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Owing to rounding and incomplete reporting of various breakdowns, the component items do not always sum to the total for the category in question.

Lhs, rhs = left-hand scale, right-hand scale

## Participating institutions

Data on foreign exchange national turnover and amounts outstanding were provided by the following institutions:

| Argentina | Central Bank of Argentina |
| :---: | :---: |
| Australia | Reserve Bank of Australia |
| Austria | Central Bank of the Republic of Austria |
| Bahrain | Bahrain Monetary Agency |
| Belgium | National Bank of Belgium |
| Brazil | Central Bank of Brazil |
| Bulgaria | Bulgarian National Bank |
| Canada | Bank of Canada |
| Chile | Central Bank of Chile |
| China | People's Bank of China |
|  | State Administration of Foreign Exchange |
| Chinese Taipei | Central Bank of China |
| Colombia | Bank of the Republic |
| Czech Republic | Czech National Bank |
| Denmark | National Bank of Denmark |
| Estonia | Bank of Estonia |
| Finland | Bank of Finland |
| France | Bank of France |
| Germany | Deutsche Bundesbank |
| Greece | Bank of Greece |
| Hong Kong SAR | Hong Kong Monetary Authority |
| Hungary | Magyar Nemzeti Bank |
| India | Reserve Bank of India |
| Indonesia | Bank Indonesia |
| Ireland | Central Bank of Ireland |
| Israel | Bank of Israel |
| Italy | Bank of Italy |
| Japan | Bank of Japan |
| Korea | Bank of Korea |
| Latvia | Bank of Latvia |
| Lithuania | Bank of Lithuania |
| Luxembourg | Central Bank of Luxembourg |
| Malaysia | Central Bank of Malaysia |
| Mexico | Bank of Mexico |
| Netherlands | Netherlands Bank |
| New Zealand | Reserve Bank of New Zealand |
| Norway | Central Bank of Norway |
| Peru | Central Reserve Bank of Peru |
| Philippines | Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas |
| Poland | National Bank of Poland |
| Portugal | Bank of Portugal |
| Romania | National Bank of Romania |
| Russia | Central Bank of the Russian Federation |
| Saudi Arabia | Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency |
| Singapore | Monetary Authority of Singapore |
| Slovakia | National Bank of Slovakia |
| South Africa | South African Reserve Bank |
| Spain | Bank of Spain |
| Sweden | Sveriges Riksbank |
|  | Statistics Sweden |
| Switzerland | Swiss National Bank |
| Thailand | Bank of Thailand |
| Turkey | Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey |
| United Kingdom | Bank of England |
| United States | Federal Reserve Bank of New York |

## A. Summary of main findings on global foreign exchange markets

Previous triennial surveys have used the expression "traditional foreign exchange markets" to refer to spot transactions, outright forwards and foreign exchange swaps. This expression excluded currency swaps and currency options, which were discussed separately. Starting with the 2010 survey, the expression "global foreign exchange markets" includes all five categories of foreign exchange instruments. The analysis distinguishes between spot transactions and other related foreign exchange instruments (outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange products).
In addition, the format of the 2010 survey includes the following main refinements and clarifications of reporting procedures compared with the 2007 survey:

- The list of currency pairs has been expanded in order to capture transactions involving currencies typically used in carry trade strategies, namely AUD/JPY, NZD/JPY, USD/ZAR and USD/HKD. Trades in the Brazilian real, Chinese renminbi, Indian rupee and Korean won against the US dollar were also collected.
- A more detailed counterparty breakdown for each instrument has been collected for identification of execution method in order to include a distinction between "with reporting dealers, local" and "with reporting dealers, cross-border".
Despite these changes, the data presented here can be considered as being largely comparable with those of the previous triennial survey in 2007, notwithstanding the different structure of the results. Weighted average coverage of foreign exchange markets in reporting countries increased from 96\% in 2007 to $97 \%$ in 2010.


## 1. Turnover in April 2010

- Global foreign exchange market turnover was 20\% higher in April 2010 than in April 2007, with average daily turnover of $\$ 4.0$ trillion compared with $\$ 3.3$ trillion. The increase was driven by the $48 \%$ growth in turnover of spot transactions, which represent $37 \%$ of foreign exchange market turnover. Spot turnover rose to \$1.5 trillion in April 2010 from \$1.0 trillion in April 2007.
- $\quad$ The increase in turnover of other foreign exchange instruments was more modest at $7 \%$, with average daily turnover of $\$ 2.5$ trillion in April 2010. Turnover in outright forwards and currency swaps grew strongly (by $31 \%$ and $36 \%$, respectively). Turnover in the large foreign exchange swaps segment was flat relative to the previous survey, while trading in currency options fell.
- As regards counterparties, the higher global foreign exchange market turnover is associated with the increased trading activity of "other financial institutions" - a category that includes non-reporting banks, hedge funds, pension funds, mutual funds, insurance companies and central banks. Turnover by this category grew by 42\%, rising to $\$ 1.9$ trillion in April 2010 from $\$ 1.3$ trillion in April 2007. At 13\%, the share of trading with non-financial customers was the lowest since 2001.
- Foreign exchange market activity became more global, with cross-border transactions representing $65 \%$ of trading activity in April 2010, while local transactions accounted for $35 \%$, the lowest share ever.
- The relative ranking of foreign exchange trading centres has changed slightly from the previous survey. Banks located in the United Kingdom accounted for $37 \%$ of all foreign exchange market turnover, against $35 \%$ in 2007, followed by the United States (18\%), Japan (6\%), Singapore (5\%), Switzerland (5\%), Hong Kong SAR (5\%) and Australia (4\%).


## 2. Amounts outstanding and market values at end-June 2010

- Growth in the positions of OTC foreign exchange instruments was moderate at 9\%, compared with an increase of $83 \%$ in notional amounts outstanding of currency instruments in the 2004-07 period.
- In contrast, market values of these instruments almost doubled against a backdrop of increased financial market volatility during mid-April and early June 2010.


## B. Turnover in the global foreign exchange markets in April 2010

## 1. Growth of global foreign exchange turnover

The 2010 triennial survey shows another substantial increase in global foreign exchange market activity (spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange products ${ }^{1}$ ) since the last survey in 2007, following the unprecedented $72 \%$ rise in activity between 2004 and $2007 .{ }^{2}$ In the wake of the financial crisis, global foreign exchange market turnover was 20\% higher in April 2010 than in April 2007 (Table B.1). This increase brought average daily turnover to $\$ 4.0$ trillion (from $\$ 3.3$ trillion) at current exchange rates. Because euro/dollar exchange rates were almost unchanged in April 2007 and 2010, growth calculated at constant exchange rates was similar at 18\%. ${ }^{3,4}$
Global foreign exchange market turnover ${ }^{1}$
Daily averages in April, in billions of US dollars

| Instrument/maturity | 1998 | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign exchange instruments | 1,527 | 1,239 | 1,934 | 3,324 | 3,981 |
| Spot transactions ${ }^{2}$ | 568 | 386 | 631 | 1,005 | 1,490 |
| Outright forwards ${ }^{2}$ | 128 | 130 | 209 | 362 | 475 |
| Up to 7 days | 65 | 51 | 92 | 154 | 219 |
| Over 7 days | 62 | 80 | 116 | 208 | 256 |
| Foreign exchange swaps ${ }^{2}$ | 734 | 656 | 954 | 1,714 | 1,765 |
| Up to 7 days | 528 | 451 | 700 | 1,329 | 1,304 |
| Over 7 days | 202 | 204 | 252 | 382 | 459 |
| Currency swaps | 10 | 7 | 21 | 31 | 43 |
| Options and other products ${ }^{3}$ | 87 | 60 | 119 | 212 | 207 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover at April 2010 exchange rates ${ }^{4}$ | 1,705 | 1,505 | 2,040 | 3,370 | 3,981 |
| Estimated gaps in reporting | 49 | 30 | 116 | 152 | 144 |
| Exchange-traded derivatives ${ }^{5}$ | 11 | 12 | 26 | 80 | 168 |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis). ${ }^{2}$ Previously classified as part of the so-called "Traditional FX market". ${ }^{3}$ The category "other FX products" covers highly leveraged transactions and/or trades whose notional amount is variable and where a decomposition into individual plain vanilla components was impractical or impossible. ${ }^{4}$ Non-US dollar legs of foreign currency transactions were converted into original currency amounts at average exchange rates for April of each survey year and then reconverted into US dollar amounts at average April 2010 exchange rates. ${ }^{5}$ Sources: FOW TRADEdata; Futures Industry Association; various futures and options exchanges. Reported monthly data were converted into daily averages of 20.5 days in 1998, 19.5 days in 2001, 20.5 in 2004, 20 in 2007 and 20 in 2010.

Table B. 1

[^0]Turnover of outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other OTC foreign exchange products continues to be many times larger than the volumes traded on organised exchanges. Daily turnover for currency instruments on organised exchanges was $\$ 168$ billion, less than $7 \%$ of the $\$ 2.5$ trillion average daily turnover in those instruments (Table B.1).

## 2. Turnover by counterparty

Data for turnover by counterparty show that the increase in global foreign exchange market turnover in 2010 is largely due to the enlarged trading activity of other financial institutions a category that includes non-reporting banks, hedge funds, pension funds, mutual funds, insurance companies and central banks ${ }^{5}$ (Graph B.1). Turnover by this category grew by 42\% to \$1.9 trillion in April 2010 from \$1.3 trillion in April 2007.


Although a surge in activity with other financial institutions had already accounted for most of the growth in total turnover in 2007, this category's share (48\%) surpassed transactions between reporting dealers (39\%) for the first time in 2010 (Graph B.1). Other financial institutions increased their activity mainly in the spot market, with their share of turnover rising from $39 \%$ to $51 \%$. In outright forwards, their share rose from $44 \%$ to $54 \%$ (Annex Table E.1).

Transactions between reporting dealers in the interbank market grew by 11\% to $\$ 1.5$ trillion in April 2010 from $\$ 1.4$ trillion in April 2007 (Table B.2). Some of the factors identified as drivers of the downward trend in the share of the interbank market in analyses of previous triennial surveys, such as the increased concentration of the banking sector and the spread of electronic broking platforms, may also have had a dampening effect on interbank turnover. ${ }^{6}$

[^1]| Global foreign exchange <br> Daily averages in April, in billion | arket t US doll | urno | by cour <br> per cent |  | $\operatorname{arty}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 199 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 201 |  |
| maturity | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |
| TOTAL | 1,527 | 100 | 1,239 | 64 | 1,934 | 100 | 3,324 | 100 | 3,981 | 100 |
| with reporting dealers | 961 | 63 | 719 | 37 | 1,018 | 53 | 1,392 | 42 | 1,548 | 39 |
| with other financial institutions | 299 | 20 | 346 | 18 | 634 | 33 | 1,339 | 40 | 1,900 | 48 |
| with non-financial customers | 266 | 17 | 174 | 9 | 276 | 14 | 593 | 18 | 533 | 13 |
| Local | 698 | 46 | 525 | 42 | 743 | 38 | 1,274 | 38 | 1,395 | 35 |
| Cross-border | 828 | 54 | 713 | 58 | 1,185 | 61 | 2,051 | 62 | 2,586 | 65 |

[^2]The lower share of turnover between reporting dealers is consistent with ongoing concentration in the FX industry. Among the top 13 global FX centres (covering $90 \%$ of global turnover), a decrease in the number of banks accounting for $75 \%$ of the turnover was reported between 2007 and 2010 in most centres. In contrast, in Denmark, Hong Kong SAR and Korea, an increase in competition is evident (Table B.3).

Concentration in the banking industry
Number of banks accounting for $75 \%$ of foreign exchange turnover ${ }^{1}$

| Country | 1998 | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Switzerland | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Denmark | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Sweden | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| France | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Canada | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Germany | 9 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Australia | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| United States | 20 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 7 |
| Japan | 19 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| United Kingdom | 24 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 9 |
| Singapore | 23 | 18 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 26 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 14 |
| Korea | 21 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 16 |

${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards and FX swaps.

Foreign exchange market transactions with non-financial customers declined by $10 \%$, falling to $\$ 533$ billion in April 2010 from $\$ 593$ billion in April 2007 (Table B.2). This category, which includes corporations and governments, now represents around $13 \%$ of global foreign exchange market activity, its lowest share since 2001. An increase in spot transactions by these counterparties was offset by a decrease in the use of foreign exchange swaps and currency options. The use of outright forwards and currency swaps by non-financial customers was relatively unchanged (Annex Table E.1).

## 3. Turnover by instrument

Foreign exchange spot turnover rose to $\$ 1.5$ trillion in April 2010 from $\$ 1.0$ trillion, an increase of $48 \%$ at current exchange rates (Table B.1). The increase in spot market turnover to a share of $37 \%$ of the global activity accounts for three quarters of the overall increase in global foreign exchange market activity relative to the previous survey (Graph B.2). The higher turnover in spot transactions is largely due to more active trading by other financial institutions, followed by inter-dealer trading (Annex Table E.1).


Trading activity in other related foreign exchange instruments continued to expand, but at a much more moderate pace than in the three years to April 2007. Average daily turnover in these instruments grew by $7 \%$ to $\$ 2.5$ trillion in April 2010, compared with an increase of $78 \%$ in the previous three-year period (Table B.1). Turnover in outright forwards increased by $31 \%$ to $\$ 475$ billion. Trading in currency swaps also grew strongly, by $39 \%$, albeit from a much lower level, to $\$ 43$ billion.

Foreign exchange swaps, the most actively traded foreign exchange instrument by far, are widely used by banks to raise liquidity across money markets for different currencies. ${ }^{7}$ The dominance of reporting banks in this market has declined markedly over time, falling from $70 \%$ of total turnover in 1998, and around $60 \%$ in 2001 and 2004, to just $47 \%$ in 2010. At $\$ 1.8$ trillion ( $+3 \%$ ), the level of turnover is largely unchanged from the prior survey. The distribution of trading across other counterparties and maturities was also largely unchanged from 2007 (Annex Table E.1).
Finally, the use of currency options declined by $2 \%$ between surveys, with average daily turnover of $\$ 207$ billion in April 2010. However, turnover in currency options with other financial institutions increased, their share in this sector rising from $43 \%$ to $55 \%$ (Annex Table E.1).

[^3]
## 4. Turnover by maturity ${ }^{8}$

The distribution of turnover by maturity, which is collected only for outright forwards and foreign exchange swaps (Table B. 1 and Graph B.3), shows that the increase in instruments with a maturity of up to seven days was instrumental in the surge in turnover reported in 2007. Since then, the maturity distribution has remained fairly stable, with the share of shortterm instruments dropping back slightly from $72 \%$ to $68 \%$ of turnover. With spot transactions having increased by almost half since 2007, it would, however, be unwarranted to conclude that the significance of short-term trades in the foreign exchange market has decreased.


## 5. Turnover by currency

The currency composition of turnover has changed only slightly over the past three years, with the relative share of the main currencies diverging somewhat (Table B.4). ${ }^{9}$ The market share of the top three currencies (the US dollar, euro and Japanese yen) increased by 3 percentage points, with the market share of the top 10 increasing by only 1.4 percentage points. The biggest increases were seen for the euro and yen, and the biggest decline for sterling. The most significant increases in emerging market currencies were seen for the Turkish lira, Chinese renminbi and Korean won, followed by the Brazilian real and Singapore dollar. ${ }^{10}$ The renminbi now accounts for almost $1 \%$ of global turnover, on a par with the Indian rupee and the Russian rouble.

