they are on the common support (that is, they have observable characteristics comparable to those of minorities) or not. The most salient differences between the two groups are that unmatched non-minorities are, in comparison to matched non-minorities: older, more educated, holding a professional, director or upper management position, more likely to be employers and to work part-time. They are also less likely to have other income earners at home, to be formal, to be service workers than the matched non-minorities (in the common support).

Table 9: Descriptive Statistics for non-minorities in and out of the common support, after matching on the full set of demographic and job-related characteristics

	Out of the Common Support	In the Common Support
Age	38.5	35.1
Education		
None or Primary Incomplete	16.8%	12.4%
Primary Complete or Secondary Incomplete	34.3%	44.4%
Secondary Complete or Tertiary Incomplete	37.4%	39.7%
Tertiary Complete	11.5%	3.5%
Presence of children in the household	50.3%	48.0%
Presence of other member with labor income	67.8%	74.8%
Urban	82.7%	88.2%
Job Category		
Employer	6.9%	1.2%
Self - Employed	25.4%	22.3%
Employee	67.7%	76.5%
Part-time	16.6%	8.7%
Formal	47.3%	58.7%
Small firm	47.1%	62.7%
Occupation		
Professionals and technicians	16.1%	10.3%
Directors and upper management	7.0%	1.8%
Administrative personnel and intermediary level	9.1%	10.3%
Merchants and sellers	12.0%	13.0%
Service workers	16.2%	22.6%
Agricultural workers and similar	12.1%	11.8%
Non-agricultural blue-collars, drivers and similar	26.1%	29.6%
Armed forces	0.0%	0.0%
Occupations not classified above	1.4%	0.7%
Economic Sector		
Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing	12.5%	11.8%
Mining and Quarrying	1.3%	0.1%
Manufacturing	16.5%	17.2%
Electricity, Gas and Water supply	1.1%	0.1%
Construction	7.3%	7.2%
Wholesale and Retail Trade and Hotels and Restaurants	23.7%	24.3%
Transport, Storage	8.0%	4.7%
Financing Insurance, Real Estate and Business Services	5.7%	1.1%
Community, Social and Personal Services	23.8%	33.5%
Wage (as percentage of Average Minorites Wage)	153.8%	116.6%

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

Next, we report the gap disaggregated by country, for three selected sets of control variables, revealing high cross-country heterogeneity. Both the total gap and the unexplained gap after controlling by gender and age are more than two times bigger in Guatemala than in Chile. Also, the effect of controlling by education differs substantially from country to country. For instance, while in Ecuador the unexplained component is no longer significantly different from zero after accounting for differences in education, in Brazil it only falls from 39% to 30%. This result is driven by the fact that the gap in educational attainment differs substantially between this two countries. In Ecuador, the

percentage of workers with complete tertiary education is 16% among the non-minorities but only 6% among minorities. In Brazil, this difference is substantially lower as only 5% of non-minority workers have completed tertiary education compared to 4% of minorities.[9]

Table 10: Raw and Unexplained Ethnic Wage Gap, by Country

| Country	Δ			Δ0				
	[%]	Gender and age [%]		Gender, age and education [%]		+ Presence of children in the HH, Presence of other income earner in the HH and Urban [%]		+ Part-time, Formality, Occupation, Economic Sector, Type of Employment and Small Firm [%]
Bolivia	30.58	35.61	*	16.50	*	12.07	*	21.23 *
Brasil	38.57	38.61	*	29.99	*	27.24	*	13.09 *
Chile	30.81	29.34	*	10.63	*	8.40	*	1.36
Ecuador	30.74	26.71	*	3.85		2.57		0.68
Guatemala	67.73	67.44	*	23.52	*	21.03	*	11.04 *
Peru	45.50	45.60	*	20.92	*	17.47	*	14.44 *
Paraguay	59.64	58.01	*	21.77	*	12.25	*	6.03
Latin America	**37.78**	**39.50**		**27.90**		**25.11**		**12.88**

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.
*Statistically different than zero at the 99% level

Next, the four components of the wage gap by country (sorted by the magnitude of the unexplained component), for the specification with the richer set of control variables, are presented in Figure 4. As in the case of the gender gap, there are clear qualitative patterns for the components across countries. First Δ_X is positive in every country, reflecting that in every country minorities have combinations of characteristics that are related to lower payoff in the labor markets than the characteristics of non-minorities (in particular, educational attainment). Second, Δ_W is positive in all countries as well, and it represents the highest component in most of them. This suggests than in every country the existence of combination of characteristics that are only reached by non-minorities do play an important role in explaining part of the wage gap. The access barriers that we are hypothesizing as explanation for the wage gaps prevail in all countries. Unexplained ethnic wage gaps (Δ_0) are also positive in all countries but almost zero in Chile and Ecuador.

---

[9] This is not to say that Brazil has actually been successful in closing the gap in educational attainment between minorities and non minorities, but that educational attainment is low for both minorities and non-minorities, with their corresponding impacts on ethnic wage gaps.

Figure 4: Ethnic Wage Gaps Decompositions
By Country

Interesting features arise when exploring the distribution of the unexplained gaps across observable characteristics (Figure 5). First**, the ethnic wage gaps is higher among males than among females**, but beware, this does not contradict the fact females minorities are those who fare the worst in the labor markets. As a matter of fact, the wage gap that exists between female minorities and male non-minorities reaches an astonishing 57% when no control variables are used. Interestingly, when the full set of gender matching variables are considered most of the wage gap remains unexplained and when the full set of ethnic matching variables are considered half of the gap remains unexplained. Ethnic gaps are notorious but most of them can be explained by observable productive characteristics

Second, **the unexplained ethnic wage gap is smallest among the youngest**. As already discussed in the case of gender where a similar finding was reported, this may contain good news but the evidence is not definitive. The good news would be that younger cohorts are able to enter into the labor markets facing less discriminatory behaviors and then getting closer to the "equal pay for equal productive characteristics" paradigm that this matching approach measures. The word of caution is that this finding may reflect the effect of unobservables correlated with age, such that the good news should be taken with a grain of salt.

Third, those with other labor income generators in the household face smaller ethnic wage gaps than those who are the sole income generator at home. **Fourth,** the unexplained ethnic wage gaps are lower in urban areas when compared to the rural ones. **Fifth,** the unexplained ethnic wage gaps are lower and more disperse among part-time workers than among those who work full-time. **Sixth,** the wage gaps are slightly smaller but clearly more disperse at both extremes of the educational attainment distribution.

Figure 5: Confidence Intervals for the Unexplained Ethnic Wage Gap (after controlling for the demographic and job related characteristics), by different characteristics.

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

As in section 3, Figure 6 presents the unexplained ethnic wage gap for different percentiles of wage's distributions of minorities and non-minorities to asses whether the unexplained component is concentrated, as in the case of the gender gap, in some particular segments of the wages' distributions.

When controlling only for gender and age, the unexplained gap is significantly higher among the low-income workers. The gap is over 100% at the bottom of the distributions and decreases sharply until the 30th percentile where it is close to 27%. Then, the gap presents a slightly upward pattern and closes only at the very right-end of the distribution. When adding education as a matching variable the overall pattern described above is almost maintained, with a reduction on the unexplained component of the ethnic wage gap. Note however that the highest reductions in the gap occur at the lower percentiles of the distributions. In other words, differences in wages are better explained by differences in educational attainment among the low-income than among the middle or high income. After controlling for the demographic and job-related characteristics, the unexplained gap becomes roughly homogenous along the wage distribution.

Figure 6: Unexplained Ethnic Wage Gap
by Percentiles of the Wage Distribution

Source: Author's Calculations using Household Surveys, circa 2005.

4. Conclusions

The literature has widely documented the existence of poorer labor market outcomes among women and ethnic minorities in Latin America. This paper reviews extensively the literature on such gaps and contributes to it providing comparative evidence for a widespread representative sample of Latin America. Also, this paper approaches the analysis of wage gaps with a novel technique. Using a non-parametric matching approach as an extension to the traditional Blinder-Oaxaca decompositions, this paper disentangles the sources of wage gaps in terms of observable characteristics.

Latin American men are found to earn on average 10% more than women despite their lower educational attainments. Our estimations suggest that, should women have the same characteristics than men (in particular the same educational attainment), the gender wage gap would jump to almost 20%. Though, we also find substantial cross-country heterogeneity. After controlling for observable demographic and job-related characteristics, the unexplained gender wage gap ranges from 6% in Ecuador to 28% in Nicaragua. Importantly, the effect of controlling by the differences in educational attainment differs substantially across countries. For instance, while in Argentina the unexplained portion of the gap after controlling by education is 20 times higher than the raw gap, there is no sizeable effect of controlling by education in Peru. This is a reflection of the heterogeneity of gender differences in educational attainment.

It is interesting to note that the unexplained gender wage gap is lower among those with tertiary education. Both supply and demand factors could drive this result. On the one hand, educated women may be less prone to accept lower wages than their male counterparts, and also to work in firms where there is less room to discretionary wage settings. This later hypothesis is supported by the fact that the unexplained wage gap is found to be lower among formal workers. In a related result, gender wage gaps are found to be larger among low wage earners, especially among the first ten percentiles of the wage distribution.

The unexplained gender wage gap increases with age, which could be explained by a cohort effect or by the effect of some unobservable traits, like experience, for which gender differences are likely to be correlated with age. Differences in accumulated experience could be exacerbated as women age and have children. Indeed, we find that the unexplained component of the gender gap is slightly higher among workers with kids. The results show no differences in the average unexplained gender wage gaps between part-time and full-time workers, but more dispersion on the former. This may be a reflection of the high heterogeneity in constrains and motivations that females face when deciding to work part-time.

Unexplained gender wage gaps are higher among the self employed. This defies the common view that gender wage gaps are most likely to be found due to employer-based discrimination, while reaffirms in turn previous findings of considerable gender differences in the quality of self-employment. Our findings do not provide strong evidence for an important role of occupational segregation in explaining the gender wage gaps. Our findings regarding economic sector segregation, however, points towards a result that challenges previous perceptions based on no systematic evidence. Even if gender differences in labor allocation across economic sectors are large, they are not a factor increasing wage differentials. The reduction of gender segregation in economic sectors in the labor markets would notoriously increase (instead of reduce) the observed gender wage gaps.