[^4]
## Currency distribution of global foreign exchange market turnover ${ }^{1}$

Percentage shares of average daily turnover in April

| Currency | 1998 | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US dollar | 86.8 | 89.9 | 88.0 | 85.6 | 84.9 |
| Euro | ... | 37.9 | 37.4 | 37.0 | 39.1 |
| Japanese yen | 21.7 | 23.5 | 20.8 | 17.2 | 19.0 |
| Pound sterling | 11.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 14.9 | 12.9 |
| Australian dollar | 3.0 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 7.6 |
| Swiss franc | 7.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 6.4 |
| Canadian dollar | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Hong Kong dollar | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Swedish krona | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| New Zealand dollar | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Korean won | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Singapore dollar | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Norwegian krone | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Mexican peso | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Indian rupee | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Russian rouble | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Chinese renminbi | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Polish zloty | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Turkish lira | $\ldots$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| South African rand | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Brazilian real | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Danish krone | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| New Taiwan dollar | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Hungarian forint | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Malaysian ringgit | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Thai baht | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Czech koruna | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Philippine peso | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Chilean peso | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Indonesian rupiah | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Israeli new shekel | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Colombian peso | $\ldots$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Romanian leu | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Saudi riyal | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Argentine peso | 0.1 | ... | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Peruvian nuevo sol | ... | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuanian litas | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other currencies | 8.7 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 4.6 |
| All currencies | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Because two currencies are involved in each transaction, the sum of the percentage shares of individual currencies totals $200 \%$ instead of $100 \%$. Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis).


The US dollar continued the slow retreat from its $90 \%$ peak share of all transactions, reached in the 2001 survey just after the introduction of the euro. The share of foreign exchange transactions involving the US dollar has fallen over time, to 85\% in April 2010 (Graph B.4). This decline benefited the euro, which gained 2 percentage points in market share since the last survey and accounted for $39 \%$ of all transactions. The Japanese yen also increased its market share by 2 percentage points, to 19\%, a recovery relative to the 2007 survey but still below its 2001 peak of 24\%.

| Currency and instrument distribution of global foreign exchange market turnover ${ }^{1}$ <br> Percentage shares of average daily turnover in April 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency | Spot | Outright forwards | Foreign exchange swaps | Currency swaps | Options and other instruments |
| US dollar | 35.2 | 11.6 | 47.4 | 1.1 | 4.7 |
| Euro | 44.4 | 9.6 | 39.2 | 1.1 | 5.6 |
| Japanese yen | 39.7 | 15.2 | 36.9 | 0.9 | 7.2 |
| Pound sterling | 41.6 | 10.7 | 43.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 |
| Swiss franc | 36.4 | 7.5 | 50.2 | 0.7 | 5.3 |
| Australian dollar | 36.8 | 9.6 | 46.7 | 1.9 | 5.1 |
| Canadian dollar | 37.0 | 12.5 | 46.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| Swedish krona | 21.5 | 9.8 | 64.4 | 0.8 | 3.4 |
| Hong Kong dollar | 19.9 | 4.0 | 74.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Norwegian krone | 23.4 | 11.7 | 60.0 | 1.2 | 3.6 |
| Korean won | 35.1 | 29.9 | 27.5 | 1.6 | 5.9 |
| Mexican peso | 36.3 | 10.8 | 47.5 | 0.7 | 4.6 |
| New Zealand dollar | 34.2 | 8.0 | 52.3 | 1.0 | 4.4 |
| Singapore dollar | 27.7 | 7.8 | 59.6 | 0.1 | 4.8 |
| Danish krone | 21.2 | 12.4 | 65.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| South African rand | 31.7 | 9.9 | 54.3 | 0.5 | 3.6 |
| Russian rouble | 50.6 | 6.3 | 39.7 | 0.5 | 2.9 |
| Polish zloty | 22.4 | 11.1 | 59.4 | 0.6 | 6.5 |
| New Taiwan dollar | 31.9 | 35.9 | 25.0 | 0.5 | 6.7 |
| Indian rupee | 35.8 | 36.1 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 9.9 |
| Brazilian real | 31.3 | 47.3 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 17.1 |
| Czech koruna | 17.4 | 8.0 | 71.3 | 0.4 | 2.8 |
| Thai baht | 37.1 | 14.5 | 45.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Hungarian forint | 24.1 | 10.6 | 57.8 | 0.3 | 7.2 |
| Chilean peso | 38.8 | 48.2 | 9.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| Malaysian ringgit | 38.0 | 37.9 | 20.2 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| Chinese renminbi | 23.7 | 41.6 | 19.9 | 0.2 | 14.6 |
| Israeli new shekel | 23.1 | 7.3 | 58.6 | 1.3 | 9.6 |
| Turkish lira | 27.2 | 10.4 | 43.1 | 6.5 | 12.8 |
| Philippine peso | 33.5 | 35.7 | 17.7 | 2.8 | 10.2 |
| Indonesian rupiah | 40.9 | 44.2 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 2.7 |
| Saudi riyal | 50.6 | 11.6 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Colombian peso | 43.6 | 24.3 | 0.8 | 30.9 | 0.4 |
| Argentine peso | 85.0 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Bulgarian lev | 91.2 | 1.0 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Bahraini dinar | 31.1 | 22.2 | 45.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Estonian kroon | 39.5 | 4.6 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuanian litas | 19.4 | 0.8 | 79.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvian lats | 18.8 | 2.4 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Peruvian nuevo sol | 64.6 | 34.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Romanian leu | 34.8 | 4.3 | 58.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| All currencies | 37.4 | 11.9 | 44.3 | 1.1 | 5.2 |

[^5]
## 6. Turnover by currency pair



Turnover by currency pair in April 2010 (Table B.6) showed no major changes in ranking from three years earlier, although absolute turnover in the major currency pairs tended to increase, with the exception of dollar/sterling transactions (Graph B.5). USD/EUR remained by far the dominant pair (with a $28 \%$ share), followed at some distance by USD/JPY with a slight increase to $14 \%$ of turnover. The USD/GBP pair continued to retreat from its 2004 peak to a $9 \%$ share or about the level reached in pre-euro 1998, but the EUR/GBP pair gained almost 60\% in absolute terms.

| Global foreign exchange market turnover by currency pair ${ }^{1}$ <br> Daily averages in April, in billions of US dollars and percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency pair | 2001 |  | 2004 |  | 2007 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |
| US dollar/euro | 372 | 30 | 541 | 28 | 892 | 27 | 1,101 | 28 |
| US dollar/yen | 250 | 20 | 328 | 17 | 438 | 13 | 568 | 14 |
| US dollar/sterling | 129 | 10 | 259 | 13 | 384 | 12 | 360 | 9 |
| US dollar/Australian dollar | 51 | 4 | 107 | 6 | 185 | 6 | 249 | 6 |
| US dollar/Swiss franc | 59 | 5 | 83 | 4 | 151 | 5 | 168 | 4 |
| US dollar/Canadian dollar | 54 | 4 | 77 | 4 | 126 | 4 | 182 | 5 |
| US dollar/Swedish krona | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 45 | 1 |
| US dollar/other | 193 | 16 | 300 | 16 | 612 | 18 | 705 | 18 |
| Eurolyen | 36 | 3 | 61 | 3 | 86 | 3 | 111 | 3 |
| Euro/sterling | 27 | 2 | 47 | 2 | 69 | 2 | 109 | 3 |
| Euro/Swiss franc | 13 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 62 | 2 | 72 | 2 |
| Euro/other | 22 | 2 | 44 | 2 | 123 | 4 | 162 | 4 |
| Other currency pairs | 28 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 139 | 4 | 149 | 4 |
| All currency pairs | 1,239 | 100 | 1,934 | 100 | 3,324 | 100 | 3,981 | 100 |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis).

The 2010 survey has expanded the detailed coverage of emerging economy currencies, including additional currency pairs. New currency pairs relate mainly to transactions between the US dollar and Asian currency pairs (Graph B.5).

## 7. Turnover by execution method

Reporting institutions were asked to provide information on the execution method ${ }^{11}$ used to settle their foreign exchange transactions. In the 2010 survey, the data on execution method now distinguish between trades "with reporting dealers, local" and those "with reporting dealers, cross-border", to allow more accurate elimination of double-counting. Separate information was requested for spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps and options: the data reveal that $40 \%$ of spot transactions are conducted on electronic trading systems ${ }^{12}$ (Annex Table E.24), compared with $12-27 \%$ for other foreign exchange instruments. As noted in previous surveys, the spread of electronic trading platforms has also contributed to greater activity by other financial institutions, particularly algorithmic trading (Graph B.6).

| Foreign exchange market turnover by execution method ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daily averages in April 2010 | Electronic methods

## 8. Geographical distribution of turnover

Foreign exchange market activity was more global in April 2010, with the share of crossborder transactions increasing to 65\% from 62\% in April 2007 (Table B.2). Local transactions fell to $35 \%$ of total turnover. Cross-border trading has increased slowly but steadily over the past five surveys.
The geographical distribution of foreign exchange trading typically changes slowly over time, and the 2010 results are no exception (Table B.8). Banks located in the United Kingdom accounted for $37 \%$ of global foreign exchange market turnover, followed by those in the United States (18\%), Japan (6\%), Singapore (5\%), Switzerland (5\%), Hong Kong SAR (5\%) and Australia (4\%). Japan has recovered its third-place ranking, which it lost in the 2007 survey. Singapore has moved ahead of Switzerland in 2010 (Graph B.7).

[^6]

In dollar terms, the greatest increases in trading activity were in the United Kingdom ( $\$ 370$ billion), the United States ( $\$ 159$ billion), Japan ( $\$ 62$ billion) and Hong Kong SAR ( $\$ 57$ billion). Other countries that saw significant growth relative to the 2007 survey include Denmark, France, Singapore, Finland, Turkey, Australia and Spain. ${ }^{13}$


[^7]Foreign exchange trading is concentrated in western Europe, which accounts for $55 \%$ of global turnover on a net-gross basis, thanks to the presence of the London market and a number of other important trading centres. The Americas and Asia account for about 20\% each (Graph B.8). These shares are approximate, since the data do not allow doublecounting between reporting dealers located in different countries of the same region to be corrected. Regional turnover by instrument is fairly evenly divided between spot transactions, which account for about $50 \%$ of turnover in North and Latin America and for about a third of turnover in other regions, and outright forwards and FX swaps, which account for almost two thirds of turnover in most regions but around $45 \%$ in the Americas. The remainder comprises options, which account for around 5\% of turnover (Table B.7).

Regional FX turnover by instrument ${ }^{1}$
Daily averages in April 2010, in billions of US dollars

| Region | Spot <br> Outright <br> forwards and <br> FX swaps | Currency | Options | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North America | 491 | 423 | 10 | 42 | 966 |
| Western Europe | 916 | 1,672 | 29 | 163 | 2,780 |
| Asia-Pacific | 361 | 743 | 16 | 38 | 1,159 |
| Eastern Europe | 30 | 38 | 0 | 68 |  |
| Latin America | 21 | 20 | 1 | 42 |  |
| Africa and Middle East | 13 | 25 | 2 | 41 |  |
| All regions ("net-gross") | 1,832 | 2,921 | 57 | 246 | 5,056 |
| Adjustment for cross-border double- | -342 | -681 | -14 | -39 | $-1,075$ |
| counting | 1,490 | 2,240 | 43 | 207 | 3,981 |
| Global turnover ("net-net") |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Regional aggregates are adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting, ie trades between reporting dealers located in the same countries were halved, ie "net-gross" basis. Regional aggregates are not adjusted for intraregional double-counting, ie trades between reporting dealers located in different countries of the same region were not halved. ${ }^{2}$ Global aggregates are adjusted for both local and cross-border inter-dealer doublecounting, ie "net-net" basis.

## Geographical distribution of global foreign exchange market turnover ${ }^{1}$

Daily averages in April, in billions of US dollars and per cent

| Country | 1998 |  | 2001 |  | 2004 |  | 2007 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |
| Argentina | 2 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Australia | 48 | 2 | 54 | 3 | 107 | 4 | 176 | 4 | 192 | 4 |
| Austria | 12 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Bahrain | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Belgium | 27 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 33 | 1 |
| Brazil ${ }^{2}$ | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Bulgaria | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Canada | 38 | 2 | 44 | 3 | 59 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 62 | 1 |
| Chile | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| China ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | .. | .. | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Colombia | .. | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Denmark | 28 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 88 | 2 | 120 | 2 |
| Estonia | .. | .. | $\cdots$ | .. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Finland | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 1 |
| France | 77 | 4 | 50 | 3 | 67 | 3 | 127 | 3 | 152 | 3 |
| Germany | 100 | 5 | 91 | 5 | 120 | 5 | 101 | 2 | 109 | 2 |
| Greece | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 80 | 4 | 68 | 4 | 106 | 4 | 181 | 4 | 238 | 5 |
| Hungary | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| India | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 27 | 1 |
| Indonesia | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Ireland | 11 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Israel | .. | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Italy | 29 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 29 | 1 |
| Japan | 146 | 7 | 153 | 9 | 207 | 8 | 250 | 6 | 312 | 6 |
| Korea | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 44 | 1 |
| Latvia | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lithuania | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 23 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 33 | 1 |
| Malaysia | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Mexico | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 43 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 52 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Norway | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 22 | 0 |
| Peru | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Philippines | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Poland | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Portugal | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Romania | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Russia | 7 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 42 | 1 |
| Saudi Arabia | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Singapore | 145 | 7 | 104 | 6 | 134 | 5 | 242 | 6 | 266 | 5 |
| Slovakia | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| South Africa | 9 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Spain | 20 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 29 | 1 |
| Sweden | 16 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 45 | 1 |
| Switzerland | 92 | 4 | 76 | 4 | 85 | 3 | 254 | 6 | 263 | 5 |
| Thailand | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 685 | 33 | 542 | 32 | 835 | 32 | 1,483 | 35 | 1,854 | 37 |
| United States | 383 | 18 | 273 | 16 | 499 | 19 | 745 | 17 | 904 | 18 |
| Total ${ }^{4}$ | 2,099 | 100 | 1,705 | 100 | 2,608 | 100 | 4,281 | 100 | 5,056 | 100 |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). ${ }^{2}$ Data for 1998 only cover spot transactions. ${ }^{3}$ Data from 1998 to 2004 only cover spot transactions. ${ }^{4}$ Estimated coverage of the FX market ranged between $90 \%$ and $100 \%$ in most countries.

Table B. 8

## C. Amounts outstanding at end-June 2010

## 1. Growth of global foreign exchange positions

This part of the report focuses on the results of the second part of the Triennial Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity together with those of the semiannual OTC derivatives market statistics, both at end-June 2010. The triennial survey provides a benchmark for the regular semiannual survey of positions in the global OTC derivatives market. Graph C. 1 combines the triennial end-June survey data (blue dots on vertical lines) with the more frequent semiannual data. While the semiannual survey relies on data provided by 59 major dealers in the G10 countries, the triennial survey expands coverage to over 400 market participants in 42 countries and jurisdictions worldwide (including the regular semiannual participants). Both surveys cover the worldwide consolidated positions of reporting dealers (notional amounts outstanding and gross market values) of foreign exchange instruments traded in OTC markets (outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange instruments). The coverage of the triennial surveys has been $9 \%$ higher than that of the semiannual surveys in terms of amounts outstanding in both 2007 and 2010.