The total ethnic wage gap (before controlling for observable characteristics) is found to be considerably higher than the total gender wage gap, reaching more than 38% of

minorities' wages. Ethnic gaps in educational attainment are found to be large in the region, which allow us to explain almost one-fourth of the wage gap, but still, an important portion of the gap remains unexplained. The unexplained wage gap reaches almost half of the total wage gap (20%). As in the case of gender, we identify high cross-country heterogeneity. The effect of controlling by education differs substantially across countries, mainly due to remarkable differences in educational attainment gaps. The unexplained component of the wage gap is substantially reduced in countries with high educational attainment gaps as Ecuador. On the other hand, in countries like Brazil where non-minorities are as poorly educated as non-minorities, the gap cannot be explained by education. We find that the unexplained wage gap is considerably more disperse at both extremes of the educational attainment distribution.

A distinctive feature of ethnic wage gaps is that there are lower among part time workers than among full time workers. Even if we do not find a strong impact of economic sector segregation on wage gaps, we do find, in contrast with the results obtained for the gender analysis, that the ethnic wage gap is linked to occupational segregation. The presence of non-minorities having combinations of productive characteristics not attainable by minorities explains an important part of gap. Almost 21 percentage points out of the 39 percentage points of wage gap (that is, slightly more than half of the wage gap) is attributable to the existence of non-minorities which combinations of characteristics are not realized among minorities. These are highly paid profiles of old and educated professionals or directors or upper management position in some specific sectors of the economy. In this sense, we find evidence that ethnic minorities in the region are confronted with "glass-ceilings" or access barriers while trying to attempt high-paid positions.

In sum, this paper provides evidence that the region still faces major challenges in terms of eradicating disadvantages in the labor markets based on characteristics like gender or ethnicity. Policies that are aimed at reducing these inequalities are still in need, not only because of ethical considerations on equality but as major strategy to reduce poverty in the region. Even though policies aimed at boosting school attendance for minorities are welcomed, they should also take into account the lower incentives to schooling completion that the labor market is posing for indigenous minorities, as even if their lower educational attainment, return to schooling completion for the minorities are found to be lower than for non minorities. As ethnic minorities and women are in a disadvantaged position, indigenous girls in the region should be given special attention.

5. References

Abramo, Lais and Maria Elena Valenzuela. (2005) "Women's Labor Force participation rates in Latin America". International Labor Review. Vol 144. No. 4.

Acosta, Francisco. (2007) "Discriminación por Género". Consejo Asesor Presidencial. Trabajo y Equidad. 2007

Acosta, P. and L. Gasparini (2007) "Capital Accumulation, Trade Liberalization, and Rising Wage Inequality: The Case of Argentina" University of Chicago.

Actis Di Pasquale Eugenio and Ana Julia Atucha (2003). "Brechas Salariales: Discriminacion o Diferencias de Productividad" Momento Económico.

Aguero, Jorge and Mindy S. Marks. (2008) "Motherhood and Female Labor Participation: Evidence form Infertility Shocks". American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings.

Alejandra Cox & Judith Roberts. (1993). "Macroeconomic influences on female labor force participation: the Latin American evidence," Estudios de Economia, University of Chile, Department of Economics, vol. 20 (1993), pages 87-106.

Alejos, Luis Alejandro. (2003) "Contribution of the determinants of income inequality in Guatemala." Instituto de Investigaciones Económicas y Sociales. Univ. Rafael Sandivar.

Altonji, Joseph G. and Blank, Rebecca M. (1999). "Race and Gender in the Labor Market." In Gene M. Grossman and Kenneth Rogoff, eds., Handbook of Labor Economics, Vol.3. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

Andersen L.; A. Mercado and B. Muriel (2003). "Discriminación étnica en el sistema educativo y mercado de trabajo de Bolivia" Latin American Journal of Economic Development. Vol 1. 69-98.

Angel-Urdinola, Diego F. and Wodon, Quentin (2006) "The Gender Wage Gap and Poverty in Colombia". Review of Labour Economics and Industrial Relations, Vol. 20 Issue 3, p721-739

Arabsheibani G. Reza, Francisco Galrão Carneiro, and Andrew Henley. (2003) "Gender Wage Differentials in Brazil: Trends over a Turbulent Era." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3148.

Arias, O., G. Yamada, and L. Tejerina. (2004). "Education, Family Background and Racial Earnings Inequality in Brazil." International Journal of Manpower 25(3/4)

Arends, Mary (1992). "Female Labor Force Participation and Earnings in Guatemala in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America". Edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC.

Arcand, J.L., and B. D'Hombres. (2004) "Racial Discrimination in the Brazilian Labour Market: Wage, Employment and Segregation Effects." Journal of International Development 16: 1053-1066.

Artecona R. and W. Cunningham. (2002) "Effects of Trade Liberalization on the Gender Wage Gap in Mexico" The World Bank. Development Research Group/Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network.

Barrientos Armando. (2002) "Women, Informal employment and social protection in Latin America". IDPM University of Manchester.

Barron, Manuel. (2008) "Exclusion and Discrimination as Sources of Inter-Ethnic Inequality in Peru." IFPRI.

Barros, Ricardo, Lauro Ramos, and Eleonora Santos, (1995), "Gender Differences in Brazilian Labor Markets", in T. Paul Schultz (Ed.), Investment in Women's Human Capital, University of Chicago Press.

Barsky, R., J. Bound, K. Charles, and J. Lupton, "Accounting for the Black-White Wealth Gap: A Nonparametric Approach," Journal of the American Statistical Association 97 (2002), 663–673.

Benvin, E. and M. Perticara. (2007) "Análisis de los Cambios en la participación Laboral femenina en Chile:. Revista de Analisis Economico. Vol 22 , No. 1, pp. 71-92

Bernal, R. and M. Cárdenas (2005) "Race and Ethnicity in Health and Health Care in Colombia" Inter-American Development Bank.

Bernat Luisa Fernanda and Jaime Vélez Robayo. Los hombres al trabajo y las mujeres a la casa: Es la segregacion ocupacional otra explicacion razonables de las diferencias salariales por sexo en Cali? 2008. Departamento de Economía-Universidad ICESI

Birdsall, Nancy and M. Louise Fox. (1985) "Why Males Earn More: Location and Training of Brazilian Schoolteachers". Economic Development and Cultural Change, Vol. 33, No. 3, The University of Chicago Press

Blinder A. (1973). "Wage discrimination: Reduced Form and Structural Differences.: The Journal of Human Resources. Vii. 4 pp. 436-55. Bolivia." UDAPSO Working Paper No. 47/97. UDAPSO, La Paz.

Borja-Vega Christian, Trine Lunde and Vicente García Moreno. (2007) Economic Opportunities for Indigenous Peoples in Latin America in Mexico. World Bank.

Bravo, David, Claudia Sanhueza, Sergio Urzúa. (2008) "An experimental study of labor market discrimination : gender, social class and neighborhood in Chile". Inter-American Development Bank. Research Dept. IV. Latin American Research Network. V. Title. VI. Series.

Bravo, David, Claudia Sanhueza, Sergio Urzúa (2008). "Ability, schooling choices and gender labor market discrimination: evidence for Chile" Inter-American Development Bank. Research Dept. IV. Latin American Research Network. V. Title. VI. Series.

Brown, Cynthia J.; Pagán, José A.; Rodríguez-Oreggia, Eduardo. (1999). "Occupational Attainment and Gender Earning Differentials in Mexico." Industrial & Labor Relations Review, Vol. 53 Issue 1,

Cacopardo, Maria Cristina; Maguid, Alicia, M. (2001) "Argentina: International migrants and gender inequality in the labour market". XXIV General Population Conference. Salvador-Brazil.

Calvó-Armengol, A., and M.O. Jackson. 2007. "Social Networks in Labor Markets: Wage and Employment Dynamics and Inequality." Journal of Economic Theory 132(1): 27-46.

Camargo Jose Marcio and Franklin Serrano. (1983) "Os dos mercados: Homens e mulheres na industria brasileira". Revista Brasilera de Economia. Vol. 37. Num. 4.

Campante, Filipe R. Anna R. V. Crespo and Phillippe G. P. G. Leite. (2004) "Desigualdade Salarial entre Ra»cas no Mercado de Trabalho Urbano Brasileiro: Aspectos Regionais". RBE. Rio de Janeiro. 58(2)

Cruces Guillermo and Sebastian Galliani. (2005) "Fertility and female labor supply in Latin America: New causal evidence". Universidad de San Andres.

Cox Alejandra & Judith Roberts. (1993). "Macroeconomic influences on female labor force participation: the Latin American evidence," Estudios de Economia, University of Chile, Department of Economics, vol. 20(esp Year), pages 87-106.

Chavez O'Brien Eliana. (2003) "Género, empleo y pobreza en el Perú: El Mercado laboral Urbano, 1990-2002." En: Berger, Silvia, (Ed.) Inequidades, Pobreza, Mercado de Trabajo. Bolivia y Peru. Lima, OIT.

Contreras G, Dante, Marco Galván (2003) "¿Ha disminuido la discriminación salarial por genero y étnica en Bolivia?" Evidencia del periodo 1994-1999. Departamento de Economía, Universidad de Chile. CEPAL.

Contreras, Dante. Gonzalo Plaza. (2004) "Participación Femenina en el Mercado Laboral Chileno. ¿Cuánto importan los factores culturales?" Universidad de Chile.

Contreras, Dante, Diana Kruger, Daniela Zapata. (2007) "Economic Opportunities for Indigenous People in Bolivia". Working Paper, The World Bank.

Chiswick Barry R, Harry Anthony Patrinos and Michael E. Hurst. (2000) "Indigenous Language Skills and the Labor Market in a Developing Economy: Bolivia". The University of Chicago

Cunningham, Wendy and Jacobsen, Joyce P. (2008). "Earnings Inequality Within and Across Gender, Racial, and Ethnic Groups in Latin America.". Policy Research Working Paper. World Bank

Dávila Alberto y J. Pagán.(1999) "Gender pay and Occupational-attainment gaps in Costa Rica and El Salvador: Al relative comparison of the late 1980's". Review of Development Economics, 3(2).