Global positions in OTC derivatives markets by type of instrument ${ }^{1}$
Amounts outstanding, in billions of US dollars

|  | Positions at end-June 2007 |  |  | Positions at end-June 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional amounts | Gross market values | \% ${ }^{2}$ | Notional amounts | Gross market values | \% ${ }^{2}$ |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 57,604 | 1,612 | 2.8 | 62,933 | 3,158 | 5.0 |
| Outright forwards and FX swaps | 29,775 | 668 | 2.2 | 31,935 | 1,330 | 4.2 |
| Currency swaps | 14,130 | 666 | 4.7 | 18,890 | 1,372 | 7.3 |
| Options | 13,662 | 279 | 2.0 | 12,107 | 456 | 3.8 |
| Other | 37 | .. | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Memo: Exchange-traded currency contracts ${ }^{3}$ | 326 | .. | $\ldots$ | 386 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Gross market values as a percentage of notional amounts. ${ }^{3}$ Sources: FOW TRADEdata; Futures Industry Association; various futures and options exchanges.

Table C. 1
Growth in the positions of OTC foreign exchange instruments was moderate at $9 \%$, compared with an increase of $83 \%$ in notional amounts outstanding of currency instruments in the 2004-07 period. The 2007 and 2010 BIS triennial surveys bracket a period of strong growth in amounts outstanding, as shown by comparison with the semiannual data in Graph C.1. Notional amounts outstanding in all instruments peaked in June 2008, declined thereafter and recovered somewhat by June 2010.
Notional amounts outstanding provide useful information on the structure of the OTC foreign exchange market but should not be interpreted as a measure of the counterparty risk of these positions. While no single comprehensive measure of risk exists, a useful concept is the cost of replacing all open contracts at the prevailing market prices. This measure, called gross market value, increased at a considerably higher rate (96\%) than notional amounts during the reporting period, to $\$ 3.2$ trillion at the end of June 2010.
Sharp asset price movements following the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers in September 2008 resulted in a strong rise of gross market values (Graph C.1, left-hand scale) in the second half of 2008. Gross market values declined rapidly again in subsequent periods as prices moved closer to their pre-crisis values, but increased again in the first half of 2010 as foreign exchange markets went through another bout of turbulence.

## 2. Positions by counterparty

Data for amounts outstanding by counterparty show that the increase in global foreign exchange market positions in 2010 is also due mainly to the enlarged trading activity of other financial institutions (counterparties such as non-reporting banks, hedge funds, pension funds, mutual funds, insurance companies and central banks). While a surge in activity with both reporting dealers and other financial institutions had already accounted for most of the growth in total amounts outstanding in 2007, the latter category's share (45\%) surpassed transactions between reporting dealers (36\%) for the first time in 2010 (Graph C.2). Reporting dealers and non-financial customers had both accounted for a 39\% share of outstanding OTC foreign exchange instruments in June 2007.
In terms of counterparty risk, as measured by gross market values, the position appears largely the same in relative terms. While absolute amounts at risk have doubled (Graph C.2), this doubling has been distributed fairly evenly in all market segments.


## 3. Positions value by instrument

Not all foreign exchange OTC instruments showed limited growth in amounts outstanding. Currency swaps increased to almost $\$ 19$ trillion outstanding in June 2010, growing by a third relative to 2007, increasing their share of outstanding instruments to $30 \%$ (Graph C.3). In contrast, currency options outstanding fell $12 \%$ to $\$ 12$ trillion, or $19 \%$ of the total outstanding. Gross market values, which had been rather low in June 2007, despite large amounts outstanding, doubled for most instruments against a backdrop of currency volatility, with the value of options trailing behind somewhat, but still increasing by $63 \%$.


## 4. Positions by maturity

The maturity distribution of outstanding instruments is dominated by short-term instruments, with $65 \%$ of the outstanding total having a remaining maturity of less than a year (Graph C.4), which is consistent with the dominance of very short-term (seven days or less) instruments in turnover.

The instrument breakdown for notional amounts outstanding of FX derivatives reflects typical differences in the maturities of the various types of contracts. Outright forwards and FX swaps, which tend to have comparatively short maturities (Table C.2), accounted for a noticeably smaller share of open positions (42\%) than of turnover ( $56 \%$ ). By contrast, currency swaps, which tend to have much longer maturities than forwards or FX swaps, had a share of $43 \%$ in notional amounts outstanding, but only of $1 \%$ in turnover. ${ }^{14}$

[^8]

## 5. Positions by currency ${ }^{15}$

Global positions in OTC foreign exchange markets by currency (Graph C.5) showed only limited change in amounts outstanding. US dollar-denominated instruments were up slightly, being involved on one side of $85.5 \%$ of total amounts outstanding, compared with $83.0 \%$ in 2007. The weight of instruments denominated in sterling fell, with only $11.7 \%$ of positions including the currency on one side, compared with 15.5\% in April 2007.

[^9]Global positions in OTC foreign exchange markets ${ }^{1}$
Amounts outstanding at end-June, in billions of US dollars

|  | Total ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Of which |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Forwards and swaps ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Options |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 |
| Total by currency <br> US dollar <br> Euro <br> Yen <br> Pound sterling <br> Swiss franc <br> Canadian dollar <br> Australian dollar <br> Swedish krona <br> Other <br> by maturity ${ }^{4}$ <br> One year or less <br> Over 1 year and up to 5 years Over 5 years | 31,499 | 57,604 | 62,933 | 24,702 | 43,905 | 50,825 | 6,789 | 13,662 | 12,107 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28,402 | 47,783 | 53,821 | 22,024 | 37,407 | 44,205 | 6,378 | 10,376 | 9,615 |
|  | 11,726 | 21,356 | 22,710 | 9,248 | 16,205 | 18,528 | 2,478 | 5,151 | 4,182 |
|  | 7,265 | 12,178 | 12,611 | 5,178 | 7,130 | 8,411 | 2,088 | 5,048 | 4,200 |
|  | 5,078 | 8,933 | 7,383 | 4,013 | 7,701 | 6,426 | 1,065 | 1,232 | 957 |
|  | 1,590 | 3,451 | 4,319 | 1,276 | 2,424 | 3,358 | 313 | 1,027 | 961 |
|  | 1,261 | 2,604 | 2,801 | 1,044 | 2,183 | 2,482 | 217 | 421 | 318 |
|  | 1,583 | 3,056 | 3,892 | 1,169 | 2,344 | 3,265 | 414 | 712 | 627 |
|  | 877 | 1,601 | 1,590 | 790 | 1,434 | 1,453 | 88 | 167 | 136 |
|  | 5,216 | 14,246 | 16,739 | 4,663 | 10,984 | 13,521 | 538 | 3,189 | 3,216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,706 | 43,830 | 40,671 | 18,618 | 5,519 | 6,328 | 6,089 | 10,605 | 8,388 |
|  | 4,712 | 9,793 | 12,744 | 4,114 | 1,267 | 1,787 | 598 | 2,702 | 2,584 |
|  | 2,067 | 4,222 | 9,526 | 1,966 | 535 | 746 | 101 | 375 | 1,136 |

[^10]Gross market values increased particularly strongly in euro- and sterling-denominated instruments by end-June 2010. Market values for US dollar-denominated instruments declined on a relative basis from $91 \%$ of the total to $81 \%$ (Graph C.5).


## D. Methodology

## I. Background to the Triennial Central Bank Survey

In April and at end-June of this year, 53 and 42 central banks and monetary authorities, respectively, participated in both parts of the eighth Triennial Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity ("the triennial survey"). The objective of the survey is to provide the most comprehensive and internationally consistent information on the size and structure of global foreign exchange markets, allowing policymakers and market participants to better monitor patterns of activity in the global financial system. ${ }^{16}$ Coordinated by the BIS, participating institutions collect data in April from 1,309 ${ }^{17}$ banks and other dealers (so-called reporting dealers) on turnover in foreign exchange instruments. For the survey, each participating institution is requested to collect data from the reporting dealers in its jurisdiction and calculate aggregate national data. These data are then provided to the BIS, which compiles and publishes the global aggregates. In addition, more than 4,000 institutions located around the world participated in the second part of the triennial survey, which covers data on amounts outstanding at end-June 2010 and complements the turnover data collected in the first part of the survey. ${ }^{18}$ The triennial survey has been conducted every three years since April 1989, covering data on amounts outstanding since 1995.
It should be noted that previous triennial surveys have used the expression "traditional foreign exchange markets" to refer to spot transactions, outright forwards and foreign exchange swaps. This expression excludes currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange instruments, which are classified under OTC derivative instruments. Starting with the 2010 survey, the expression "global foreign exchange markets" includes all six foreign exchange instruments. The analysis will henceforth distinguish between spot transactions and other related foreign exchange instruments (outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange products).

## II. Statistical notes

This section contains a description of the methodology, general definitions, classification principles and compilation procedures for the most recent Triennial Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity carried out by central banks and monetary authorities in April and at end-June 2010. The main purpose of the statistics collected by the triennial survey is to provide a measure of the size and structure of foreign exchange and other derivatives markets and to monitor key developments in these segments. In conjunction with the banking and securities statistics, the data provide a more comprehensive picture of activity in global financial markets.

Two main statistical frameworks are implemented by the BIS for the collection of foreign exchange and derivatives statistics: the triennial survey and the semiannual OTC derivatives market statistics. The triennial survey covers data not only on turnover in foreign exchange in the month of April, ie the first part of the triennial survey, but also on OTC derivatives amounts outstanding at endJune, ie the second part of the triennial survey. The amounts outstanding part of the survey covers positions across a wider range of market risk categories and is used to benchmark the representativeness of the more frequent semiannual survey on OTC derivatives market activity. ${ }^{19}$

[^11]The present report is focused exclusively on the statistics covering foreign exchange market instruments.

The format of the 2010 survey includes the following main refinements and clarifications of reporting procedures as compared with the previous survey:

- The list of currency pairs has been expanded in order to capture transactions involving currencies typically used in carry trade strategies, namely AUD/JPY, NZD/JPY, USD/ZAR and USD/HKD. Trades in the Brazilian real, Chinese renminbi, Indian rupee and Korean won against the US dollar were also collected.
- A more detailed counterparty breakdown for each instrument has been collected for identification of execution method in order to include a distinction between "with reporting dealers, local" and "with reporting dealers, cross-border". The more detailed counterparty breakdown allows for a more accurate correction of inter-dealer double-counting.

Despite these changes, the data presented here can be considered as being largely comparable with those of the previous triennial survey in 2007, notwithstanding the different structure of the results. Weighted average coverage of foreign exchange markets in reporting countries increased from $96 \%$ in 2007 to $97 \%$ in 2010.

## 1. Coverage and basic features of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ survey

The triennial survey collects data on the following foreign exchange instruments that can be divided into two groups: spot transactions, for which only turnover data are collected; and outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange instruments, for which both turnover and amounts outstanding are reported.
In the turnover part, the instrument breakdown distinguishes between spot transactions, forwards, swaps, options and other foreign exchange products (see Section B.3). The counterparty breakdown distinguishes between transactions with reporting dealers, with other financial institutions and with non-financial customers. A further local/cross-border breakdown was requested (see Section B.4). The currency breakdown includes separate reporting of all reporting countries' currencies (see Section B.5). The maturity breakdown distinguishes between transactions with original maturity up to seven days, over seven days and up to one year, and over one year (see Section B.6). Information on the execution method was also requested for foreign exchange transactions (see Section B.7). Central banks and monetary authorities were also requested to provide information on the percentage coverage of the survey in their country, the number of banks covering $75 \%$ of the reported totals, the number of participants, the number of trading days ${ }^{20}$ and the nature of the turnover in April 2010 and during the preceding six months. The results are shown in Table D.1.

The second part of the triennial survey covers notional amounts outstanding and gross market values of foreign exchange, but also of interest rate, equity, commodity, credit and other derivatives. The following instrument breakdown was requested for the foreign exchange market risk category: forwards and swaps, ${ }^{21}$ currency swaps, currency options sold, currency options bought and other foreign exchange products. As in the turnover part of the survey, data for the OTC foreign exchange instruments are broken down by counterparty (see Section C.2), currency (see Section C.5) and remaining maturity (see Section C.4).

[^12]Basic features of the April 2010 foreign exchange market survey ${ }^{1}$

|  | Coverage, in per cent | Banks covering 75\% | Number of participants | Trading days | Nature of turnover |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | April | Preceding six months |
| Argentina | 98 | 10 | 67 | 20 | Above normal | Increasing |
| Australia | 95 | 7 | 27 | 19 | Normal | Steady |
| Austria | 92 | 3 | 14 | 21 | Below normal | Steady |
| Bahrain | 90 | 4 | 26 | 24 | Below normal | Decreasing |
| Belgium | 95 | 3 | 4 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Brazil | 70 | 7 | 14 | 20 | Normal | Increasing |
| Bulgaria | 90 | 3 | 11 | 20 | Below normal | Decreasing |
| Canada | 99 | 5 | 16 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Chile | 100 | 9 | 29 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| China | 70 | 10 | 15 | 22 | Normal | Steady |
| Chinese Taipei | 86 | 16 | 29 | 21 | Above normal | Increasing |
| Colombia | 85 | 13 | 17 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Czech Republic | 95 | 5 | 13 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Denmark | 98 | 3 | 6 | 18 | Normal | Steady |
| Estonia | 96 | 2 | 3 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Finland | 99 | 1 | 12 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| France | 98 | 4 | 35 | 21 | Normal | Increasing |
| Germany | 90 | 5 | 27 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Greece | 89 | 4 | 5 | 20 | Below normal | Decreasing |
| Hong Kong SAR | 95 | 14 | 67 | 19 | Normal | Steady |
| Hungary | 88 | 6 | 10 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| India | 75 | 19 | 19 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Indonesia | 76 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Normal | Normal |
| Ireland | 100 | 5 | 20 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Israel | 100 | 4 | 12 | 19 | Normal | Steady |
| Italy | 88 | 4 | 35 | 21 | Normal | Increasing |
| Japan | 98 | 8 | 45 | 21 | Normal | Increasing |
| Korea | 99 | 16 | 41 | 22 | Normal | Steady |
| Latvia | 31 | 3 | 5 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Lithuania | 96 | 2 | 7 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 10 | 148 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Malaysia | 100 | 6 | 10 | 22 | Normal | Steady |
| Mexico | 92 | 7 | 17 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Netherlands | 95 | 3 | 7 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| New Zealand | 95 | 3 | 5 | 20 | Above normal | Steady |
| Norway | 90 | 2 | 7 | 19 | Normal | Steady |
| Peru | 95 | 6 | 16 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Philippines | 100 | 9 | 32 | 19 | Normal | Increasing |
| Poland | 93 | 8 | 17 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Portugal | 100 | 4 | 75 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Romania | 99 | 8 | 33 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Russia | 94 | 16 | 40 | 22 | Normal | Steady |
| Saudi Arabia | 90 | 5 | 16 | 30 | Normal | Steady |
| Singapore | 99 | 10 | 54 | 21 | Normal | Steady |
| Slovakia | 60 | 5 | 3 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| South Africa | 95 | 5 | 11 | 19 | Below normal | Steady |
| Spain | 91 | 2 | 9 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Sweden | 90 | 3 | 4 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| Switzerland | 100 | 2 | 27 | 19 | Normal | Steady |
| Thailand | 100 | 10 | 27 | 18 | Normal | Steady |
| Turkey | 86 | 7 | 20 | 21 | Normal | Increasing |
| United Kingdom | 99 | 9 | 47 | 20 | Normal | Steady |
| United States | 95 | 7 | 24 | 21 | Normal | Increasing |

[^13]Table D. 1

## 2. Types of data collected

### 2.1 Turnover data

Turnover data provide a measure of market activity as well as an indication of market liquidity. Turnover is defined as the absolute gross value of all new deals entered into during the month of April 2010, and is measured in terms of the nominal or notional amount of the contracts.

No distinction is made between sales and purchases (ie a purchase of $\$ 5$ million against sterling and a sale of $\$ 7$ million against sterling would amount to a gross turnover of $\$ 12$ million). Direct cross-currency transactions are counted as single transactions; however, cross-currency transactions passing through a vehicle currency are recorded as two separate deals against the vehicle currency. The gross amount of each transaction is recorded once, and netting arrangements and offsets are ignored. For turnover of transactions with variable nominal or notional principal amounts, the nominal or notional principal amount on the transaction date is reported.
The basis for reporting is in principle the location of the sales desk of any trade, even if deals entered into in different locations are booked in a central location. Thus, transactions concluded by offices located abroad are not reported by the country of location of the head office, but by that of the office abroad (insofar as the latter is a reporting institution in one of the other 52 reporting countries). Where no sales desk was involved in a deal, the trading desk is used to determine the location of deals.

In all cases, transactions are reported to the BIS in US dollar equivalents, with non-dollar amounts generally converted into US dollars using the exchange rate prevailing on the date of the trade.

As in the previous triennial foreign exchange market surveys, turnover data are collected over a one-month period, the month of April, in order to reduce the likelihood of very shortterm variations in activity contaminating the data. The data collected for the survey reflect all transactions entered into during the calendar month of April 2010, regardless of whether delivery or settlement was made during that month.
In order to allow a comparison across countries, daily turnover averages are computed by dividing aggregate monthly turnover for the country in question by the number of days in April on which the foreign exchange and derivatives markets in that country were open. The number of trading days ranged from 18 to 22 in April 2010, with the exception of Saudi Arabia (30 days) and Bahrain (24 days).

### 2.2 Nominal or notional amounts outstanding

Nominal or notional amounts outstanding provide a measure of market size, and can also provide a rough proxy for the potential transfer of price risk in derivatives markets. They are also comparable to measures of market size in related underlying cash markets and shed useful light on the relative size and growth of cash and derivatives markets.
Nominal or notional amounts outstanding are defined as the absolute gross nominal or notional value of all deals concluded and still open on the last business day of June 2010; end-June is chosen to provide consistency with the semiannual OTC derivatives market statistics for the G10 countries.

As in the case of the turnover data, no distinction is made between sales and purchases of derivative instruments and the resulting claims and liabilities of open contracts. In the case of foreign exchange swaps, which are concluded as spot/forward transactions, only the unsettled forward part of the deal is reported. If foreign exchange swaps are executed on a forward/forward basis, amounts outstanding are reported separately for both legs. For other forward contracts and swaps, the transactions are always reported as one transaction only. For transactions with variable notional principal amounts, notional principal amounts at the reporting date are provided.

In contrast to the turnover part of the survey, data on notional amounts outstanding data are collected on a consolidated basis, ie including positions of all branches and (majority-owned) subsidiaries of a given institution. All these positions were added together and reported by the parent institution only to the monetary institution in the country where the parent institution had its head office. In addition, all in-house deals and deals with other domestic and foreign offices of the same institution were netted out.

Amounts outstanding are reported to the BIS in US dollar equivalents, with non-dollar amounts converted into US dollars using end-of-period exchange rates.

### 2.3 Gross market values

Another measure of the size of derivatives markets is provided by the gross market values. Gross market values also supply information about the scale of gross transfer of price risks in the derivatives markets. Furthermore, gross market values at current market prices provide a measure of derivatives market size and economic significance that is readily comparable across markets and products.
Gross market values are defined as the sums of the absolute values of all open contracts with either positive or negative replacement values calculated at market prices prevailing on the reporting date. Replacement value denotes the price to be received or paid if the instrument were sold in the market at the time of reporting. Market values are therefore the amounts at which a contract could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. If a quoted price was available for a contract, the number of trading units was multiplied by that market price. If a quoted market price was not available, the reporting institution provided its best estimate of market value based on the quoted price of a similar contract or on valuation techniques such as discounted cash flows.
Gross market value is defined as the value of all open contracts before counterparty or any other netting. Thus, the gross positive market value of a firm's outstanding contracts is the sum of all positive replacement values of a firm's contracts. Similarly, the gross negative market value is the sum of all negative values of a firm's contracts.
The term "gross" is used to indicate that contracts with positive and negative replacement values with the same counterparty should not be netted. Nor should the sums of positive and negative contract values be set off against each other within a risk category.
In the case of forwards and swaps, the market (or replacement) value of outstanding contracts to which the reporter is a counterparty is either positive, zero or negative, depending on how underlying prices have moved since the contract's initiation.
Unlike forwards or swaps, OTC options have a market value at initiation, which is equal to the premium paid to the writer of the option. Throughout their life, option contracts can only have a positive market value for the buyer and a negative market value for the seller. If a quoted market price is available for a contract, the market value for that contract is the product of the number of trading units of the contract multiplied by that market price. If a quoted market price is not available, the market value of an outstanding option contract is determined on the basis of secondary market prices for options with the same strike prices and remaining maturities as the options being valued, or by using option pricing models. In an option pricing model, current quotes of forward prices for the underlying (spot prices for American options) and the implied volatility and market interest rate relevant to the option's maturity would normally be used to calculate the "market" values.
In the case of options, the gross positive market value is the sum of the current market values of all purchased options, and gross negative market value is the sum of the values of sold options. As is the case for other instruments, options sold and purchased with the same counterparty are not netted against each other, nor are options that are bought and sold against the same underlying offset against each other.
Data on gross market values are reported, in US dollar equivalents, with non-dollar amounts converted into US dollars using end-of-period exchange rates.

## 3. Instruments

Foreign exchange transactions are broken down into spot transactions and three types of plain vanilla derivative instrument, ie forwards, swaps and options. Plain vanilla instruments are defined as products traded in generally liquid markets according to more or less standardised contracts and market conventions. If a transaction is composed of several plain vanilla components, each part is in principle to be reported separately. In addition, there is a separate category for "other foreign exchange products". This mainly includes transactions with a variable notional principal amount or contract features which act to multiply leverage.

The definitions used for foreign exchange market instruments are the following:
Spot transaction: single outright transaction involving the exchange of two currencies at a rate agreed on the date of the contract for value or delivery (cash settlement) within two business days.
Outright forward: transaction involving the exchange of two currencies at a rate agreed on the date of the contract for value or delivery (cash settlement) at some time in the future (more than two business days later). This category also includes non-deliverable forwards and other forward contracts for differences.
Foreign exchange swap: transaction which involves the actual exchange of two currencies (principal amount only) on a specific date at a rate agreed at the time of the conclusion of the contract (the short leg), and a reverse exchange of the same two currencies at a date further in the future at a rate (generally different from the rate applied to the short leg) agreed at the time of the contract (the long leg).

Currency swap: contract which commits two counterparties to exchange streams of interest payments in different currencies for an agreed period of time and usually to exchange principal amounts in different currencies at a pre-agreed exchange rate at maturity.
Currency option/warrant: option contract that gives the right to buy or sell a currency with another currency at a specified exchange rate during a specified period. This category also includes exotic currency options such as average rate options and barrier options.

Currency swaption: option to enter into a currency swap contract.
Other foreign exchange products: the options section takes precedence in the instrument classification, so that any foreign exchange derivative product with an embedded option is to be reported as an option. All other foreign exchange derivative products are in principle to be reported in the forwards or swaps section. However, foreign exchange derivative instruments which involve several features and where a breakdown into individual plain vanilla components is impractical or impossible, such as swaps with underlying notional principal in one currency and fixed or floating interest rate payments based on interest rates in currencies other than the notional (differential swaps or diff swaps), are to be allocated to the residual category of "other" foreign exchange products.

## 4. Counterparties

Following the methodology of previous triennial central bank surveys, reporting institutions were requested to provide a counterparty breakdown for each instrument depending on whether the counterparty was a reporting dealer, another financial institution or a nonfinancial customer. ${ }^{22}$ In the turnover part of the survey, reporters were also requested to

[^14]provide separate information on local and cross-border transactions according to the location of the counterparty. ${ }^{23}$
To enable survey participants to identify other reporting dealers and thereby perform the counterparty allocation, a list containing all institutions that participated in the turnover part of the survey was circulated to all market participants together with the main reporting templates. For the amounts outstanding part, however, the list of institutions circulated for the counterparty allocation comprised only those 59 reporters that regularly participate in the semiannual OTC derivatives survey. The reason for not including all reporting institutions was to ensure consistency with the regular derivatives market statistics and to limit the reporting burden. While this approach makes it difficult to accurately eliminate doublecounting of trades between non-regular reporters (see Section 8), the amounts involved are believed to be small.

The following three counterparty categories are covered in both parts of the triennial survey:
"Reporting dealers" are defined as financial institutions that actively participate in local and global foreign exchange and derivatives markets. These are mainly large commercial and investment banks and securities houses that (i) participate in the inter-dealer market and/or (ii) have active business with large customers, such as large corporate firms, governments and other non-reporting financial institutions; in other words, reporting dealers are institutions that are actively buying and selling currency and OTC derivatives both for their own account and/or to meet customer demand. In practice, reporting dealers are often those institutions that actively or regularly deal through electronic platforms, such as EBS or Reuters dealing facilities. The category of reporting dealers also includes the branches and subsidiaries of institutions operating in multiple locations that have sales desks, but not necessarily trading desks, which conduct active business with large customers.
"Other financial institutions" are defined as those financial institutions that are not classified as reporting dealers. Thus, the term mainly covers all other financial institutions, such as smaller commercial banks, investment banks and securities houses, and in addition mutual funds, pension funds, hedge funds, currency funds, money market funds, building societies, leasing companies, insurance companies, financial subsidiaries of corporate firms and central banks.
"Non-financial customers" are defined as any counterparty other than those described above, ie mainly non-financial end users, such as corporations and governments.

## 5. Currency and other market risk breakdowns

### 5.1 Turnover

In order to obtain consistent data on turnover in principal currency segments of the foreign exchange market, reporting institutions are asked to report turnover data on foreign exchange contracts and to identify the main currency pairs. Thus, data should be provided separately for trading in the domestic currency, the US dollar and the euro against each other and against the individual currencies listed below.

- JPY: Japanese yen
- GBP: pound sterling
- CHF: Swiss franc
- CAD: Canadian dollar
- AUD: Australian dollar
- SEK: Swedish krona
- Other currencies

[^15]Due to the importance of carry trade activities, data are also collected for transactions involving certain combination of currencies that - not being explicitly listed with the main currency pairs above - are typically present in carry trade strategies, namely USD/ZAR, USD/HKD, AUD/JPY and NZD/JPY. Data on trades in the Brazilian real, Chinese renminbi, Indian rupee and Korean won against the US dollar were also collected.
Moreover, given the increasing interest in the identification of turnover in all reporting countries' currencies, reporting dealers were requested to provide supplementary information on total turnover for the following currencies:
Argentine peso, Australian dollar, Bahraini dinar, Brazilian real, Bulgarian lev, Canadian dollar, Chilean peso, Chinese renminbi, New Taiwan dollar, Colombian peso, Czech koruna, Danish krone, Estonian kroon, Hong Kong dollar, Hungarian forint, Indian rupee, Indonesian rupiah, Israeli new shekel, Japanese yen, Korean won, Latvian lats, Lithuanian litas, Malaysian ringgit, Mexican peso, New Zealand dollar, Norwegian krone, Peruvian new sol, Philippine peso, Polish zloty, Romanian leu, Russian rouble, Saudi riyal, Singapore dollar, South African rand, Swedish krona, Swiss franc, Thai baht, Turkish lira and pound sterling.

### 5.2 Amounts outstanding

For amounts outstanding of foreign exchange contracts, the following currency breakdown was requested: USD, EUR, JPY, GBP, CHF and other currencies.

In addition, reporting institutions were asked to identify amounts for other individual currencies if they had a material amount of outstanding contracts in those currencies, ie if a notional amount outstanding in a currency for a given instrument was greater than $2 \%$ of the total notional amounts outstanding for that instrument. However, participating central banks had discretion in defining a "material" amount for reporting of other individual currencies.
In contrast to the turnover part of the survey, amounts outstanding of foreign exchange contracts are broken down on a single currency basis. This means that the notional amount outstanding and the gross positive or negative market value of each contract are reported twice, according to the currencies making up the two "legs" of the contract. The total of the amounts reported for individual currencies thus sums to $200 \%$ of total contracts outstanding, while total reported contracts represent only half of the sum of the individual currency components. For example, a reporting institution entering into a forward contract to purchase US dollars in exchange for euros with a notional principal amount of $\$ 100$ million will have reported $\$ 100$ million in the US dollar column, another $\$ 100$ million in the euro column and $\$ 100$ million in the "Total" column.

## 6. Maturities

### 6.1 Turnover

Transactions in outright forwards and foreign exchange swaps are broken down on an original maturity basis according to the following maturity bands:

- seven days or less
- over seven days and up to one year
- over one year

For outright forward contracts, the maturity band of the transaction is determined by the difference between the delivery date and the date of the initiation of the contract. For both spot/forward and forward/forward foreign exchange swaps, the maturity band for the contract is determined by the difference between the due date of the second or long leg of the swap and the date of the initiation of the contract.

### 6.2 Amounts outstanding

For amounts outstanding of foreign exchange contracts, a breakdown is requested by residual maturity between the following bands:

- one year or less
- over one year and up to five years
- over five years

In the case of transactions where the first leg has not come due, the remaining maturity of each leg is determined as the difference between the reporting date and the settlement or due date, respectively, of the near- and far-end legs of the transaction.

## 7. Execution methods

Reporting institutions were asked to provide information on the execution method used to settle their foreign exchange transactions. Separate information was requested for spot, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps and currency options.

Amounts outstanding are reported separately according to the following categories:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Interbank direct (inter- } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Executed between two dealers participating in the } \\
\text { reporting dealer) } \\
\text { triennial survey and not intermediated by a third } \\
\text { party. For example, a transaction executed via direct } \\
\text { telephone communication or direct electronic dealing }\end{array}
$$ <br>

systems such as Reuters Conversational Dealing.\end{array}\right\}\)| Customer direct (inter- | Executed between a reporting dealer and either a <br> dealer/customer) <br> customer or a non-reporting dealer, and not <br> intermediated by a third party. For example, a deal <br> executed via direct telephone communication or |
| :--- | :--- |
| direct electronic dealing systems such as Reuters |  |
| Conversational Dealing. |  |

## 8. Elimination of double-counting

Double-counting arises because transactions between two reporting entities are recorded by each of them, ie twice. In order to derive meaningful measures of overall market size, it is therefore necessary to halve the data on transactions between reporting dealers. To permit this, reporters are asked to distinguish deals contracted with other reporters (dealers) (see Section 4). The following methods of adjustment are applied for the three different types of data collected in the survey: turnover, notional amounts outstanding and gross market values.

## Double-counting and the specific case of overnetting

In the case of turnover data, the procedure used to eliminate double-counting between reporting dealers across countries implicitly assumes that each transaction is reported twice. This assumption can introduce a bias at the currency level if, for example, one country accurately reports cross-border transactions in their local currency with reporting dealers in the rest of the world, but counterparties in the rest of the world do not separately identify those deals under the relevant currency but under the residual category instead. In this case, the method of halving inter-dealer cross-border transactions will underestimate the size of trade for this currency. The resulting effect is referred to as overnetting.