De Carvalho, A.P., M. Néri and D. Britz do Nascimento Silva. 2006. "Diferenciais de Salários por Raça e Gênero no Brasil: Aplicação dos Procedimentos de Oaxaca e Heckman em Pesquisas Amostrais Complexas." Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Mimeographed document.

Deutsch, Ruthanne; Andrew Morrison; Claudia Piras and Hugo Ñopo.(2004) "Working within Confines: Occupational Segregation by Gender in Costa Rica, Ecuador and Uruguay". In: Piras, Claudia (Editor). Women at Work. Challenges for Latin America. Inter-American Development Bank.

DiNardo, J., N. Fortin, and T. Lemieux, "Labor Market Institutions and the Distribution of Wages, 1973–1992: A Semiparametric Approach," Econometrica 64:5 (1996), 1001–1044.

Donald, S., D. Green, and H. Paarsch, "Differences in Wage Distributions between Canada and the United States: An Application of a Flexible Estimator of Distribution Functions in the Presence of Covariates," Review of Economic Studies 67 (2000), 609–633.

Duryea Suzanne; Sebastian Galiani; Hugo Ñopo; Claudia Piras. (2007) "The Educational Gender Gap in Latin America and the Caribbean". Inter-American Development Bank.

Espini, Alma; Azar, Paola. (2005) "Changes in Economic Policy and Policy Regimes in Uruguay from a Gender Perspective (1930-2000)." GEM-IWG Working Paper 06-05.

Esquivel Valeria and Jorge A. Paz. (2002) "Differences in Wages Between Men and Women in Argentina Today. Is there and "Inverse" Gender Wage Gap?"

Esquivel Valeria. (2007) "Género y diferenciales de salarios en la Argentina". en Marta Novick y Héctor Palomino (coordinadores), Estructura productiva y empleo. Un enfoque transversal, Buenos Aires, Ministerio de Trabajo, Empleo y Seguridad Social.

Fazio, Maria Victoria. (2007). "Economic Opportunities for Indigenous Peoples in Guatemala." In Conference Edition: Economic Opportunities for Indigenous Peoples in Latin America. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Ferre, Zuleika and Maximo Rossi. (2002) "Segregación ocupacional de la mujer en el mercado de trabajo del Uruguay". Departamento de Economía, Facultad de Ciencias

Sociales, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

Fuentes, J.; A. Palma and R. Montero. (2005) "Discriminación laboral por Género en Chile: Una Mirada Global" Estudios de Economía. Vol. 32 – No. 2. pp. 133-157.

Fuentes, Jeanette; Amalia Palma; Rodrigo Montero. (2005) "Discriminación laboral por Género en Chile: Una Mirada Global. "Estudios de Economía. Vol. 32 - N" 2.. Pags. 133-157 133.

Funkhouser, E. (1997). "Mobility and labor market segmentation: the urban labor market in El Salvador", in Economic Development and Cultural Change, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 123-53.

García-Aracil, Adela and Winter, Carolyn (2006). "Gender and Ethnicity differentials in School Attainment and Labor Market Earnings in Ecuador" World Development, Vol. 34, pp.289-307.

Gideon, Jasmine. (2007) "A gendered analysis of labour market informalization and access to health in Chile". Global Social Policy. Vol 7. No. 1.

Gill, Indermit A. (1992) Is" there Sex Discrimination in Peru? Evidence from the 1990 Lima Living Standards Survey." In Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC

Gindling, T.H. (1992). "Why Women Earn Less Than Men in Costa Rica", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 223-254.

Hansen, J., and R.Wahlberg, "Endogenous Schooling and the Distribution of the Gender Wage Gap," Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) discussion paper no. 78 (1999).

Hall Gillette and Harry A. Patrinos. (2006) "Indigenous Peoples, Poverty and Human Development in Latin America". Palgrave Macmillan.

Hausmann, Ricardo; Tyson, Laura D.; Zahidi, Saadia. (2007) "The Global Gender Gap" 2007 World Economic Forum.

Heckman, J., L. Lochner, and P. Todd, "Fifty Years of Mincer Earnings Regressions," NBER working paper no. 9732 (2003).

Hertz, Thomas, Paul Winters, Ana Paula de la O, Esteban Quiñones, Benjamin Davis and Alberto Zezza. (2008) "Wage Inequality in International Perspective: Effects of Location, Sector, and Gender". ESA Working Paper.

Horbath Jorge Enrique. (2008) "Discriminación Laboral hacia las mujeres en México: Aproximación Conceptual para medir su magnitud". Flacso.

Hotchkiss Julie and Robert E. Moore. (1996) "Gender Compensation Differentials in Jamaica". The University of Chicago.

Khandker, Shahidur R. (1992): "Women`s Labor Market Participation and Male-Female Wage Differences in Peru", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 373-395

Jimenez Pozo Wilson, Fernando Landa Casazola and Ernesto Yañez Aguilar. (2006) "Bolivia". In: Hall and Patrinos (2006) op. cit.

Jenkins, S. P., "Earnings Discrimination Measurement—A DistributionalApproach," Journal of Econometrics 61 (1994), 81–102.

King, Mary C. (2007) "Occupational Segregation by Race and Sex in Brazil, 1989-2001." Observatory on Structures and Institutions of Inequality in Latin America. Working Paper Series #1.

Larrea Carlos and Fernando Montenegro Torres. (2005) "Ecuador". In: Hall and Patrinos (2006) op. cit.

Leme, M.C. da Silva, S. Wajnman (2000), "Tendencias de coorte nos diferenciais de rendimentos por sexo", in Henriques, (Org.). Desigualdade e pobreza no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: IPEA, 2000, p. 251-270.

Lim, Lin Lean. (2002) "Female Labour-force participation". Gender Promotion Programme (GENPROM), International Labour Office, Geneva, Switzerland

Lovell, P. (1994.) "Race, Gender and Development in Brazil." Latin American Research Review 29(3): 1-35.

Lovell, P., and C.H. Wood. (1998). "Skin Colour, Racial Identity and Life Chances in Brazil."Latin American Perspectives 25(3): 90-109.

Lovell, P. (2000). "Race, Gender and Regional Labour Market Inequalities in Brazil." Review of Social Economy 58(3): 277-293. Lovell, P.A. 2006. "Race, Gender, and Work in São Paolo, Brazil, 1960-2000." Latin American Research Review, Vol. 41, No. 3.

Lovell, P. (2006). "Race, Gender, and Work in São Paolo, Brazil, 1960-2000." Latin American ResearchReview 41(3): 63-87.

López Bóo Florencia, Lucia Madrigal and Carmen Pagés. (2009) "Part-Time Work, Gender and Job Satisfaction: Evidence from a Developing Country". Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department.

Loureiro, Paulo R. A. ; Carneiro, Francisco G. ; Sachsida, Adolfo. (2004) "Race and Gender Differentials: An Analysis for the Urban and Rural Sector in Brazil." Journal of Economic Studies (Bradford).

MacIsaac Donna J and Harry A. Patrinos. (2005) "Labour Market Discrimination Against Indigenous People in Peru". The Journal of Development Studies. Vol 32. No.2

Madrigal Correa, Lucia. (2004) "La Evolución de la Participación Laboral de la Mujer en Mexico: El efecto del Tamaño de la familia" 1970-2000.

MacKinnon Scott, Katherine (1992): "Female Labor Force Participation and Earnings: The Case of Jamaica", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George andZafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 323-338.

Marcouiller D., de Castilla V.R. and Woodruff, C. (1997), "Formal Measures of the Informal-Sector Wage Gap in Mexico", El Salvador and Peru. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 45: 367-392.

Marques Garcia, Luana. (2007) "The Self-Selectivity Bias in Racial Wage Gap Decompositions: An Application using the Brazilian Households Survey (PNAD)". University of Southampton. (Dissertation- MSc Social Science)

Marquez, Gustavo and Carmen Pagés-Serra. (2008) "Bad Jobs, Lows Wages and Exclusion". In IPES. Inter-American Development Bank.

Monroy, Estela. (2008) "Equidad de genero en el Mercado laboral Nicaragua". Banco Mundial; BID. Serie de Cuadernos de genero para Nicaragua. Cuaderno #1.

Montenegro, C., and R. Paredes. (1999). "Gender Wage Gap and Discrimination: A Long Term View Using Quantile Regression." Washington, DC, United States and Santiago, Chile: World Bank and University of Chile. Mimeographed document.

Montenegro Claudio. (2001) "Wage Distribution in Chile: Does Gender Matter? A Quantile Regression Approach." Policy Research Report on Gender and Development. Working Paper Series No. 20 The World Bank. Development Research Group/ Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network.

Montero Rodrigo y Paz Garcés. (2008) "¿Existe discriminación salarial en contra de la población indígena en Chile?". Borrador preliminar. Editorial Express.

Ng, Ying Chu. (1992) "Female Labor Force Participation and Gender Earnings Differentials in Argentina", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 1-19.

Ñopo, Hugo, Jaime Saavedra and Maximo Torero. (2004) "Ethnicity and Earning in Urban Peru. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA)" Discussion paper 980. 2004.

Ñopo, Hugo, Saavedra, Jaime and Torero, Máximo (2004). "Ethnicity and Earnings in Urban Peru." GRADE. Lima, Peru.

Ñopo, H. (2006) The Gender Wage Gap in Peru 1986-2000: Evidence from a Matching Comparisons Approach In Economica. La Plata, Republica de Argentina. Año L. N 1.2 Enero-Diciembre 1994

Ñopo, Hugo. (2006). "The Gender wage gap in Chile 1992-2003 from a matching comparisons perspective". Inter-American Development Bank.

Oaxaca, R. (1973). "Male-Female Wage differentials in Urban Labor Market". International Economic Review. Vol 14. No.3 693-70.

Olivieria, Ana María. H. (2001) "Occupational Gender Segregation and Effects on Wages in Brazil." Proceedings of XXIV General Population Conference. International Union for the Scientific Study of Population.

Paes de Barros, Ricardo; Francisco H. G. Ferreira; José R. Molinas Vega, and Jaime Saavedra Chanduvi (2009). "Measuring Inequality of Opportunities in Latin America and the Caribbean" World Bank. Washington, DC 2009.

Panizza, Ugo and Christine Zhen-Wei Qiang. Public–private wage differential and gender gap in Latin America: Spoiled bureaucrats and exploited women? The Journal of Socio-Economics 34 (2005) 810–833. 2005

Pagán, J.A. and Miren Ullibarri. (2000) "Group Heterogeneity and the Gender Earnings Gap in Mexico". economía mexicana NUEVA ÉPOCA volumen IX, número 1, México.

Pagan, J.A. and S.M. Sanchez, (2000). "Gender Differences in Labor Market Decisions: Evidence from Rural Mexico," Economic Development and Cultural Change, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 619-637.

Pagan, Jose. (2002) "Gender Differences in Labor Market Decisiones in Rural Guatemala. Review of Development Economics. 6 (3). 2002.

Paz, Jorge. (1997) "Brecha de ingresos entre géneros. (Comparación entre el Gran Buenos Aires y el Noroeste Argentino)". Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) y 664 del Consejo e Investigación de la Universidad Nacional de Salta (CIUNSA).

Paredes, R. (1982). "Diferencias de ingreso entre hombres y mujeres en el Gran Santiago 1969 y 1981". Estudios de Economia, No. 18:99-121.

Paredes, R. and Riveros, L. (1994). "Gender Wage Gaps in Chile. A Long Term View: 1958-1990". Estudios de Economia. Noviembre.

Patriños Harry (2000). "The Costs of Discrimination in Latin America". Studies in Comparative International Development (SCID). Vol. 35, N. 2

Patrinos Harry and Emmanuel Skoufias (2007). "Economic Opportunities for Indigenous Peoples in Latin America". World Bank. Conference Edition.

Peña Ximena and Alejandro Badel (2008). "Decomposing the Gender Wage Gap with Sample Selection Adjustment: Evidence from Colombia." Universidad de las Andes. 2008.

Pérez de Rada, E. (1997), "Discriminación Salarial por Género y Etnia en Ciudades Principales de Bolivia." UDAPSO Working Paper No. 47/97. UDAPSO, La Paz.

Peredo Beltrán Elizabeth. (2004)"Una aproximación a la problemática de genero y etnicidad en America Latina."Serie Mujer en Desarrollo. CEPAL.

Perticará Marcela and Alvaro Astudillo. (2008) "¿Qué tan alta puede ser la brecha de salarios en Chile? Investigando diferencias salariales entre hombres y mujeres a partir de regresiones de cuantiles," ILADES-Georgetown University Working Papers inv211, Ilades-Georgetown University, School of Economics and Bussines.

Popli Gurleen .(2008) "Gender wage discrimination in Mexico: A distributional approach". Sheffield Economic Research Paper Series.

Pichunman Cortés, Marco. (2007) "Discriminación Laboral por condición Étnica: una revisión de la literatura". Consejo Asesor Presidencial. Trabajo y Equidad.

Piras, Claudia (Editor) (2004). "Women at Work. Challenges for Latin America". Inter-American Development Bank.

Piras, Claudia. (2004) An Overview of the Challenges and Policy Issues Facing Women in the Labor Force. In. Piras, Claudia (Editor). Women at Work. Challenges for Latin America. Inter-American Development Bank.

Psacharopoulos George and Zafiris Tzannatos (1992) "Latin American Women's Earnings and Participation, in the Labor Force. LAC Department /The World Bank

Psacharopoulos, G. (1992b): Ethnicity, education, and earnings in Bolivia and Guatemala. Policy Research Working Papers, WPS 1014. November. The World Bank.

Ramirez Alejandro. (2006) "Mexico". In: Hall and Patrinos (2006) op. cit.

Rangel, Marta. (2004) "Genero, Etnicidad, Pobreza y Mercado Laboral en Bolivia, Ecuador, Guatemala y Perú" En: Valenzuela, M.E.; Rangel, M. (coord.) "Desigualdades entrecruzadas: pobreza, género, etnia y raza en América Latina" Santiago de Chile: OIT, 2004. 175p. Proyecto Género, pobreza y empleo en América Latina.

Rivas, F. & M. Rossi. (2000) "Discriminación salarial en el Uruguay 1991-1997". Departamento de Economía, Facultad de Ciencias Sociales de la Universidad de la República. Montevideo, Uruguay.

Rivero, R. y W. Jiménez (1999), "Diferencias saláriales en el mercado de trabajo urbano en Bolivia, 1981-1997," Revista de Análisis Económico, volumen 17, UDAPE.

Romero, W. (2007). "Los costos de la discriminación étnica en Guatemala.". In: Diagnóstico del Racismo en Guatemala. Volume 1. Guatemala City, Guatemala: Vicepresidencia de la República de Guatemala.

Salas Carlos, Marcia Leite. (2007) "Segregación Sectorial por Género: Una Comparación Brasil-México". Cadernos PROLAM/USP. Ano 7 - vol. 2

Sangeeta Pratap & Erwan Quintin, (2001). "Are labor markets segmented in Argentina? a semiparametric approach," Center for Latin America Working Papers 0701, Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas

Sauma Pablo. (2004) "Guatemala: Desigualdades étnica y de genero en el Mercado de trabajo. Capitulo III." (Short version- Las desigualdades étnicas y de género en el mercado de trabajo de Guatemala, Programa. In Focus sobre Declaración (IFP/D),- OIT.

Selamé Teresita S. Mujeres, Brechas de Equidad y Mercado de Trabajo. Chile. Santiago, Oficina Internacional del Trabajo.

Scorzafave Luiz Guilherme and Elaine Toldo Pazello (2007). "Using Normalized Equations to Solve the Indetermination Problem in the Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition: An Application to the Gender Wage Gap in Brazil". RBE Rio de Janeiro v. 61 n. 4.

Shapiro Joseph. (2006) "Guatemala". In: Hall and Patrinos (2006) op. cit.

Silva, N.D.V. (1980). "O preço da cor: diferenciais raciais na distribuição de renda no Brasil."Pesquisa e Planejamento Econômico (Rio de 10, n. 1)

Soares, S. D. S. (2000). "O Perfil da Discriminação no Mercado de Trabalho: Homens Negros, Mulheres Brancas e Mulheres Negras." IPEA Texto para Discussão 769. Brasilia, Brazil: Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA).

Sookram, Sandra and Eric Strobl. (2008) "The Role of Educational Choice in Occupational Gender Segregation": Evidence from Trinidad and Tobago. Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit Institute for the Study of Labor.

Steele, Diane (1992): "Women`s Participation Decision and Earnings in Mexico", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 339-348.

Stelcner, M., Van der Gaag, J., Vijverberg,W., (1989). A switching regression model of public–private sector wage differentials in Peru: 1985–1986". The Journal of Human Resources 26, 545–55

Stelcler, Morton, J. Barry Smith, Jon A. Breslaw, and Georges Monette, (1992), "Labor Force Behaviour and Earnings of Brazilian Women and Men, 1980", in G. Psacharopolous and Z. Tzannatos (eds) Case Studies of Women's Employment and Pay in Latin America, World Bank.

Tenjo, Jaime (1992): "Labor Markets, the Wage Gap and Gender Discrimination: The Case of Colombia", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 149-168.

Tenjo, J. y Bernat, Luisa F., (2002), "Evolución de las diferencias salariales entre hombres y mujeres: comparación de seis países latinoamericanos".

Tenjo Galarza, Jaime; Medina, Ribero Rocio; and Luisa Fernanda Bernat Diaz. (2004) "Evolution of Salary differences between men and women in six Latin American countries". In: Piras, Claudia (Editor). Women at Work. Challenges for Latin America. Inter-American Development Bank.

Tenjo, Jaime. Rocio Ribeiro y Luisa Fernanda Bernat. (2005) "Evolución de las diferencias salariales por sexo en seis países de América Latina: un intento de interpretación". CEDE.

Tiefenthaler, Jill, (1992), "Female Labor Force Participation and Wage Determination in Brazil 1989", in G. Psacharopolous and Z. Tzannatos (eds) Case Studies of Women's Employment and Pay in Latin America, World Bank

Tomal Annette and Lacey Johnson. (2008) "Earnings determinants for self-employed women and men in the informal economy: the case of Bogotá, Colombia. International Social Science Review. 71. Volume 83, 1,2

Trivelli, Carolina. (2005). "Los hogares indıgenas y la pobreza en el Peru´ una mirada a partir de la informacio´n cuantitativa". Working Paper no. 141, Instituto de Estudios Peruanos, Lima.

Urdinola, Angel Diego F. and Wodon, Quentin. (2006) "The Gender Wage gap and Poverty in Colombia". Journal Compilation. CEIS.

Valenzuela, M.E.; Rangel, M. (coord.) (2004) "Desigualdades entrecruzadas: pobreza, género, etnia y raza en América Latina" Santiago de Chile: OIT. 175p.Proyecto Género, pobreza y empleo en América Latina.

Velez Eduardo and Carolyn Winter. "(1992) Women's Labor Force Participation and Earnings in Colombia". in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC.

Villegas Horacio; Javier Nuñez. (2005) "Discriminación étnica en Bolivia: Examinando diferencias regionales y por nivel de calificación". Estudios de Economía. Vol. 32 - N° 2, Pags. 201-218 201

Watson Patrick and Sandra Sookram. (2007) The Informal Sector and Gender in the Caribbean: The Case of Trinidad & Tobago. Journal of Eastern Caribbean Studies.

Weischselbaumer, Doris and Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf. (2005) "A Meta-analysis of the international gender wage gap". Journal of Economic Survyes. Vol 19. No. 3. 2005.

World Bank. (2007) "Informality in LAC – A Barrier to Growth and Social Welfare". World Bank. Washington, D.C.