Overnetting is likely to be more relevant for currencies with lower turnover since they are less likely to be separately identified by large centres. The consequence can be that the global turnover for the segment "with reporting dealers, cross-border" for a given currency could be less than the turnover reported by the currency's home country.

One way of partially correcting for overnetting is to use only the domestic report of crossborder trade in local currency as an estimate in cases where the overnetting is evident. The reasoning behind this methodology is that global turnover for each currency should be at least equal to the turnover reported by the currency's home country.

After measuring the extent to which the overnetting could affect each individual currency covered by the survey, results suggested that, at an aggregate level, some cases of overnetting can be found in currencies such as the Hong Kong dollar, Russian rouble, Slovak koruna and Danish krone. A selection of the currencies affected by this bias is presented in Table D. 2 below.

## Overnetting estimation for inter-dealer cross-border transactions

Foreign exchange turnover in April 2010, in millions of US dollars ${ }^{1}$

|  | Reported by <br> country of origin | Reported by rest <br> of the world | Calculated net- <br> net figure | Overnetting |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bulgarian lev | 47 | 11 | 29 | 18 |
| Colombian peso | 321 | 152 | 237 | 85 |
| Danish krone | 11,627 | 6,714 | 9,171 | 2,457 |
| Hong Kong dollar | 37,935 | 17,295 | 27,615 | 10,320 |
| Lithuanian litas | 783 | 736 | 760 | 24 |
| Russian rouble | 4,766 | 4,270 | 4,518 | 248 |

${ }^{1}$ Including spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange transactions.

Table D. 2

It is acknowledged that this bias can also be present for other major currencies; however, the lack of a vis-à-vis country breakdown makes it impossible to measure the size of the bias.

### 8.1 Double-counting: netting of turnover data

In the case of turnover data, in order to obtain country aggregates, the BIS deducts one half of the amounts reported under "with local reporting dealers" to arrive at the so-called "net-gross" figure, ie country aggregates net of local inter-dealer double-counting. In a second step, to obtain global aggregates, the BIS performs an additional calculation, which is the deduction of one half of the amount reported under "with cross-border reporting dealers", to arrive at the so-called "net-net" figure, ie the overall global turnover figure net of local and cross-border inter-dealer doublecounting. Based on this principle, country aggregates are systematically shown in all tables as netgross figures while global aggregates are presented as net-net totals. ${ }^{24}$

### 8.2 Double-counting: netting of data on amounts outstanding

The same methodology is applied to notional amounts outstanding. The only distinction from the turnover data is that amounts outstanding are collected on a worldwide consolidated basis, which implies that there is no relevant local/cross-border distinction. Double-counting is therefore eliminated by deducting half of the amount reported under "with reporting dealers".

### 8.3 Double-counting: netting of gross market values

In the case of gross market values, for which data are also collected on a worldwide consolidated basis without distinction between local and cross-border deals, the adjustments for double-counting are performed as follows: in a first step, gross positive and negative market values of contracts held by reporting institutions are added together to obtain data on a "gross-gross" basis; in a second step, the gross negative market value of contracts with other reporting dealers is subtracted from the "gross-gross" data to immediately arrive at "net-net" figures. For gross market values reported by non-regular reporting institutions, ie dealers that did not participate in the regular derivatives market statistics exercise in the G10 countries, the adjustments for double-counting are assumed to be proportionate to those of the regular reporting institutions.

## 9. Gaps in reporting

Gaps in reporting stem from two sources: incomplete reporting, ie deals between two non-reporters in the countries providing data, and less than full country coverage. The second type of gap is mitigated by the existence of counterparty reports. The bulk of the cross-border business of institutions located in non-reporting countries is very likely to be captured by the reports of their counterparties if they are reporting dealers in countries participating in the survey. However, transactions between dealers in non-reporting countries, and between non-reporting dealers and customers or other financial institutions, are not captured.
An estimate of both gaps is provided for turnover in foreign exchange instruments (see Table D.3). The basis for estimating gaps due to incomplete reporting in the countries providing the data is the information supplied on the coverage of the survey in each participating country. For example, if in a given country the coverage of the survey as compared with total market activity was $90 \%$, the gap from incomplete reporting is estimated to represent the remaining $10 \%$ of the total market turnover in that country.
Gaps are not estimated for notional amounts outstanding and gross market values because it can be assumed that the coverage for the two latter types of data is almost complete due to the worldwide consolidated reporting by all major dealers in the participating countries.

[^16]| Measures of global foreign exchange market activity ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- |
| Daily averages in April, in billions of US dollars | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total reported gross turnover | 1998 | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | 2010 |
| Adjustment for local double-counting2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total reported turnover net of local double- <br> counting ("net-gross") ) | 2,488 | 1,959 | 2,953 | 4,717 | 5,529 |
| Adjustment for cross-border double-counting ${ }^{3}$ | -370 | -245 | -344 | -436 | -472 |
| Total reported net turnover | -543 | -446 | -674 | -957 | $-1,075$ |
| $\quad$ Of which: cross-border transactions | 1,527 | 1,239 | 1,934 | 3,324 | 3,981 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Including spot, outright forward, foreign exchange swap, currency swap, currency options and other foreign exchange products. ${ }^{2}$ Made |  |  |  |  |  |
| by halving positions vis-à-vis other local reporting dealers. ${ }^{3}$ Made by halving positions vis-à-vis other reporting dealers abroad. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 10. Intertemporal comparisons

Intertemporal comparisons are complicated by changes in coverage and definition, and by the movement of exchange rates over the three-year periods separating the surveys in the participating countries.
Changes in coverage may be of two kinds. First, within national markets the coverage of dealers active in national markets may have changed. An increase in the number of reporting institutions, for example, does not necessarily denote greater coverage. If institutions which were not active before, and were therefore not covered in earlier reports, begin to deal on a substantial scale, it is legitimate to compare the total turnover of the larger number of reporting institutions with the total turnover of the smaller number reporting their transactions in the previous period. The same applies, of course, in the case of a decrease in the number of reporting institutions due to a reduction or the transfer to another country of their activity, and to their relative importance in the market.
The second type of change in coverage relates to the inclusion of a larger number of countries and of new features since the inception of the survey in 1986. For instance, in 1995 the coverage of market activity was significantly expanded to include most financial derivatives. In 1998 the number of reporting countries increased from 28 to 43 and the coverage of derivatives market activity was further expanded to include separate data on credit-linked derivatives. In 2001, 2004 and 2007 the number of reporting countries increased further to 48, 52 and 54, respectively, while in 2010 the number of participating countries dropped to 53 . For all these periods, the coverage of market segments remained the same as in 1998.
While the additional information provided by new reporting countries is valuable, not all of it relates to transactions that were not captured before. The bulk of these countries' crossborder transactions with dealers can be presumed to have been included in the reports of their counterparties in earlier years. In new reporting countries, the business not previously captured therefore relates to local inter-dealer transactions and transactions with nonreporting financial institutions and customers.

Another complication involves changes in definitions. Most changes in definitions reflect improvements in compilation procedures. In particular, greater effort has been made following the 1992 survey to classify counterparties accurately, and a finer counterparty
breakdown has been used. As a result, it is now possible to arrive at more accurate estimates of double-counting and to compile net figures on turnover for all items.
However, intertemporal comparisons have to be interpreted carefully. The current procedure introduces biases to the extent that the share of inter-dealer business has changed over time. In 2004, an effort was made to clarify the concept of reporting dealers, in order to better distinguish between inter-dealer and customer transactions. In addition, the reporting basis for the location of trades was further clarified as being, in principle, that of the sales desk of any reporting institution. See Section 2.1 of these statistical notes for more details.

The extension of the currency breakdown in 2010 is another factor to be considered when analysing movements in a particular currency or currency pair. The effect of the template expansion of selected currency pairs in the 2010 survey is shown in Table D.4. Some caution is therefore needed when making intertemporal comparisons on turnover for the currencies involved.

Effect of the template expansion on selected currency pairs
Foreign exchange turnover in April $2010^{1}$, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Of which: due to <br> template expansion | \% share of total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USD/BRL | 25,359 | 17,142 | 67.6 |
| USD/CNY | 31,283 | 21,551 | 68.9 |
| USD/HKD | 84,951 | 33,509 | 39.4 |
| USD/INR | 35,820 | 15,678 | 43.8 |
| USD/KRW | 57,900 | 23,465 | 40.5 |
| USD/ZAR | 24,045 | 16,835 | 70.0 |
| JPYIAUD | 24,040 | 12,840 | 53.4 |
| JPY/NZD | 4,089 | 4,050 | 99.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Including spot, outright forward, foreign exchange swap, currency swap and options transactions.

Table D. 4

## 11. Data at constant exchange rates

Another question often raised with intertemporal comparisons is the impact on aggregate turnover of movements in exchange rates vis-à-vis the US dollar from one reporting date to the next. For instance, turnover in the Japanese yen/pound sterling sector may have remained unchanged from one reporting period to the next in terms of these currencies. But if the dollar rises against both currencies, total turnover in the segment reported in dollar terms will be lower, thus signalling a decline where none has in fact taken place. Even in currency pairs involving the dollar, exchange rate movements will impact on turnover. For example, if a trade for a fixed amount of yen against US dollars is transacted, the trade will enter the aggregates with a smaller or larger US dollar amount, depending on how the yen moves against the dollar from one reporting date to the next. To provide some guidance on the impact of actual exchange rate movements on total reported aggregates, pre-2010 totals have been additionally recalculated at constant exchange rates, replacing historical exchange rates by average April 2010 exchange rates. All transactions in a given currency, say the yen, are converted into original currency terms at the historical exchange rate and then recalculated using the average April 2010 dollar/yen exchange rate, as appropriate. In the case of foreign exchange transactions, the dollar side of transactions remains
unchanged, since the exchange rate for dollar amounts is constant (and equal to one) over time. The sums of all recalculated transactions are divided by two. This takes account of the joint contribution of two currencies to each foreign exchange transaction.

## 12. Annex tables

The detailed aggregated results of the Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity in April and at end-June 2010, as far as foreign exchange instruments are concerned, are presented in the following set of annex tables in two separate sections (E. 1 and E.2):
Section E. 1 covers turnover in global foreign exchange markets, ie turnover in spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange products.

Section E. 2 comprises notional amounts outstanding and gross market values of OTC foreign exchange derivatives markets.
Country aggregates are systematically shown in all tables as net-gross figures, while global aggregates are presented as net-net totals (see Section 8).
In some cases, the sum of sub-items does not equal the total for particular categories. Apart from rounding, this can result from incomplete classification of data, use of residual categories and suppression of data for confidentiality reasons.

### 12.1 Turnover on foreign exchange markets (Section E.1)

Tables E. 1 to E. 4 show total reported foreign exchange market turnover net of both local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting ${ }^{25}$ by market segment, counterparty and currency. No adjustments were made for gaps in reporting in these or any other annex tables.
Because two currencies are involved in each deal, the sum of transactions in all individual currencies shown in Table E. 1 equals twice the total shown in the first column. Information by currency pair is shown for the US dollar in Table E.2, for the euro in Table E. 3 and for the yen in Table E.4. Because the data in these latter tables relate to currency pairs, the sum of all transactions equals the total for the currency in question, not twice that total. The totals for the currencies in Tables E.2, E. 3 and E. 4 therefore correspond to the figures in the second, third and fourth columns of Table E.1. The information on currencies relates only to separately reported transactions. If transactions in a given currency were not identified separately, but placed with residual (or other) currencies, global turnover in that currency may be understated (see Section 8 and the box on overnetting). For the major currencies, the amount of underestimation from this source can be presumed to be minimal.
The data on transactions in "currencies of other reporting countries" relate to transactions that have been individually identified as the local currency of another country participating in the survey (see Section 5 for the full list of currencies identified in the survey). Data in the "residual currencies" column represent the difference between the total and sum of components.
Tables E. 5 to E. 9 provide information on reported foreign exchange market turnover by country and currency net of local inter-dealer double-counting. No adjustment was made for cross-border inter-dealer double-counting or for gaps in reporting. The totals at the foot of these tables are the sum of the items in the columns in question. They do not correspond to those in Tables E. 1 to E. 4 because of the absence of an adjustment for cross-border interdealer double-counting.

[^17]As in Table E.1, the sum of transactions in each individual currency in Table E. 5 equals twice the total transactions because two currencies figure in every deal. Because the data in Tables E. 6 to E. 9 relate to currency pairs, the total for all transactions sums to the total for the currency, not to twice the total.

Tables E. 10 to E. 15 contain information on reported foreign exchange market turnover by country, counterparty and market segment, and on the maturity breakdown of reported outright forward and foreign exchange swap transactions by country net of local doublecounting. No adjustment was made for cross-border inter-dealer double-counting.

Tables E. 16 and E. 17 contain information on the maturity breakdown of reported outright forward and foreign exchange swap transactions by currency net of local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting.

Tables E. 18 to E. 23 provide an intertemporal comparison of reported foreign exchange turnover net of local inter-dealer double-counting by country and market segment.
Table E. 24 provides information on reported turnover of foreign exchange contracts by execution method. The data broken down by instrument are calculated net of both local and cross-border double-counting.

### 12.2 Positions (amounts outstanding) on derivatives markets (Section E.2)

Table E. 25 contains detailed data on reported notional amounts outstanding of foreign exchange derivatives, broken down by instrument, counterparty and market risk factor (ie mainly currency). The data are adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting.
Table E. 26 contains detailed data on reported gross positive and negative market values of foreign exchange derivatives by instrument, counterparty and market risk factor (ie mainly currency). The data are not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting.

Table E. 27 provides information on the maturity breakdown of notional amounts outstanding of foreign exchange derivatives by instrument and counterparty. The data are adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting.

Table E. 28 provides an intertemporal comparison of reported notional amounts outstanding and gross market values of foreign exchange derivatives by instrument and counterparty. The data are adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting.

## E. Statistical annex tables

1. Global foreign exchange turnover in April $2010^{26}$
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## Conventions used in the tables

0 = Value close to zero.
... = Reported to be nil, not reported, not shown for reasons of confidentiality, not meaningful or not applicable.

Owing to rounding and incomplete reporting of various breakdowns, the component items do not always sum to the total for the category in question.
"Gross" refers to data for which no adjustment has been made for estimated double-counting; "net-gross" refers to data for which adjustments have been made for estimated local double-counting; and "net-net", or "net", refers to data adjusted for both local and cross-border double-counting.