Yang, Hongyu (1992): "Female Labor Force Participation and Earnings Differentials in Costa Rica", in Case Studies on Women`s Employment and Pay in Latin America, edited by Psacharopoulos, George and Zafiris Tzannatos, The World Bank, Washington DC, 209-222

6. Annex

Table A1: Data Sources

Country	Name Of The Survey	Year	Number of Observations*	Coverage
Argentina	Encuesta Permanente de Hogares (EPH), Segundo Semestre	2006	41287	31 urban regions
Bolivia	Encuesta Continua de Hogares (ECH)	2006	4959	National
Brasil	Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicilio (PNAD)	2007	133764	National
Chile	Encuesta de Caracterizacion Socioeconomica Nacional (CASEN)	2006	85968	National
Colombia	Encuesta Continua de Hogares (ENH)	2003	52388	National
Costa Rica	Encuesta de Hogares de Propositos Multiples (EHPM)	2006	13810	National
Dominican Repu	Encuesta Nacional de Fuerza de Trabajo (ENFT)	2003	9718	National
Ecuador	Encuesta de Empleo, Desempleo y Subempleo (ENEMDU)	2007	15611	National
Guatemala	Encuesta Nacional de Condiciones de Vida (ENCOVI)	2006	18865	National
Honduras	Encuesta Permanente de Hogares de Propositos Multiples (EPHPM)	2007	23278	National
Mexico	Encuesta Nacional Empleo (ENE), Segundo Trimestre	2004	131348	National
Nicaragua	Encuesta Nacional de Hogares sobre medicion de Niveles de Vida (EMN)	2005	9838	National
Panama	Encuesta de Hogares (EH)	2003	17368	National
Paraguay	Encuesta Permanente de Hogares (EPH)	2006	5592	National
Peru	Encuesta Nacional de Hogares (ENAHO)	2006	27665	National
El Salvador	Encuesta de Hogares de Propositos Multiples (EHPM)	2005	16856	National
Uruguay	Encuesta Continua de Hogares (ECH)	2005	20351	Urban
Venezuela	Encuesta de Hogares Por Muestreo (EHM), Segundo Semestre	2004	47880	National

* Workers between 18 and 65, after eliminating observations with incomplete data or outliers in wage

Table A2: Definition of Ethnic Minorities, by Country

Country	Criterion		Percentage of workers (12 - 65 years old) self-declared as minorities
Bolivia	Self declaring as being:	Quechua, Aymara, Guarani, Chiquitano, Mojeño or other	52.58
Brasil	Having colour of skin:	Black or brown	48.47
Chile	Self declaring as being:	Aymara, Rapa nui, Quechua, Mapuche, Atacameño, Coya, Kawaskar, Yagan, Diaguita	5.97
Ecuador	Self Declaring as being:	Indigenous, Black, Mulato or Other	10.02
Guatemala	Self Declaring as being:	Kʹicheʹ, Qʹeqchiʹ, Kaqchikel, Mam, Qʹanjobʹal, Achi, Ixil, Itzaʹ, Poqomchiʹ, Chuj, Awakateko, Poqomam, Chʹortiʹ, Jakalteko, Sakapulteco, Mopan, Uspanteko, Tzʹutujil, Sipakapense, Chalchiteko, Akateko, Xinka or Garifuna	35.13
Paraguay	Self declaring as speaking :	Guarani (only)	33.42
Peru	Self Declaring as being:	Quechua, Aymara, From Amazonia, Black, Mulato, Zambo or Other	31.37

Table A3.
Country Literature Review

Authors and Year	Data	Main findings	Methodology
Argentina		**Gender Gaps: Reversed gender wage gap?**	
Ng, (1992)	1985 Buenos Aires Household Survey Data	Started discussion on earning differentials in Argentina. In a highly urban labor market, better educated prime age single women are more likely to enter the labor Argentine labor market. An increase in human capital endowments will decrease the existing wage gap, but other factors may also come into play, such as the occupational segregation, job mobility.	Oaxaca decomposition
Paz (1997)	EPH 1997	Examines wage differentials between men and women in Greater Buenos Aires and Argentina's Northeastern areas. In all variables reviewed, women receive lower wages than men, except for women with professional scientific skills and those working over 45 hours/week.	Descriptive statistics ; Duncan Index; Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition
		Human capital endowments (rate of returns to education and experience) are important to explain some differences in labor force participation and wage. Among occupational variables, the level of skill of a specific task/occupation determines differentials, highlighting the importance of vertical segregation. However, 90% of the wage differential remains unexplained.	
Sangeeta and Quintin (2001)	Argentina's household survey (1993-1995)- urban centers	Evaluation of hypothesis that informal workers would expect higher wages in formal sector. Authors found no evidence of a formal sector wage premium in Buenos Aires and its suburbs. While wages are higher on average in the formal sector, this apparent premium disappears after controlling semi parametrically for individual and establishment characteristics.	semi parametric matching estimator
Actis and Atucha (2003)	EPH 2000	Mar del Plata regional study to show wage disparities at the local level (urban areas). Study was done in an area where women are highly overrepresented in the services sector. Results show, that women's salaries represent 74% of men's. The gap is reduced when education and occupation variables are introduced, but is not closed entirely. The authors conclude that 32% of the difference can be explained by human capital endowment differences; 32% for "clientelism" and 36 by "discrimination" against women.	Blinder-Oaxaca - & Cotton Neumark Decomposition Methodologies
Cruces and Galiani (2005)	Arg 1991 Census; Mexico 2000 Census	Authors review linkage between fertility and labor force participation. Results show that income levels (i) have a positive relationship with education attainment and b) will initially tend to increase with experience, while decreasing as the worker ages. A little over a third of the wage differentials can be explained by human capital characteristics. However, within the unexplained portion, 32.5% could be explained by male advantages and 35.6% can be attributed to "discrimination" against women. The authors estimated a link between childbearing on maternal labor supply based on the thesis that parental preferences for a mixed sibling sex composition can be seen as an instrument for fertility. Women who are likely to have a third child searching for a balance sex of mix children may be less likely to enter the labor force.	Casual IV model (Abadie); OLS

Ezequiel and Paz (2005)	EPH	Authors introduced the concept of an "Inverse gender wage gap" in Argentina, where the average male worker salary is 4% less than women's; and analyze the different insertion conditions in the labor market and their influence in gender wage gaps. For labor markets such as Argentina, it is essential to make a distinction between regular and on-regular employment - as defined by degree of job satisfaction, underemployment and relative stability-. Taking these elements into account: men regular workers earn 10.2% MORE than women regular workers; who in turn get paid higher than non-regular women workers. (9%).	Tam model/ "A" model (Models although, among other things to include all characteristics of Argentine labor market, including part-time workers, and informal sector)
Ezquivel (2007)	EPH 2003, 2006	Reviews differences in *hourly* wages between salaried men and females and potential barriers of entry to regular salaried jobs for women. Adjusting for productivity-related variables, women's hourly wages should be higher than men's. This is not the case for Argentina. Potential explanations include: (i) barriers of entry to quality jobs; and (ii) the wage premium associated with certain occupations is greater for women than for men, attracting them to these sectors and occupations. This premium however does not cover the differential of a lower remuneration for same skills.	Tam (1996) & Esquivel y Paz (2005); Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition

Bolivia		Ethnic gaps: Targeted or National Intervention?	
MacKinnon Scott (1992)	1989 EIH	Female labor force participation depends upon a series of personal and family characteristics. Women earn less than men: observed differential is due to unexplained differences in the way in which the market values the two genders' labor.	Mincer equations Oaxaca
Rivero and Jimenez (1999)	Encuesta de Hogares (1981;85;90;94;97)	Analysis of wage differentials show certain degree of gender and ethnic "discrimination" in Bolivia' urban areas. Women and rural workers – non-Spanish speakers- have less possibilities of receiving equal salaries to non-indigenous Spanish speaker males, despite their efforts to improve their education standing.	Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition
Chiswick, Patrinos and Hurst (2000)	Integrated Household Survey, 1993	Study reviews labor market implications of limited dominant language proficiency in Bolivia. The authors' analysis on earning showed men with higher salaries than women, increasing 3.3% per year while almost 1% point less for women. Authors identify greater investments in on-the-job training by men as a potential explanation for these disparities. Children also appeared to have a negative effect on women's earning, where childless married women where 9% higher than their peers. Earnings differ significantly by language skills and are greater for women, reflecting the value of speaking Spanish in labor markets.	Chiswick and Paul W. Miller (Model to link education and earnings)
Mercado, Andersen and Muriel (2003)	MECOVI 2000	Pre-market differentials play a key role explaining wage gaps given indigenous peoples' lower education levels and access to low quality systems. More that 50% of the wage gap between indigenous and non-indigenous workers in Bolivia can be explained by differences in quality of education. However, this dynamic is prevalent in the rural areas. In urban areas it seems that differences may be attributable to how the market treats indigenous and non-indigenous workers, occupational segregation, or certain structural characteristics of the labor market, which may tend to favor non-indigenous workers over their indigenous peers.	Mincer equations

Contreras and Galvan (2003)	Encuenta Integrada de Hogares 1994; MECOVI 1999	The contribution of this study is its focus on the evolution of wage gap trends and comparison between two demographic groups: individuals older than 10 years and those between 25 and 65. While gender discrimination increases for individuals between 25 and 65 years, it decreases for those older than 10, showing that younger women have better changes of entering the labor market than their older peers. Ethnic wage gaps remain stable for those between 25 and 65 or increase for those above 10 years, showing that these groups have worse opportunities for labor market integration. Indigenous women have always lower wages in comparison to their white male peers. Similarly to previous analyses, education explains most of ethnic wage differentials.	Mincer equations; Fields decomposition
Villegas and Nuñez (2005)	MECOVI 2002	Reviews regional differences – wage differentials: ethnic discrimination in del Valle and del Llano are significant explain wage differentials, such is not the case for the Altiplano region. Discrimination is also high among professional workers, but not among unskilled workers. Although discrimination and productivity effects are important factors in explaining ethnic wage differentials across different geographical and schooling niches of the Bolivian labor market, a significant part of the overall ethnic wage gap is associated with differences in human capital endowments between both populations.	Oaxaca-Ransom; Mincer equations
Jimenez Pozo, Landa Casazola, and Yañez Aguilar (2005)	MECOVI 2002	As part of country review, authors discuss employment and income indicators of indigenous peoples in Bolivia., They found that despite a higher rate of labor participation among indigenous peoples vis-à-vis their peers, non-indigenous peoples earn higher wages. Authors argue these differentials are mainly due to differences in employment type, where most indigenous individuals are involves in informal work or livestock rearing. After analyzing decomposition results, authors agreed that schooling and experience had the largest effect on earnings, where experience reduced wage differentials between indigenous and non-indigenous workers.	Oaxaca Blinder
Contreras, Kruger and Zapata(2007)	MECOVI 2001	Analysis on the impact of social networks in economic decision and thus the transmission of poverty. Social networks may provide an explanation for the high degree of occupational segregation among indigenous individuals. Even though social networks provide important channels to access employment opportunities, and have proven to be especially key and relevant for migrant families, in Bolivia these are not necessarily linked with high quality jobs.	Regressions taking into account the quality and quantity of social networks (Bertrand et al 2000)

Brazil		**Gender Gaps: Heterogeneity and barriers for upward mobility in labor market**	
Birdsall and Fox (1985)	Census 1970	Concentrated its analysis in a particular occupational category: schoolteachers and found differences in human capital as main explanations for existing wage differentials.	OB decomposition
Tiefenthaler (1992)	PNAD 1989	Wage differences in the formal and informal sector can be attributed to unobserved characteristics.	OB decomposition with correction for selection bias. MNL estimation for formal, informal and self-employed sectors for estimating the participation into labor market

Olivera, (2001)	Brazilian Household Sample Survey (PNAD), IBGE, 1981-1999.	Trying to respond to how gender composition of jobs influence gender wage gaps, the study aims to measure (i) the occupational sex segregation of nonagricultural labor force during 1981- 1999 in Brazil; (ii) occupational sex segregation by marital status, and (iii) occupational sex segregation by groups of hours of work and labor market segmentation. In spite of the increasing female proportion in Brazilian labor force, from 33.4% in 1981 to 41.7% in 1999, men and women are still both concentrated in the occupations with predominance of its respective sex. Despite the sharp rise in women's labor force participation and their higher representation in integrated occupations, the gender gap in earnings narrowed only slightly during the two last decades. Results show, a positive role played by marital status in occupational segregation by sex. In addition, results show that compositional effects are very significant; that is, gender composition effects do not operate in isolation of the other structural changes. The gender gap increases with the increase of the female proportion and a divergent trend of men's and women's adjusted curves suggests that the gender wage gap is actually increasing.	Size-standardized dissimilarity index
Arias, Yamada and Tejerina (2002)		Study reviews the linkages between race, family background and education and wage gaps between whites and afro descendant population in Brazil . Results showed that differences in human capital, including parental education and education quality, and in its returns, account for most but not all of the earnings gap, 10% remains unexplained. As a key finding, the studied showed the important role play by gradient of skin color, labor market performance, particularly in earnings.	Mincer equations
Arabsheibani, Reza, Galrao and Henley (2003)	PNAD 1988-1998	Gender wage gap decreases over time but with an increasing unexplained component	Juhn, Murphy and Pierce's (1993) version of OB decomposition
Loureiro, Carneiro and Sachsida (2004)	PNAD 1992, 1998	Gender wage gaps are greater in urban areas than in rural ones. The unexplained component follows the same pattern	OB decomposition with correction for selection bias (participation in the labor market)
Arcand and D'Hombres (2004)	PNAD 1998	Ethnic wage and employment gaps, as well as occupational segregation in Brazil, using the Oaxaca decomposition methodology. The most striking result is that the impact of occupational segregation is negligible. Therefore, programs aimed at facilitating the access of Afro-Brazilians to sectors where they are underrepresented do not appear as a legitimate course of action in the Brazilian case. However, a portion of the discrimination and of endowment effects estimated here are potentially due to unequal opportunities in an individual's capacity to finance a private education.	Extension of Oaxaca methodology
Salas and Leite (2007)	(PNAD) /Brasil, y (ENE)/ México.	Analyzes changes in gender occupational segregation patterns in Brazil and Mexico in 1995 and 2004. Given higher levels of education and increase of out-of-home activities among women, wage differentials tend to be highly caused by sectorial and occupational segregation. Results show that in both countries there has been an increase in the level of female labor force participation, decrease in wage gaps, and increase of women's participation in traditionally-male occupations. However, the study showed that Brazil had a more pronounced decrease in the level of occupational segregation, when compared to Mexico.	Indice Karmel-MacLachlan
Scorzafave and Pazello (2007)	PNAD 1988;1996;2004	Aims to resolve the identification problem in the Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition. Results show that gender wage gap narrowed in Brazil, from 0.475 in 1988 to 0.216 in 2004. In other words, in 1988, men earned 47.5% higher wages than women, but in 2004 this difference had fallen to 21.6%. Study highlights the importance of the part-time variable in the decline in the gender wage gap in Brazil over the past 20	Oaxaca and Ramson ; Yun Decomposition

		years.	
King (2007)	PNAD 1989 and 2001	Reviews occupational segregation in Brazil. Literature review and data analysis showed more pronounced levels of gender segregation in the informal than in the formal sector, and in the formal labor market than in the public sector. Furthermore, King argues occupational segregation plays an important role in earnings differentials especially between males and females. Education attainment may also determine wage earnings, though with different impacts in the gender and ethnic gaps. Ethnic segregation decreases with higher education, while it increases for women.	Duncan index
		Racial and Gender Gaps	
Silva (1980)	Census 1960	For racial wage gaps, explained component dominates. Black and brown people show similar pattern	OB decomposition
Camargo and Serrano (1983)	Anuario do Trabalho 1976	Differences are mostly linked to personal characteristics such as levels of education, but more so to characteristics of the labor market such as the structure of the firms' sector. The explained component seems to be wider in the formal sector, while in the informal; differences in human capital endowments can explain most of the differential.	Chow Test
Lowell (1994)	Census 1960, 1980	Before 1980, gender gaps were greater than racial gaps	Modified version of OB decomposition as proposed by Jones and Kelly (1984)
Lowell and Wood (1998)	Census 1960, 1980	Over time the unexplained component of the wage gap differential increase while the explained decreases	Modified version of OB decomposition as proposed by Jones and Kelly (1984)
Lowell (2000)	Census 1960; 1991	Review of the relationship between *regional* development disparities and racial and gender wage differentials. Results showed significant gender and race gaps in education attainment levels and the persistence of wage gaps despite improvements in education.	Modified version of OB decomposition as proposed by Jones and Kelly (1984)
Soares (2000)	PNAD 1987-1998	The racial gap has been consistently higher and remained constant in comparison to a smaller and decreasing gender wage gap. The explained component of the gap (human capital endowments) seem to explain most of the ethnic/race differentials, while for the case of gender wage gaps, the unexplained component is constantly greater.	OB decomposition
Arias (2004)	PNAD 1994	Brown people at the bottom of the earning distribution are similar to black individuals, while browns at the top are similar to whites	OB decomposition with quantile regression (Koenker and Bassett, 1978)
Arcand and D'Hombres (2004)	PNAD 1998	Unexplained component is greater in the case of black people than brown individuals. The impact of occupational segregation is negligible	OB decomposition with quantile regression (Koenker and Bassett, 1978) and correction for

			selection bias for occupational attachment (Brown et al, 1980)
Lowell (2006)	Census 1960, 1980, 1991 and 2000:	Examined trends in racial and gender wage disparities in urban Sao Paulo. Despite improvements in terms of education and increased access to higher paying jobs, ethnic minorities (afro Brazilians) and women continued to receive lower salaries than their white male peers. Differences in human capital endowments explain only a small part of wage gaps and identified and increasing trend in the unexplained component of the gap overtime.	Modified version of OB decomposition as proposed by Jones and Kelly (1984)
Carvalho, Neri and Silva (2006)	PNAD 2003	After controlling for selectivity bias, the unexplained component shrinks	OB decomposition with correction for selection bias (participation in the labor market)

Chile		Gender Gaps: structural characteristics of the labor market or built-in society stereotypes?	
Paredes (1982, 1994)	Employment and Unemployment Survey of the University of Chile (69;81)	Higher returns to education for females than for men, partly explained by higher levels of schooling. Between 1969 and 1981 results showed a decrease in "discrimination" against women in the labor market.	Blinder-Oaxaca; Shapiro-Stelcner
Paredes and Montenegro (1999)	Household Surveys, University of Chile 1960; 1998	Systematic differences in returns to education and experience by gender along conditional wage condition. Returns to education seemed higher for women than for men in lower quintiles. Schooling return for women seem to be more pro-cyclical than men's when estimated at the mean and that the correlation between returns increase the lower the decile. Total wage gap has been falling, but not due to a reduction in "discrimination". The unexplained wage gap increased over the last seven years: While Chile since the early 1990's has had a very tied labor market, with wages growing over 5%, and low unemployment, make discrimination more expensive.	Mincerian equations; quantile regressions; Oaxaca Decomposition
Montenegro (2001)	National Characterization Socio-economic (CASEN) surveys (90;92;94;96;98)	Systematic differences in the returns to education and experience between men and women to some extent could explain wage differentials. Men and women private returns to education tend to increase as we move from the lower to the upper part of the conditional wage distribution. The unexplained wage gap steadily increases from 10 to 40% as we move from the lower part to the upper part of the conditional wage distribution.	Mincerian equations; OLS
			Oaxaca-decomposition
Seleme (2004)	CASEN 2000	Reviews links between gender, poverty and employment. Results show that 98.5% of income in women-headed households comes from work or social protection benefits. Also, as a sign of occupational segregation, women are over-represented in low-paid jobs, receiving on average 49.5% of men's salaries. Socio-economic standing of a household has a direct effect on women's labor participation and its level of dependency to house-related responsibilities. Women in Chile face exclusion before entering and *in* the labor market. Women face unequal conditions of access to labor market due to social norms that define a large portion of women's responsibilities as unpaid (and therefore, unrecognized)	Lit review