## 1. Turnover in April 2010



OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Total reported transactions in all currencies
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Brazilian real | Renminbi | Czech koruna | Danish krone | Hong Kong dollar | Forint |  |
| 111,107 | 18,787 | 8,518 | 8,123 | 1,330 | 4,785 | 18,713 | 4,144 | Spot |
| 37,564 | 6,799 | 2,275 | 6,415 | 657 | 1,989 | 8,862 | 1,780 | with reporting dealers |
| 12,028 | 1,751 | 1,602 | 4,723 | 95 | 301 | 3,759 | 665 | local |
| 25,536 | 5,049 | 673 | 1,692 | 563 | 1,688 | 5,103 | 1,115 | cross-border |
| 56,135 | 9,641 | 4,672 | 1,419 | 413 | 1,627 | 6,448 | 2,008 | with other financial institutions |
| 19,953 | 2,456 | 2,446 | 385 | 117 | 293 | 1,951 | 730 | local |
| 36,182 | 7,185 | 2,226 | 1,034 | 296 | 1,334 | 4,497 | 1,278 | cross-border |
| 17,408 | 2,347 | 1,571 | 289 | 260 | 1,169 | 3,402 | 356 | with non-financial customers |
| 9,994 | 1,096 | 1,460 | 144 | 198 | 858 | 2,271 | 189 | local |
| 7,414 | 1,251 | 111 | 145 | 62 | 311 | 1,132 | 167 | cross-border |
| 28,836 | 8,578 | 12,866 | 14,248 | 612 | 2,794 | 3,725 | 1,816 | Outright forwards |
| 6,951 | 2,544 | 2,538 | 6,052 | 103 | 777 | 1,090 | 360 | with reporting dealers |
| 1,702 | 643 | 703 | 1,235 | 16 | 297 | 428 | 135 | local |
| 5,249 | 1,901 | 1,835 | 4,817 | 87 | 480 | 661 | 224 | cross-border |
| 17,197 | 4,123 | 8,585 | 5,467 | 213 | 865 | 1,505 | 1,052 | with other financial institutions |
| 8,274 | 1,788 | 2,574 | 1,332 | 107 | 599 | 673 | 394 | local |
| 8,923 | 2,335 | 6,011 | 4,135 | 106 | 266 | 831 | 658 | cross-border |
| 4,688 | 1,911 | 1,743 | 2,729 | 296 | 1,152 | 1,131 | 404 | with non-financial customers |
| 3,295 | 1,379 | 1,063 | 1,959 | 189 | 1,049 | 859 | 254 | local |
| 1,393 | 532 | 681 | 769 | 107 | 103 | 272 | 150 | cross-border |
| 12,607 | 4,420 | 3,875 | 1,849 | 174 | 1,521 | 1,887 | 549 | Up to 7 days |
| 15,912 | 4,080 | 8,343 | 10,377 | 421 | 1,215 | 1,564 | 1,249 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 316 | 78 | 649 | 2,022 | 17 | 58 | 274 | 18 | Over 1 year |
| 140,794 | 56,198 | 791 | 6,825 | 5,446 | 14,681 | 69,538 | 9,937 | Foreign exchange swaps |
| 71,439 | 28,866 | 187 | 2,879 | 3,474 | 8,103 | 36,484 | 3,418 | with reporting dealers |
| 20,111 | 2,717 | 53 | 2,566 | 543 | 1,207 | 14,982 | 1,209 | local |
| 51,328 | 26,149 | 134 | 313 | 2,931 | 6,896 | 21,502 | 2,209 | cross-border |
| 59,520 | 18,096 | 419 | 3,163 | 1,303 | 3,217 | 30,227 | 4,442 | with other financial institutions |
| 14,844 | 5,814 | 166 | 3,028 | 483 | 1,441 | 3,247 | 1,120 | local |
| 44,676 | 12,282 | 253 | 134 | 820 | 1,776 | 26,980 | 3,322 | cross-border |
| 9,835 | 9,236 | 184 | 784 | 669 | 3,361 | 2,827 | 2,076 | with non-financial customers |
| 4,049 | 4,701 | 142 | 748 | 367 | 2,749 | 2,138 | 212 | local |
| 5,786 | 4,534 | 43 | 36 | 302 | 613 | 690 | 1,865 | cross-border |
| 112,494 | 39,596 | 278 | 4,130 | 4,370 | 9,843 | 55,821 | 8,088 | Up to 7 days |
| 27,942 | 16,180 | 404 | 2,603 | 1,070 | 4,814 | 12,285 | 1,713 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 357 | 418 | 110 | 93 | 6 | 24 | 1,432 | 136 | Over 1 year |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. ${ }^{2}$ Because two currencies are involved in each transaction, the sum of transactions in individual currencies comes to twice the total reported turnover.

| OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrume in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ <br> Total reported transactions in all currencies <br> Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indian rupee | Rupiah | Won | Mexican peso | Norwegian krone | New Zealand dollar | Philippine peso | Zloty |
| Spot | 13,527 | 2,464 | 21,144 | 18,158 | 12,335 | 21,643 | 2,249 | 7,193 |
| with reporting dealers | 7,081 | 1,436 | 12,821 | 6,925 | 4,475 | 7,798 | 1,679 | 3,108 |
| local | 5,544 | 803 | 9,769 | 2,125 | 1,156 | 2,636 | 1,123 | 960 |
| cross-border | 1,537 | 633 | 3,052 | 4,800 | 3,319 | 5,162 | 556 | 2,148 |
| with other financial institutions | 2,947 | 621 | 6,229 | 8,366 | 6,105 | 11,299 | 375 | 3,176 |
| local | 878 | 176 | 3,183 | 2,812 | 1,285 | 3,416 | 201 | 950 |
| cross-border | 2,070 | 445 | 3,046 | 5,555 | 4,820 | 7,883 | 174 | 2,226 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,498 | 407 | 2,094 | 2,867 | 1,755 | 2,546 | 195 | 909 |
| local | 2,881 | 350 | 1,714 | 1,599 | 830 | 1,330 | 159 | 604 |
| cross-border | 618 | 56 | 380 | 1,268 | 925 | 1,216 | 36 | 305 |
| Outright forwards | 13,620 | 2,659 | 18,018 | 5,392 | 6,153 | 5,076 | 2,396 | 3,559 |
| with reporting dealers | 4,811 | 1,368 | 11,002 | 1,425 | 1,619 | 1,324 | 1,248 | 693 |
| local | 1,466 | 337 | 1,667 | 434 | 366 | 380 | 170 | 201 |
| cross-border | 3,345 | 1,031 | 9,335 | 992 | 1,253 | 944 | 1,079 | 492 |
| with other financial institutions | 5,059 | 1,070 | 5,639 | 3,014 | 3,301 | 2,976 | 891 | 2,159 |
| local | 1,513 | 322 | 1,865 | 1,140 | 1,649 | 1,354 | 217 | 923 |
| cross-border | 3,546 | 749 | 3,774 | 1,874 | 1,652 | 1,621 | 674 | 1,236 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,750 | 221 | 1,377 | 952 | 1,233 | 776 | 257 | 707 |
| local | 3,259 | 175 | 942 | 318 | 941 | 457 | 213 | 430 |
| cross-border | 491 | 45 | 435 | 634 | 292 | 319 | 44 | 277 |
| Up to 7 days | 3,263 | 552 | 2,590 | 2,117 | 3,102 | 2,621 | 370 | 1,160 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | $9,458$ | 2,071 | 14,808 | 3,164 | 2,986 | 2,398 | 1,983 | 2,334 |
| Over 1 year | 899 | 37 | 620 | 111 | 64 | 57 | 43 | 65 |
| Foreign exchange swaps | 6,789 | 667 | 16,597 | 23,735 | 31,602 | 33,148 | 1,188 | 19,074 |
| with reporting dealers | 6,030 | 569 | 13,823 | 10,683 | 14,863 | 16,028 | 1,004 | 7,083 |
| local | 5,243 | 417 | 12,908 | 2,302 | 2,269 | 4,894 | 841 | 1,986 |
| cross-border | 787 | 152 | 915 | 8,382 | 12,594 | 11,134 | 163 | 5,097 |
| with other financial institutions | 637 | 68 | 1,781 | 9,742 | 11,984 | 14,450 | 171 | 7,653 |
| local | 381 | 54 | 1,046 | 3,270 | 3,077 | 3,700 | 170 | 1,900 |
| cross-border | 257 | 13 | 736 | 6,472 | 8,906 | 10,750 | 1 | 5,753 |
| with non-financial customers | 121 | 30 | 992 | 3,310 | 4,755 | 2,670 | 14 | 4,338 |
| local | 99 | 26 | 908 | 767 | 2,216 | 872 | 14 | 632 |
| cross-border | 22 | 4 | 84 | 2,543 | 2,539 | 1,799 | ... | 3,706 |
| Up to 7 days | 3,290 | 447 | 7,387 | 20,278 | 22,222 | 28,699 | 555 | 15,680 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 2,931 | 220 | 8,329 | 3,359 | 9,231 | 4,296 | 628 | 3,280 |
| Over 1 year | 568 | 1 | 881 | 98 | 149 | 162 | 4 | 113 |
| For footnotes, see facing page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

Total reported transactions in all currencies
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rouble | Rand | Singapore dollar | New Taiwan dollar | Baht | Turkish lira | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| 18,139 | 9,111 | 15,616 | 6,064 | 2,836 | 7,955 | 15,092 | 69,356 | Spot |
| 5,936 | 4,040 | 6,746 | 2,102 | 1,096 | 4,328 | 5,664 | 20,399 | with reporting dealers |
| 4,610 | 1,344 | 1,868 | 1,201 | 445 | 1,750 | 3,667 | 7,151 | local |
| 1,325 | 2,696 | 4,878 | 901 | 650 | 2,578 | 1,997 | 13,248 | cross-border |
| 6,342 | 4,059 | 6,227 | 1,952 | 617 | 3,234 | 5,028 | 39,448 | with other financial institutions |
| 4,428 | 1,026 | 2,235 | 1,126 | 97 | 1,017 | 2,909 | 17,101 | local |
| 1,913 | 3,033 | 3,992 | 826 | 520 | 2,218 | 2,119 | 22,347 | cross-border |
| 5,861 | 1,011 | 2,643 | 2,010 | 1,123 | 392 | 4,400 | 9,510 | with non-financial customers |
| 2,103 | 733 | 758 | 1,463 | 1,051 | 301 | 4,213 | 4,497 | local |
| 3,759 | 277 | 1,885 | 548 | 72 | 91 | 187 | 5,013 | cross-border |
| 2,262 | 2,856 | 4,416 | 6,820 | 1,107 | 3,032 | 9,837 | 32,787 | Outright forwards |
| 699 | 689 | 1,093 | 3,456 | 80 | 964 | 4,235 | 6,471 | with reporting dealers |
| 361 | 320 | 283 | 641 | 20 | 498 | 1,106 | 2,097 | local |
| 339 | 370 | 810 | 2,815 | 59 | 466 | 3,129 | 4,375 | cross-border |
| 1,261 | 1,588 | 2,359 | 2,584 | 134 | 1,786 | 4,313 | 21,022 | with other financial institutions |
| 449 | 635 | 987 | 630 | 55 | 690 | 1,767 | 8,823 | local |
| 812 | 954 | 1,371 | 1,955 | 79 | 1,095 | 2,546 | 12,198 | cross-border |
| 301 | 578 | 965 | 779 | 894 | 282 | 1,289 | 5,295 | with non-financial customers |
| 167 | 413 | 613 | 457 | 870 | 204 | 1,110 | 2,320 | local |
| 134 | 165 | 352 | 323 | 24 | 78 | 179 | 2,975 | cross-border |
| 704 | 1,144 | 1,708 | 1,547 | 268 | 1,820 | 2,687 | 7,220 | Up to 7 days |
| 1,472 | 1,673 | 2,614 | 5,196 | 826 | 1,182 | 6,786 | 24,208 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 85 | 39 | 94 | 77 | 13 | 29 | 364 | 1,360 | Over 1 year |
| 14,240 | 15,628 | 33,594 | 4,761 | 3,503 | 12,634 | 10,324 | 63,590 | Foreign exchange swaps |
| 5,817 | 9,622 | 20,726 | 929 | 2,675 | 6,034 | 4,501 | 24,800 | with reporting dealers |
| 3,215 | 3,669 | 7,481 | 830 | 1,914 | 1,837 | 1,721 | 6,259 | local |
| 2,602 | 5,953 | 13,245 | 99 | 761 | 4,198 | 2,779 | 18,542 | cross-border |
| 7,556 | 5,031 | 11,032 | 3,184 | 636 | 6,310 | 4,461 | 30,045 | with other financial institutions |
| 4,224 | 1,131 | 3,841 | 3,112 | 303 | 2,103 | 1,195 | 6,078 | local |
| 3,332 | 3,900 | 7,191 | 72 | 333 | 4,207 | 3,266 | 23,968 | cross-border |
| 866 | 974 | 1,835 | 648 | 192 | 289 | 1,363 | 8,744 | with non-financial customers |
| 343 | 677 | 721 | 647 | 131 | 183 | 941 | 4,039 | local |
| 524 | 298 | 1,115 | 0 | 61 | 106 | 423 | 4,705 | cross-border |
| 13,047 | 12,112 | 26,598 | 347 | 1,914 | 9,886 | 7,690 | 50,139 | Up to 7 days |
| 1,067 | 3,400 | 6,795 | 4,159 | 1,511 | 2,696 | 2,532 | 10,628 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 126 | 117 | 201 | 254 | 78 | 52 | 103 | 359 | Over 1 year |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. ${ }^{2}$ Because two currencies are involved in each transaction, the sum of transactions in individual currencies comes to twice the total reported turnover. ${ }^{3}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{4}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

| OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrumen in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ <br> Total reported transactions in all currencies Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | US dollar | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc | Canadian |
| Currency swaps | 42,866 | 38,313 | 17,673 | 6,597 | 2,575 | 1,681 | 2,839 |
| with reporting dealers | 20,056 | 18,490 | 6,787 | 2,707 | 1,482 | 592 | 996 |
| local | 5,944 | 5,405 | 1,431 | 491 | 639 | 59 | 152 |
| cross-border | 14,112 | 13,085 | 5,356 | 2,216 | 843 | 533 | 844 |
| with other financial institutions | 19,255 | 16,771 | 9,498 | 3,320 | 878 | 904 | 1,309 |
| local | 7,488 | 6,522 | 4,376 | 1,169 | 388 | 216 | 259 |
| cross-border | 11,767 | 10,250 | 5,121 | 2,151 | 490 | 688 | 1,050 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,555 | 3,052 | 1,389 | 569 | 215 | 185 | 533 |
| local | 1,764 | 1,457 | 584 | 279 | 122 | 110 | 297 |
| cross-border | 1,791 | 1,594 | 805 | 290 | 93 | 75 | 236 |
| Options sold | 136,882 | 105,529 | 57,445 | 34,497 | 12,866 | 9,197 | 4,189 |
| with reporting dealers | 60,904 | 47,216 | 24,812 | 12,229 | 6,239 | 4,126 | 2,189 |
| local | 21,195 | 16,349 | 8,887 | 4,990 | 2,524 | 1,682 | 642 |
| cross-border | 39,708 | 30,867 | 15,924 | 7,239 | 3,715 | 2,444 | 1,548 |
| with other financial institutions | 60,448 | 47,221 | 25,850 | 19,399 | 5,280 | 3,575 | 1,621 |
| local | 33,423 | 27,862 | 12,117 | 14,411 | 2,449 | 1,671 | 713 |
| cross-border | 27,026 | 19,359 | 13,733 | 4,988 | 2,831 | 1,904 | 908 |
| with non-financial customers | 15,530 | 11,092 | 6,784 | 2,869 | 1,347 | 1,496 | 379 |
| local | 6,241 | 4,552 | 2,864 | 1,386 | 442 | 582 | 151 |
| cross-border | 9,289 | 6,540 | 3,919 | 1,482 | 905 | 914 | 227 |
| Options bought | 130,788 | 101,003 | 54,981 | 31,397 | 13,423 | 8,373 | 4,055 |
| with reporting dealers | 59,909 | 45,459 | 26,633 | 10,629 | 6,435 | 4,224 | 2,105 |
| local | 20,434 | 15,463 | 9,198 | 3,171 | 2,443 | 1,422 | 640 |
| cross-border | 39,475 | 29,996 | 17,434 | 7,458 | 3,991 | 2,802 | 1,465 |
| with other financial institutions | 53,027 | 42,385 | 21,329 | 17,678 | 4,909 | 2,709 | 1,419 |
| local | 27,812 | 23,627 | 8,879 | 13,282 | 1,898 | 1,069 | 587 |
| cross-border | 25,215 | 18,758 | 12,450 | 4,396 | 3,011 | 1,640 | 832 |
| with non-financial customers | 17,852 | 13,159 | 7,020 | 3,090 | 2,080 | 1,440 | 531 |
| local | 7,152 | 5,402 | 2,750 | 1,343 | 607 | 455 | 222 |
| cross-border | 10,700 | 7,757 | 4,270 | 1,748 | 1,473 | 985 | 309 |
| Total options | 207,264 | 160,194 | 86,705 | 54,465 | 19,952 | 13,395 | 6,097 |
| Other products | 150 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Total foreign exchange contracts | 3,980,703 | 3,377,809 | 1,555,076 | 755,283 | 512,560 | 253,321 | 210,148 |