Contreras and Plaza (2004)	Survey "Mujer y Trabajo, Familia y Valores". 2005	Analysis of the impact of male chauvinism and values on female labor force participation rates in Chile. Traditional "societal norms" would seem to diminish more than double the positive effects of human capital variables: Human capital variables increase by 9% the probability of a woman to enter the labor force, while cultural factor decreases her chances by 23%.	Regression models
Fuentes, Palma and Montero (2005)	2000 and 2003 CASEN surveys.	Study quantifies the evolution of wage differentials among men and women overtime: wage discrimination has decreased overtime, but remains positive, whereas women are 14.3% underpaid and men are 13.2% overpaid.	Oaxaca-Blinder; Oaxaca-Ransom
Acosta (2007)	CASEN 2006	Female labor force participation in Chile has increased in the 1990-2000 periods; and a reduction in the level of aggregate gender wage gap .In addition, there has been an Increase in the level of unemployment and underemployment among women, coupled with their overrepresentation in the informal sector. Women continue to face structural barriers when trying to access certain types of occupations and decision-making posts.	Lit. Review
Benvin and Perticara (2007)	CASEN (1990;1996;2003)	Increase in education levels among women is one of the main determinants of increase in labor force participation rate. Changes in fertility have no impact on participation rates. Although education and the number of children in a household play an important role in women's decision to enter the labor force, the large part of the change cannot be explained by these variables, but by structural changes overtime proper of the labor market or economic context.	Yun decomposition (2004)
Bravo, Sanhueza and Urzua (2008)	raw data collected for study	Review of differences in wages between different occupations in Chile, as well as the extent to which schooling and family background may influence labor market outcomes. Study: distribution of fictions CVs to analyze differences in call response rates. Levels of schooling can explain several differences in the labor market. Men and women have same probability of being called for an interview. In upper class group, women registered a slightly higher response than men.	experimental study/field work
Bravo, Sanhueza and Urzua (2008b)	raw data collected for study	Review of gender differences in professional labor markets: Business, Law and Medicine. Gender wage gaps only found in the legal profession. Other important variables explaining differences are the level of responsibility in the job, having postgraduate studies, size of firm and regional effect.	Ordered probit model.
Astudillo and.Perticará (2008)	Social Protection Survey (2002-06)	Education becomes significant to explain gaps only after the 50th quintile, where is favorable to women. The unexplainable gap is larger at the median of the distribution curve of salaries, especially among service sector and skilled agricultural workers. Gaps seem to decrease at both ends of the wage distribution spectrum.	Quintile regression model Melly decomposition (2006)

Central America: Costa Rica, Honduras and Panamá		Gender Gaps: Regional disparities	
Gindling (1991; 1992)	Household survey of employment and unemployment (1989)	Costa Rica: analysis show women being over-represented in public high paying jobs, but also in lowest paying domestic sector.	Brown, Moon and Zoloth (1980)
Yang (1992)	Encuesta de hogares de Propositos Multiples (EHPM) 1989	Wage differences are relatively low (19%). This difference may be attributed to differentials in rewards to experience, as women show higher returns to investment in schooling than men. However, differentials seem to be explained by unobserved characteristics.	Mincer; Oaxaca decompositions

Arends (1992)	Encuesta de Hogares-Mano de Obra (1989)	Panama: clear difference between women's earnings in the Canal Zone and Panama City and other areas such as Darien, Veragua and Coclé. Wage decomposition results show that at least 85% of the wage differential cannot be explained by differences in human capital, and thus need to be further examined.	Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition
Funkhouser (1997)	1991/92 National Household Survey	El Salvador review of formal and informal employment in urban areas. Author evaluates movements of individual male and female workers within and between formal and informal employment from 1991 to 1992 and analyze impacts on changes in wages. Results show that: Female workers who moved from the informal sectors and the formal sector had nominal wages increases two to three times those for workers who changed jobs within the same sector of employment. Female workers who moved from the formal sector to the informal sector suffered nominal wage losses. There is little difference in the earnings characteristics of those who stay in the same informal sector job compared to other workers who were employed in the informal sector	OLS regressions
Davila and Pagan (1999)	Encuesta de hogares para propósitos multiples- CR-1989/ Household Survey-ES-1989.	El Salvador and Costa Rica review of gender occupational attainment and gender differentials, comparing their different economic recovery paths and labor reforms. Earnings differential between men and women was larger in Costa Rica than in El Salvador during the late 1980s. This gender earnings gap, however, is due partly to the fact that women in El Salvador worked more hours than Costa Rican women: net of inter-country differences in occupational attainment and hours of work, the gender earning gaps between the two countries was not very large.	Mincer equations
Tenjo Galarza, Medina, Ribero; Bernat Diaz. (2004)	Household surveys	Costa Rica and Honduras, returns to education are always greater for women than for men; however Costa Rica seemed to be the only country with no clear tendency towards wage equalization.	Oaxaca decomposition
Monroy, (2008)	EMNV 2005.	Nicaragua's survey on labor market. Analysis found that the gender wage gap is around 19.8%, where the smaller gap is found among unskilled workers and the largest, among agricultural and fishing activities. Ethnic wage gap is around 27.8%. Occupational segregation seems to decrease as educational levels increase, and among the younger segments of the population.	Duncan Index

Colombia		Gender Gaps: Is high labor participation enough and the Glass ceiling effect	
Tenjo (1992)	Household sample-DANE 1979	In this study, Tenjo founds that a large part of the wage differential was attributed to the inclusion or exclusion of domestic servants ;Colombian women have higher levels of education than men, and on average receive larger percentage premiums than men in the same occupation	Heckman; Gunderson
Velez and Winter (1992)	1988 National Household Survey	Strong positive links between education and female labor force participation, but at the same time a significant percentage of women working in low wage informal jobs.	Earnings functions; Oaxaca decomposition
Urdinola and Wood (2006)	Encuesta Nacional de Hogares (1982-2000)	Review of trends in labor force participation and wage differentials and their potential links with Pregnancy Laws and poverty. Their examination on the impact on poverty of the increase in gender gaps showed that where the gender gap increased in the country, so did relative poverty, as poor households were more vulnerable to the decrease in relative wages among unskilled wages. Review trends of ratio male-female wages: long-term trend towards increase in gender wage	Standard wage regressions

		gap related to labor regulations (more protection given to women, raising costs of female employment for firms). Link between poverty and gender wage gap: as the gender wage gap increased, so did the relative poverty, sa the decrease in relative wages among unskilled females hit poor households the most.	
Bernat and Jaime Vélez Robayo (2008)	Encuesta Hogares de áreas metropolitana - 2006 and CNO-88	Relationship between occupational segregation and the wage differences between men and women in Cali, Colombia. Results show that women in traditionally-male occupations tend to earn higher wages than men, have on average, almost two more years of education, and have lower returns to women in traditionally-female occupations. Occupational segregation seems to be a statistically significant component to explain gender wage gaps in Cali.	Flückiger & Silber (1999) decomposition
Badel and Peña (2008)	Colombian Household Survey 2006	Despite increased female labor force participation, working hours and scope of women in labor force, women earn lower wages than men. Gap explained by differences in rewards to labor market characteristics (age and schooling). The patterns of women's wages seem to suggest a glass ceiling effect whereas there women face barriers for advancement once they reach a certain level or position.	Quantile Regression Framework Machado-Mata Decomposition
Tomal and Johnson (2008)	Survey made specific for study	Specific survey to measure the gender earnings gap for self-employed women and men; and, to identify earnings determinants based on both gender and loan source differences among the self-employed within the informal economy of Bogotá. Results showed no statistically significant gender earnings gap between men and women entrepreneurs in the informal economy. It also concluded that the variables that explain earnings are: education attainment, household help and microfinance loans (access to credit).	Mincerian earnings function

Ecuador			
Larrea and Montenegro Torres (2005)	Living conditions Surrey 1998	Authors explore socioeconomic and ethnic determinants of earnings. The study shows that on average indigenous peoples receive 55% of non-indigenous peoples' earnings. Results show that for men, differentials can be explained by difference in education levels, and the number of women employed in the informal sector. When male and women are analyzed together, 74% is explained by differences in human capital endowments, mainly education.	Oaxaca-Blinder
Garcia-Aracil and Winter (2005)	1999 Household Survey	Reviews relationship between low educational attainments and earnings. Review showed small gender differences in educational attainment, while larger between indigenous males and females. Results indicate that much of the ethnic wage differentials could be explained by higher years of schooling and urban residence.	Proportional Hazard Model; Oaxaca and Ranson

Guatemala		Ethnic gaps: How to deal with ethnic wage gaps in ethnically diverse countries?	
Patrinos (1997)	1989 household survey/ Encuesta Nacional Socio-demográfica (ENSD)	Results show clear differences in levels of schooling between ladinos and indigenous individuals, and also differences within ethnicities: the Quiché receive lower returns, while the Mam receive very high returns. Furthermore, the unexplained component of the earnings gap, also varies between groups whereas within the Kakchiquel and Mam this component is far lower than within the Quiché and other. This clearly calls for specific targeted policy interventions.	Oaxaca-Ransom; Oaxaca decomposition
Sauma (2004)	ENCOVI 2000 survey	Despite having the larger labor force in Central America by 2000 (around 4.5 million), the country reached low levels of productivity due to low educational levels of its workforce. In terms of wages, indigenous women have the lowest wages, followed by indigenous men who earn even less then non-indigenous women.	

Pagan (2002)	1997 Survey of Rural Entrepreneurs	Male-female differences in female labor force participation in rural areas (San Marcos, Quezaltenango, Huehuetenango, Alta Verapaz, Petén and Chimiquimula). Female workforce participation in rural Guatemala is much lower than that of men. Women are over-represented in self-employment sector.	Even and Macpherson probit decomposition (1990)
Alejos (2003)	National Survey of Employment and Income (ENEI) 2002	Dual labor market. Acknowledging the existence of significant wage gaps between males and females, indigenous and non-indigenous individuals, urban and rural workers, the analysis showed education as playing a key role in income inequality, explaining almost 94% of the differentials.	Fields decomposition
Shapiro (2005)	ENCOVI 2000	In a overall assessment of indigenous peoples in Ecuador, the author's analysis of earnings showed that on average xxx. Moreover, decomposition results indicated that around 71-83% of the wage differential could be explained by differences in human capital endowments. The remainder is attributed to other elements including quality of education, years of unemployment and "discrimination".	OLS regressions Cotton and Oaxaca-Ransom
Fazio (2007)	ENCOVI 2000; Censo Nacional de Población y Habitación 2002; Encuesta Nacional de Empleo e Ingresos 2004	Assessment of the role of social networks on the possibilities to find a job, and on economic decisions: indigenous peoples are 7% more likely to find a job using social contacts than non-indigenous. (14% in rural areas) . However, in urban areas, indigenous peoples are more likely to find jobs through formal channels.	Bertrand et al (2000)

Mexico		Gender Gaps: "Sticky floor" and "Glass Ceiling"	
Steele's (1992)	1984 ENVIH	A large portion of the wage differential can be explained by differences in human capital, the larger part is associated to different market values placed on these female and characteristics. Looking at variables such as productive skills, personal characteristics and other, such as area of residence, there is a positive influence of education on women's labor force participation, and earnings, but also a large unexplained part of the wage differential.	Oaxaca Decomposition
Brown et al (1999)	Mexico's National Urban Employment Survey	Increase in gender earning gaps explained by: (i) increases in relative education levels for men; (ii) change in gender differences of weekly hours worked and (iii) regional structure of wages. Despite gender wage gap increase, portion attribute to male-female differences in rewards to individual endowments fell. Policies to support improvement of human capital investment for women may not be effective in reducing the existing wage gaps as differentials are linked to barriers of access for women to high quality and stable jobs, as well as less hours of work.	Wellington earnings decomposition (1993)
Pagan and Sanchez (2000)	Survey of Rural Entrepreneurs and Financial Services 1994	Gender differences are more pronounced among working individuals than among overall population. Their analysis showed an increase in female labor force participation but especially in the self-employment and non-agricultural sectors the self-employment sector provides women with the appropriate flexibility and easier access to income generating activities. Negative effect of presence of young children on women's workforce participation- women are forced to balance family and market responsibilities, which becomes a major constraint on women's work, productivity and earnings. Policies aimed at reducing gender inequality in rural labor market need to include both actions to increase individual human endowments, as well as structural demand and supply factors	Standard employment selection model W.E. Even and D.A. MacPherson decomposition
Pagan and Ullibari (2000)	Encuesta Nacional de empleo urbano	The unexplained portion of wage inequalities is larger for those with lower levels of education, or those with college/university degrees, implying the need to explore group-specific targeted polices rather than programs for women as	Jenkins index

		a whole to close wage differentials.	
Madrigal (2004)	General Population Census (1970; 1990; 2000)	Review links between labor force participation and fertility rates (continuation to Cruces and Galiani study) Results confirm the hypothesis that higher rates of fertility may result in lower levels of female labor participation; differences in the strength of this relationships depends on the level of education attained by women, Women with higher level of schooling have a higher opportunity cost while leaving their jobs, even though it might represent higher incomes for their families. This may lead to think for the need to introduce flexible mechanisms inside work places to that prevent high-qualified women to leave the labor market, and to allow women to maintain stable sources of income.	Angrist y Evans (1998)/ Wald estimators
Popli (2008)	ENIGH (1984; 1994;1996;2002)	Raw wage between men and women is not significant when reviewing workers in the formal sector. However, given higher levels of returns to education for women, women should on average have higher wages than men. Issues such as a persistent occupational segregation, age and region of residence appear to be factoring in to explain differences. Evidence of: "sticky floor" and a "glass ceiling"	Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition; Jenkins measure; non-parametric measure
		Ethnic Wage Gaps	
Chavez Castillo (2006)	INEGI 2000	Discusses women's working as domestic employees, one of the lowest paid occupations in the country. Being the main source of work for indigenous women, especially in Mexico's urban areas,	Lit review
Borja-Vega (2007)	INEGI 2000; ENVIH 2002	Attention to the role of social networks in indigenous peoples' labor market decisions and argue that given the lower human capital endowments of indigenous peoples, they have restricted access to professional and high paid jobs, and their reliance in social networks may determine specific employment outcomes.	Shorrocks income decomposition
Ramirez (2005)	National Income and Consumption Survey 2002	Given the significant income disparities between indigenous and non-indigenous peoples found in Ramirez analysis of the Mexican economy, the author analyzed potential determinants of labor earnings and the role of ethnicity in these differentials. Results show that employment type had the greatest impact on earnings, especially in indigenous areas, where being an employer yields higher returns. Decomposition results showed that 59% of the wage gap could be explained by differences in levels of productivity between indigenous and non-indigenous peoples; and within indigenous peoples, 63-68% of the gender wage gap. The remainder could be explained by factors such as culture, quality of education, as well as labor market discrimination. The very high value of this variable means that regardless of work experience, education, employment category, area of residence, etc, gaps will remain.	OLS regressions; Blinder-Oaxaca
Horbath (2008)	INEGI 2000	Author discusses the low levels of schooling and labor training to which indigenous peoples have accesses to, which to a large extent may define their possibilities of entry to the formal labor market. He provides an overview of indigenous workers characteristics in Mexico's main cities, the characteristics of the disparities seen in education, as well as in the labor market. Pointing to the existence of a significant wage gap ranging from 14 to 57%, and limited labor mobility possibilities among indigenous workers, no further analysis was developed to explain these differentials.	Duncan index

Peru		Gender Gaps: capital and urban primacy. Self-employment, an alternative for gender equality?	
Stelcner, Van der Gaag, and Vijverberg, (1989)	Peruvian Living Standards Survey (1985-1986)	Authors objective was to identify potential relationships between wages, education, work experience and their differences between sectors in Peru. In methodological terms, the authors concluded that the finding is that public-private wage comparisons that are based on OLS regressions may be very misleading given inherent selectivity of the samples. The authors' model shows an average wage of .91 in the public sector, and 1. 755 in the private sector, almost a 1% differential	Switching Regression Model

		point. OLS regressions only gave a difference of 0.1.	
Khandker (1992)	Peruvian Living Standard Survey ; National Health and Nutrition Survey (1984)	Women have more years of schooling than men, female labor force participation is relative low, in comparison to rates in the region, and wages, especially in the formal sector, are lower for women than for men. Significant differences were found comparing wage structures between Lima, and other regions, giving a clear advantage to women living urban areas, despite levels of education Different impact an improvement on education have on women's labor market participation in comparison with men's, providing a strong argument against the gender neutrality of investment in education.	Oaxaca decomposition
Gill (1992)	1985-86 Peruvian Living Standard Survey	Although highly educated women may be attracted by the wage sector, the lack of flexibility in such sector may lead them to search for other alternatives such as self-employment Clear barriers to self-employment including access to credit and start-up capital.	Oaxaca decomposition
O'Brien (2003)		Despite important improvements in women's wages in 90's, it still only reaches around 60% of men's salaries. Women's participation in family and unpaid work in four times higher than men's, and although the level of unemployment has decreased women are overrepresented in low-paid jobs, which may reinforce their vulnerability to poverty.	
		Ethnic Wage gaps: Exclusion or "self-exclusion"?	
MacIsaac and Patrinos (1995)	1991 Living Standards Survey.	Indigenous workers earn significantly less than their non-indigenous peers and have only 6.7 years of schooling in comparison to 10 for Spanish-speaking men; however, indigenous workers tend to have almost twice the amount of experience than non-indigenous men. The larger portion of wage differential (50-70%) seems to be attributable to individuals' characteristics, while the remaining part may be explained by factors such as ability, quality of education, labor force attachment or culture. An increase in human capital characteristics of indigenous workers will tend to improve earnings. However, the specific factors that have an effect of these differentials are precisely in the areas where indigenous peoples are in greater disadvantage: university education; working in public sector; residence in Lima.	Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition; Oaxaca-Ransom; Oaxaca-Cotton
Trivelli (2005)	ENAHO 2001-IV	Discusses linked between poverty and ethnicity. There is a significant wage gap between indigenous and non-indigenous workers (49%). 43% of this gap can be explained by differences in human capital endowments. Even when indigenous human capital endowments are increased, salaries are still below non-indigenous salaries.	Oaxaca Decomposition
Ñopo, Saavedra and Torero (2007),	2000 LSMS; 2001 additional module (racial and ethnic characteristics)	Developed new methodology to identify earnings differentials related to observable racial and ethnic characteristics. Concept to racial intensity and "score-based" methodology to identify the racial intensities among the mestizo population, as well as to capture the several dimensions of ethnicity, not always included in censuses or surveys: mother tongue, parental background, race, and religion. The analysis showed differentials only among wage earners and not among the self employed. Moreover, there are apparently no effects of ethnic-related variables (religion, birthplace or native tongue) over earnings. The effect is positive however when human capital variables (education) are introduced.	Score-based methodology
Barrón (2008)	2003 ENAHO	Explores the impact of exclusion and discrimination on income inequality. His results showed income for average indigenous workers around 44% of non-indigenous individuals, though no difference between in terms of returns to education. The analysis shows that exclusion plays a bigger role in explaining inequality and income, than discrimination. In other words, indigenous individuals are excluded from certain processes that hinder their human accumulation process. In this sense, the author argues, distribution in the years of schooling would seem to contribute to reduce the income ethnic gap.	Theil T; Minceran Equations

Uruguay		Gender Gaps: At the forefront of education, still lagging in gender wage equalization	
Arends (1992)	1985 Encuesta Nacional de Hogares (DGEC)	The wave of emigration the country experienced in the 1970's provided an opportunity for women to enter the labor force. Women's earning represented on average 75% of men's wages despite having higher levels of education than men. Differences however are largely attributed to wage structures and occupational segregation, rather than to differences in human capital endowments.	Oaxaca decomposition
Rivas and Rossi (2000)	Encuesta Continua de Hogares 1997	Gender wage gap decreased in the 90's. Human capital and labor market insertion mainly explain changes in wage gap; but the "unexplained differences" are what really explain wage differentials.	Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition
Ferre and Rossi (2002)	Encuesta Continua de Hogares y en el Censo de Población y Vivienda d	Study aims to study the evolution of gender occupational segregation in Uruguay 1986-1997. Authors show that the highest levels of occupational segregation are seen among women in rural areas. Almost half of women would need to change their occupations in order to achieve perfect integration into the labor market.	Duncan Index
Deutsch, Morrison, Piras and Ñopo (2004)	Household survey (89;93;97)	Authors found that in countries like Uruguay, were the gender gap is relatively smaller, a greater degree of occupational segregation contributed to reducing the wage gap.	Fluckiger and Silber (1999)
Tenjo, Ribero, Bernat (2005	Household surveys (LAC comparisons)	Wage differential may be attributed to salary patterns in certain sectors, and occupational segregation. However, a strong part was also attributed to traditional social structures in LAC societies preventing women from expanding labor market opportunities. Wage differentials may be a result of societal characteristics and traditional roles assigned to women, competing with their potential role in the labor market.	Heckman; Chow ; Oaxaca