[^19]

| OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrumen in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ <br> Total reported transactions in all currencies <br> Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indian rupee | Rupiah | Won | Mexican peso | Norwegian krone | New Zealand dollar | Philippine peso | Zloty |
| Currency swaps | 50 | 68 | 951 | 353 | 643 | 664 | 187 | 181 |
| with reporting dealers | 14 | 9 | 625 | 190 | 208 | 380 | 184 | 84 |
| local | 3 | 2 | 348 | 83 | 136 | 36 | 183 | 15 |
| cross-border | 11 | 7 | 277 | 107 | 72 | 344 | 1 | 69 |
| with other financial institutions | 19 | 57 | 264 | 136 | 389 | 280 | 3 | 82 |
| local | 10 | 53 | 62 | 30 | 214 | 62 | ... | 6 |
| cross-border | 9 | 4 | 202 | 106 | 175 | 218 | 3 | 76 |
| with non-financial customers | 17 | 2 | 62 | 28 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| local | 17 | 2 | 58 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| cross-border | ... | ... | 4 | 22 | 28 | 3 | ... | 14 |
| Options sold | 2,194 | 120 | 2,521 | 1,595 | 1,208 | 1,873 | 102 | 1,371 |
| with reporting dealers | 793 | 70 | 1,467 | 839 | 497 | 1,012 | 41 | 616 |
| local | 297 | 8 | 525 | 231 | 160 | 379 | 6 | 201 |
| cross-border | 496 | 62 | 942 | 608 | 337 | 634 | 35 | 415 |
| with other financial institutions | 877 | 38 | 836 | 613 | 501 | 587 | 37 | 570 |
| local | 470 | 1 | 341 | 225 | 252 | 210 | 7 | 235 |
| cross-border | 408 | 37 | 495 | 387 | 249 | 377 | 30 | 335 |
| with non-financial customers | 523 | 12 | 217 | 144 | 210 | 274 | 24 | 186 |
| local | 355 | 0 | 81 | 44 | 77 | 64 | 1 | 58 |
| cross-border | 168 | 12 | 136 | 100 | 133 | 210 | 23 | 128 |
| Options bought | 2,429 | 107 | 2,438 | 1,531 | 1,205 | 1,979 | 1,160 | 1,337 |
| with reporting dealers | 948 | 64 | 1,341 | 783 | 529 | 1,114 | 1,109 | 634 |
| local | 429 | 8 | 484 | 253 | 141 | 333 | 1,056 | 221 |
| cross-border | 520 | 56 | 857 | 530 | 388 | 780 | 54 | 413 |
| with other financial institutions | 781 | 24 | 838 | 609 | 411 | 520 | 26 | 543 |
| local | 332 | 5 | 467 | 253 | 144 | 192 | 7 | 187 |
| cross-border | 449 | 20 | 371 | 356 | 266 | 327 | 18 | 356 |
| with non-financial customers | 699 | 19 | 260 | 139 | 265 | 346 | 25 | 160 |
| local | 477 | 1 | 117 | 36 | 113 | 119 | 1 | 76 |
| cross-border | 222 | 18 | 143 | 104 | 152 | 227 | 24 | 85 |
| Total options | 3,752 | 160 | 3,555 | 2,316 | 1,899 | 2,790 | 687 | 2,083 |
| Other products | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Total foreign exchange contracts | 37,738 | 6,019 | 60,265 | 49,954 | 52,632 | 63,321 | 6,707 | 32,089 |
| For footnotes, see facing page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total reported transactions in all currencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rouble | Rand | Singapore dollar | New Taiwan dollar | Baht | Turkish lira | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| 182 | 150 | 67 | 103 | 111 | 1,908 | 1,692 | 1,400 | Currency swaps |
| 65 | 91 | 44 | 34 | 62 | 1,238 | 1,369 | 640 | with reporting dealers |
| 29 | 9 | 1 | 21 | 59 | 692 | 1,255 | 43 | local |
| 36 | 82 | 42 | 13 | 3 | 546 | 114 | 597 | cross-border |
| 102 | 49 | 19 | 26 | 20 | 589 | 192 | 651 | with other financial institutions |
| 33 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 18 | 283 | 61 | 114 | local |
| 69 | 43 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 305 | 131 | 536 | cross-border |
| 15 | 10 | 4 | 43 | 29 | 81 | 131 | 110 | with non-financial customers |
| 12 | 3 | 4 | 37 | 29 | 16 | 119 | 53 | local |
| 3 | 7 | 0 | 5 | ... | 65 | 12 | 56 | cross-border |
| 766 | 775 | 1,750 | 914 | 50 | 2,559 | 572 | 10,901 | Options sold |
| 491 | 523 | 915 | 485 | 11 | 1,090 | 199 | 5,199 | with reporting dealers |
| 288 | 234 | 236 | 150 | 9 | 431 | 74 | 936 | local |
| 204 | 289 | 679 | 335 | 2 | 658 | 124 | 4,263 | cross-border |
| 208 | 201 | 633 | 349 | 1 | 1,286 | 276 | 3,156 | with other financial institutions |
| 89 | 56 | 362 | 138 | 0 | 714 | 68 | 1,054 | local |
| 119 | 145 | 271 | 211 | 1 | 572 | 208 | 2,101 | cross-border |
| 67 | 50 | 203 | 79 | 38 | 183 | 98 | 2,546 | with non-financial customers |
| 11 | 24 | 57 | 44 | 37 | 97 | 86 | 477 | local |
| 56 | 26 | 146 | 36 | 0 | 87 | 12 | 2,069 | cross-border |
| 761 | 782 | 1,846 | 862 | 55 | 2,260 | 602 | 7,486 | Options bought |
| 466 | 521 | 922 | 519 | 9 | 1,033 | 182 | 2,948 | with reporting dealers |
| 239 | 228 | 285 | 112 | 7 | 468 | 74 | 974 | local |
| 227 | 293 | 637 | 407 | 2 | 565 | 108 | 1,974 | cross-border |
| 223 | 195 | 662 | 250 | 2 | 820 | 331 | 2,290 | with other financial institutions |
| 91 | 59 | 345 | 96 | 1 | 192 | 79 | 937 | local |
| 133 | 136 | 317 | 154 | 1 | 628 | 252 | 1,353 | cross-border |
| 72 | 67 | 262 | 92 | 43 | 407 | 89 | 2,248 | with non-financial customers |
| 18 | 40 | 110 | 55 | 43 | 330 | 76 | 413 | local |
| 54 | 27 | 151 | 37 | 0 | 76 | 13 | 1,835 | cross-border |
| 1,049 | 1,035 | 2,678 | 1,273 | 95 | 3,757 | 984 | 14,313 | Total options |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 300 | Other products |
| 35,870 | 28,780 | 56,371 | 19,021 | 7,652 | 29,285 | 37,929 | 181,746 | Total foreign exchange contracts |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Because two currencies are involved in each transaction, the sum of transactions in individual currencies comes to twice the total reported turnover. ${ }^{3}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{4}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

US dollar against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc | Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spot | 1,187,699 | 468,891 | 183,108 | 139,582 | 50,793 | 65,148 | 83,869 | 5,441 |
| with reporting dealers | 421,171 | 159,180 | 66,429 | 47,249 | 14,637 | 19,816 | 28,888 | 1,975 |
| local | 145,414 | 46,591 | 17,329 | 16,797 | 5,064 | 5,395 | 9,268 | 697 |
| cross-border | 275,757 | 112,589 | 49,100 | 30,451 | 9,573 | 14,421 | 19,620 | 1,278 |
| with other financial institutions | 598,504 | 245,922 | 89,099 | 76,718 | 30,434 | 34,604 | 43,834 | 2,926 |
| local | 242,285 | 101,494 | 36,210 | 29,389 | 13,916 | 13,463 | 16,935 | 700 |
| cross-border | 356,217 | 144,429 | 52,888 | 47,328 | 16,518 | 21,141 | 26,898 | 2,226 |
| with non-financial customers | 168,025 | 63,789 | 27,579 | 15,616 | 5,722 | 10,728 | 11,147 | 540 |
| local | 67,744 | 16,085 | 13,105 | 4,441 | 1,451 | 5,891 | 5,435 | 233 |
| cross-border | 100,281 | 47,704 | 14,474 | 11,175 | 4,272 | 4,837 | 5,713 | 307 |
| Outright forwards | 391,501 | 92,085 | 89,205 | 33,599 | 10,715 | 20,295 | 20,494 | 3,468 |
| with reporting dealers | 96,325 | 23,568 | 7,287 | 7,463 | 2,894 | 3,436 | 5,177 | 1,292 |
| local | 23,950 | 5,397 | 2,142 | 2,170 | 786 | 897 | 1,323 | 330 |
| cross-border | 72,375 | 18,172 | 5,145 | 5,293 | 2,109 | 2,539 | 3,854 | 962 |
| with other financial institutions | 207,497 | 50,565 | 43,981 | 21,447 | 6,055 | 13,487 | 12,612 | 1,647 |
| local | 74,530 | 19,999 | 11,248 | 10,071 | 2,072 | 4,904 | 5,575 | 791 |
| cross-border | 132,967 | 30,566 | 32,733 | 11,375 | 3,983 | 8,583 | 7,037 | 856 |
| with non-financial customers | 87,680 | 17,951 | 37,937 | 4,689 | 1,765 | 3,372 | 2,705 | 529 |
| local | 39,901 | 9,730 | 8,853 | 2,970 | 1,084 | 2,173 | 2,001 | 297 |
| cross-border | 47,778 | 8,222 | 29,084 | 1,720 | 681 | 1,199 | 704 | 232 |
| Up to 7 days | 181,689 | 46,113 | 63,245 | 16,987 | 6,076 | 10,358 | 9,293 | 1,846 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 200,687 | 44,334 | 25,316 | 15,804 | 4,563 | 9,702 | 10,994 | 1,601 |
| Over 1 year | 9,123 | 1,637 | 644 | 808 | 76 | 235 | 206 | 21 |
| Foreign exchange swaps | 1,600,101 | 475,868 | 245,705 | 175,231 | 101,240 | 89,609 | 128,846 | 35,546 |
| with reporting dealers | 775,490 | 218,896 | 116,805 | 80,184 | 53,975 | 36,046 | 68,570 | 17,177 |
| local | 229,167 | 51,390 | 32,600 | 28,867 | 8,875 | 10,817 | 19,203 | 2,449 |
| cross-border | 546,323 | 167,506 | 84,204 | 51,317 | 45,100 | 25,229 | 49,367 | 14,728 |
| with other financial institutions | 685,372 | 211,629 | 109,094 | 80,627 | 37,513 | 45,214 | 52,998 | 13,405 |
| local | 196,326 | 59,711 | 27,084 | 34,027 | 10,283 | 12,109 | 12,513 | 3,519 |
| cross-border | 489,047 | 151,918 | 82,009 | 46,600 | 27,230 | 33,105 | 40,485 | 9,886 |
| with non-financial customers | 139,238 | 45,343 | 19,806 | 14,419 | 9,752 | 8,348 | 7,278 | 4,963 |
| local | 53,033 | 13,772 | 10,489 | 5,786 | 2,420 | 3,633 | 2,449 | 1,825 |
| cross-border | 86,205 | 31,571 | 9,317 | 8,633 | 7,332 | 4,716 | 4,829 | 3,138 |
| Up to 7 days | 1,208,255 | 346,362 | 180,217 | 135,846 | 77,198 | 68,014 | 105,574 | 25,573 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 376,210 | 126,990 | 63,746 | 37,945 | 22,806 | 20,731 | 22,965 | 9,710 |
| Over 1 year | 13,039 | 2,516 | 1,742 | 1,439 | 1,236 | 864 | 307 | 260 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

## US dollar against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Brazilian real | Renminbi | Hong Kong dollar | Indian rupee | Won | Rand | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,223 | 6,173 | 13,440 | 12,525 | 20,280 | 7,023 | 46,038 | 77,163 | Spot |
| 2,267 | 4,546 | 6,121 | 6,970 | 12,433 | 3,460 | 18,494 | 28,705 | with reporting dealers |
| 1,601 | 2,887 | 1,795 | 5,528 | 9,635 | 1,159 | 12,394 | 9,277 | local |
| 666 | 1,660 | 4,327 | 1,442 | 2,799 | 2,301 | 6,101 | 19,428 | cross-border |
| 4,629 | 1,398 | 4,932 | 2,821 | 6,038 | 3,050 | 12,384 | 39,714 | with other financial institutions |
| 2,442 | 368 | 1,640 | 825 | 3,117 | 890 | 8,490 | 12,407 | local |
| 2,187 | 1,030 | 3,293 | 1,997 | 2,921 | 2,160 | 3,894 | 27,308 | cross-border |
| 1,327 | 229 | 2,387 | 2,733 | 1,809 | 514 | 15,160 | 8,744 | with non-financial customers |
| 1,220 | 92 | 1,394 | 2,582 | 1,493 | 286 | 10,084 | 3,952 | local |
| 107 | 137 | 992 | 152 | 316 | 228 | 5,076 | 4,792 | cross-border |
| 12,219 | 13,433 | 2,489 | 13,037 | 17,179 | 2,424 | 8,926 | 51,934 | Outright forwards |
| 2,353 | 5,886 | 816 | 4,673 | 10,704 | 594 | 2,799 | 17,383 | with reporting dealers |
| 684 | 1,230 | 327 | 1,441 | 1,619 | 273 | 965 | 4,365 | local |
| 1,669 | 4,656 | 488 | 3,233 | 9,084 | 321 | 1,834 | 13,018 | cross-border |
| 8,383 | 5,396 | 1,137 | 4,835 | 5,250 | 1,428 | 2,605 | 28,668 | with other financial institutions |
| 2,510 | 1,308 | 448 | 1,416 | 1,666 | 564 | 1,928 | 10,029 | local |
| 5,872 | 4,088 | 689 | 3,419 | 3,584 | 865 | 677 | 18,639 | cross-border |
| 1,483 | 2,150 | 537 | 3,528 | 1,225 | 401 | 3,523 | 5,883 | with non-financial customers |
| 936 | 1,444 | 336 | 3,084 | 819 | 273 | 3,362 | 2,541 | local |
| 547 | 707 | 200 | 445 | 407 | 128 | 161 | 3,342 | cross-border |
| 3,660 | 1,721 | 1,411 | 3,145 | 2,390 | 909 | 3,168 | 11,367 | Up to 7 days |
| 7,949 | 9,802 | 875 | 9,031 | 14,187 | 1,489 | 5,623 | 39,418 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 609 | 1,909 | 203 | 862 | 601 | 26 | 136 | 1,149 | Over 1 year |
| 782 | 6,741 | 67,278 | 6,776 | 16,421 | 13,747 | 66,605 | 169,706 | Foreign exchange swaps |
| 183 | 2,860 | 35,348 | 6,024 | 13,790 | 9,017 | 37,638 | 78,976 | with reporting dealers |
| 53 | 2,548 | 14,740 | 5,238 | 12,877 | 3,415 | 15,106 | 20,988 | local |
| 130 | 312 | 20,608 | 786 | 913 | 5,602 | 22,533 | 57,988 | cross-border |
| 416 | 3,158 | 29,476 | 635 | 1,722 | 4,159 | 24,554 | 70,772 | with other financial institutions |
| 163 | 3,024 | 3,044 | 379 | 994 | 828 | 12,225 | 16,423 | local |
| 253 | 134 | 26,432 | 256 | 728 | 3,331 | 12,329 | 54,349 | cross-border |
| 183 | 723 | 2,454 | 118 | 909 | 570 | 4,413 | 19,958 | with non-financial customers |
| 141 | 700 | 1,920 | 96 | 879 | 376 | 3,105 | 5,444 | local |
| 43 | 24 | 534 | 22 | 30 | 194 | 1,308 | 14,514 | cross-border |
| 274 | 4,074 | 54,422 | 3,288 | 7,283 | 10,529 | 48,902 | 140,700 | Up to 7 days |
| 397 | 2,575 | 11,427 | 2,924 | 8,265 | 3,105 | 17,066 | 25,558 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 111 | 92 | 1,429 | 564 | 873 | 113 | 637 | 855 | Over 1 year |

[^20]| OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US dollar against: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc | Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish Krona |
| Currency swaps | 38,313 | 13,507 | 5,646 | 1,831 | 927 | 2,683 | 5,333 | 365 |
| with reporting dealers | 18,490 | 5,323 | 2,576 | 1,141 | 356 | 991 | 2,885 | 204 |
| local | 5,405 | 910 | 467 | 545 | 42 | 151 | 438 | 90 |
| cross-border | 13,085 | 4,414 | 2,109 | 595 | 314 | 839 | 2,447 | 114 |
| with other financial institutions | 16,771 | 7,185 | 2,572 | 573 | 527 | 1,247 | 2,200 | 156 |
| local | 6,522 | 3,438 | 677 | 258 | 122 | 248 | 704 | 123 |
| cross-border | 10,250 | 3,747 | 1,894 | 316 | 405 | 999 | 1,496 | 33 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,052 | 999 | 499 | 117 | 44 | 445 | 248 | 5 |
| local | 1,457 | 376 | 216 | 46 |  | 279 | 50 | 5 |
| cross-border | 1,594 | 623 | 282 | 71 | 41 | 166 | 198 | ... |
| Options sold | 105,529 | 33,397 | 27,545 | 6,682 | 3,265 | 3,001 | 7,000 | 177 |
| with reporting dealers | $47,216$ | 14,664 | 9,130 | 3,293 | 1,574 | 1,652 | 3,812 | 86 |
| local | 16,349 | 5,211 | 3,983 | 1,220 | 686 | 430 | 957 | 18 |
| cross-border | 30,867 | 9,454 | 5,147 | 2,073 | 888 | 1,222 | 2,855 | 68 |
| with other financial institutions | 47,221 | 15,230 | 16,315 | 2,694 | 1,188 | 1,074 | 2,560 | 60 |
| local | 27,862 | 7,567 | 13,298 | 1,206 | 599 | 505 | 1,233 | 12 |
| cross-border | 19,359 | 7,662 | 3,017 | 1,488 | 589 | 569 | 1,327 | 48 |
| with non-financial customers | 11,092 | 3,503 | 2,099 | 695 | 502 | 275 | 628 | 31 |
| local | 4,552 | 1,410 | 1,023 | 209 | 152 | 116 | 296 | 15 |
| cross-border | 6,540 | 2,093 | 1,076 | 486 | 350 | 160 | 332 | 16 |
| Options bought | 101,003 | 32,624 | 24,865 | 6,832 | 2,207 | 2,893 | 7,121 | 192 |
| with reporting dealers | $45,459$ | 15,573 | 7,550 | 3,259 | 1,038 | 1,599 | 3,967 | 90 |
| local | 15,463 | 5,362 | 2,252 | 1,093 | 336 | 409 | 963 | 21 |
| cross-border | 29,996 | 10,212 | 5,299 | 2,166 | 702 | 1,190 | 3,004 | 69 |
| with other financial institutions | 42,385 | 13,270 | 15,048 | 2,458 | 783 | 901 | 2,022 | 51 |
| local | 23,627 | 5,675 | 12,199 | 903 | 321 | 365 | 915 | 8 |
| cross-border | 18,758 | 7,595 | 2,849 | 1,554 | 462 | 536 | 1,107 | 43 |
| with non-financial customers | 13,159 | 3,782 | 2,267 | 1,115 | 387 | 393 | 1,132 | 50 |
| local | 5,402 | 1,487 | 1,007 | 320 | 92 | 168 | 482 | 29 |
| cross-border | 7,757 | 2,294 | 1,259 | 795 | 294 | 225 | 650 | 21 |
| Total options | 160,194 | 50,903 | 44,070 | 10,238 | 4,166 | 4,268 | 10,232 | 280 |
| Other products | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Total foreign exchange contracts | 3,377,809 | 1,101,254 | 567,734 | 360,481 | 167,841 | 182,002 | 248,775 | 45,100 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

## US dollar against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Brazilian real | Renminbi | Hong Kong dollar | Indian rupee | Won | Rand | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 381 | 58 | 313 | 45 | 918 | 134 | 1,436 | 4,735 | Currency swaps |
| 39 | 31 | 195 | 13 | 615 | 89 | 852 | 3,180 | with reporting dealers |
| 22 | 3 | 27 | 3 | 340 | 9 | 300 | 2,058 | local |
| 17 | 28 | 168 | 10 | 275 | 80 | 552 | 1,122 | cross-border |
| 155 | 22 | 49 | 18 | 243 | 37 | 423 | 1,365 | with other financial institutions |
| 142 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 42 | 5 | 265 | 477 | local |
| 13 | 21 | 38 | 9 | 202 | 32 | 158 | 888 | cross-border |
| 188 | 6 | 69 | 14 | 60 | 8 | 160 | 190 | with non-financial customers |
| 187 | 3 | 19 | 14 | 56 | 2 | 148 | 53 | local |
| 1 | 3 | 50 | ... | 4 | 6 | 12 | 137 | cross-border |
| 2,407 | 3,089 | 1,031 | 2,004 | 2,183 | 572 | 1,349 | 11,826 | Options sold |
| 1,193 | 1,601 | 437 | 724 | 1,269 | 423 | 711 | 6,646 | with reporting dealers |
| 546 | 447 | 85 | 287 | 512 | 181 | 126 | 1,661 | local |
| 647 | 1,154 | 351 | 437 | 756 | 242 | 586 | 4,986 | cross-border |
| 1,086 | 1,185 | 289 | 844 | 781 | 124 | 302 | 3,489 | with other financial institutions |
| 376 | 668 | 120 | 450 | 319 | 32 | 84 | 1,393 | local |
| 710 | 517 | 170 | 394 | 463 | 92 | 218 | 2,096 | cross-border |
| 128 | 303 | 305 | 436 | 133 | 25 | 337 | 1,691 | with non-financial customers |
| 59 | 90 | 152 | 347 | 79 | 19 | 273 | 313 | local |
| 69 | 213 | 153 | 90 | 55 | 6 | 64 | 1,378 | cross-border |
| 2,611 | 3,442 | 884 | 2,230 | 2,156 | 571 | 2,419 | 9,954 | Options bought |
| 1,336 | 1,706 | 532 | 874 | 1,208 | 430 | 1,569 | 4,728 | with reporting dealers |
| 526 | 399 | 147 | 408 | 462 | 186 | 1,170 | 1,730 | local |
| 809 | 1,307 | 385 | 465 | 747 | 244 | 399 | 2,999 | cross-border |
| 1,181 | 1,267 | 165 | 750 | 771 | 102 | 321 | 3,294 | with other financial institutions |
| 367 | 673 | 48 | 310 | 446 | 28 | 88 | 1,282 | local |
| 814 | 593 | 118 | 440 | 325 | 75 | 233 | 2,013 | cross-border |
| 94 | 469 | 187 | 607 | 177 | 39 | 529 | 1,931 | with non-financial customers |
| 40 | 195 | 98 | 466 | 102 | 29 | 471 | 414 | local |
| 54 | 274 | 89 | 141 | 75 | 10 | 58 | 1,517 | cross-border |
| 3,754 | 4,877 | 1,431 | 3,436 | 3,101 | 717 | 2,629 | 16,092 | Total options |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | Other products |
| 25,359 | 31,283 | 84,951 | 35,820 | 57,900 | 24,045 | 125,634 | 319,631 | Total foreign exchange contracts |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April $2010^{1}$

## Euro against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spot | 691,210 | 468,891 | 73,103 | 50,235 | 34,799 |
| with reporting dealers | 232,767 | 159,180 | 20,396 | 16,847 | 11,570 |
| local | 68,722 | 46,591 | 6,336 | 5,341 | 3,424 |
| cross-border | 164,045 | 112,589 | 14,060 | 11,506 | 8,146 |
| with other financial institutions | 361,401 | 245,922 | 40,290 | 27,121 | 18,407 |
| local | 147,602 | 101,494 | 17,332 | 11,192 | 8,222 |
| cross-border | 213,799 | 144,429 | 22,958 | 15,930 | 10,186 |
| with non-financial customers | 97,042 | 63,789 | 12,417 | 6,267 | 4,821 |
| local | 30,137 | 16,085 | 4,814 | 1,944 | 1,506 |
| cross-border | 66,905 | 47,704 | 7,603 | 4,323 | 3,315 |
| Outright forwards | 149,687 | 92,085 | 13,832 | 13,878 | 6,009 |
| with reporting dealers | 34,039 | 23,568 | 2,251 | 2,461 | 1,460 |
| local | 8,558 | 5,397 | 570 | 664 | 582 |
| cross-border | 25,481 | 18,172 | 1,682 | 1,798 | 878 |
| with other financial institutions | 83,368 | 50,565 | 7,255 | 8,820 | 3,273 |
| local | 36,704 | 19,999 | 4,906 | 4,731 | 1,252 |
| cross-border | 46,664 | 30,566 | 2,349 | 4,089 | 2,021 |
| with non-financial customers | 32,279 | 17,951 | 4,326 | 2,596 | 1,276 |
| local | 19,187 | 9,730 | 3,470 | 1,380 | 714 |
| cross-border | 13,092 | 8,222 | 856 | 1,216 | 562 |
| Up to 7 days | 69,926 | 46,113 | 5,922 | 6,322 | 2,666 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 76,244 | 44,334 | 7,732 | 7,326 | 3,279 |
| Over 1 year | 3,516 | 1,637 | 178 | 230 | 64 |
| Foreign exchange swaps | 609,801 | 475,868 | 17,028 | 37,130 | 22,129 |
| with reporting dealers | 273,064 | 218,896 | 4,866 | 14,185 | 9,814 |
| local | 61,008 | 51,390 | 1,120 | 2,971 | 2,452 |
| cross-border | 212,055 | 167,506 | 3,747 | 11,214 | 7,362 |
| with other financial institutions | 267,399 | 211,629 | 8,135 | 17,225 | 10,081 |
| local | 77,799 | 59,711 | 2,760 | 4,683 | 3,043 |
| cross-border | 189,601 | 151,918 | 5,374 | 12,542 | 7,038 |
| with non-financial customers | 69,338 | 45,343 | 4,027 | 5,720 | 2,234 |
| local | 27,758 | 13,772 | 3,174 | 2,687 | 1,038 |
| cross-border | 41,580 | 31,571 | 853 | 3,033 | 1,196 |
| Up to 7 days | 419,682 | 346,362 | 9,066 | 20,229 | 11,301 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 186,218 | 126,990 | 7,857 | 16,306 | 10,700 |
| Over 1 year | 3,903 | 2,516 | 106 | 595 | 128 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

## Euro against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,917 | 5,316 | 11,052 | 7,559 | 35,338 | Spot |
| 1,651 | 1,631 | 4,189 | 2,552 | 14,752 | with reporting dealers |
| 493 | 419 | 908 | 834 | 4,376 | local |
| 1,157 | 1,211 | 3,281 | 1,718 | 10,376 | cross-border |
| 2,611 | 2,383 | 5,350 | 2,119 | 17,197 | with other financial institutions |
| 714 | 748 | 1,540 | 1,198 | 5,163 | local |
| 1,897 | 1,635 | 3,810 | 920 | 12,035 | cross-border |
| 655 | 1,303 | 1,513 | 2,888 | 3,389 | with non-financial customers |
| 300 | 871 | 651 | 2,621 | 1,345 | local |
| 356 | 432 | 862 | 267 | 2,044 | cross-border |
| 3,072 | 2,101 | 3,253 | 2,485 | 12,972 | Outright forwards |
| 389 | 416 | 589 | 374 | 2,530 | with reporting dealers |
| 151 | 84 | 151 | 113 | 847 | local |
| 239 | 332 | 437 | 261 | 1,683 | cross-border |
| 2,065 | 1,313 | 1,605 | 565 | 7,907 | with other financial institutions |
| 1,395 | 686 | 646 | 441 | 2,648 | local |
| 669 | 627 | 960 | 125 | 5,258 | cross-border |
| 618 | 372 | 1,058 | 1,545 | 2,536 | with non-financial customers |
| 409 | 210 | 808 | 1,454 | 1,014 | local |
| 210 | 162 | 251 | 91 | 1,522 | cross-border |
| 1,742 | 858 | 1,180 | 981 | 4,142 | Up to 7 days |
| 1,265 | 1,187 | 2,024 | 1,370 | 7,726 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 65 | 55 | 49 | 134 | 1,104 | Over 1 year |
| 4,908 | 3,592 | 18,020 | 9,468 | 21,658 | Foreign exchange swaps |
| 1,321 | 1,034 | 11,378 | 4,510 | 7,060 | with reporting dealers |
| 263 | 208 | 204 | 1,420 | 981 | local |
| 1,059 | 826 | 11,174 | 3,089 | 6,078 | cross-border |
| 3,023 | 1,727 | 3,451 | 2,363 | 9,765 | with other financial institutions |
| 1,091 | 527 | 1,536 | 1,659 | 2,789 | local |
| 1,933 | 1,200 | 1,915 | 704 | 6,976 | cross-border |
| 563 | 830 | 3,192 | 2,595 | 4,834 | with non-financial customers |
| 242 | 346 | 1,998 | 2,348 | 2,153 | local |
| 321 | 484 | 1,193 | 247 | 2,681 | cross-border |
| 3,132 | 1,198 | 12,941 | 4,714 | 10,738 | Up to 7 days |
| 1,742 | 2,360 | 4,930 | 4,695 | 10,638 | Over 7 days and up to 1 year |
| 34 | 33 | 149 | 59 | 282 | Over 1 year |

[^21]| OTC foreign exchange turno in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$ <br> Euro against: <br> Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | US dollar | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc |
| Currency swaps <br> with reporting dealers <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with other financial institutions <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with non-financial customers local cross-border <br> Options sold <br> with reporting dealers <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with other financial institutions <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with non-financial customers local cross-border <br> Options bought <br> with reporting dealers <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with other financial institutions <br> local <br> cross-border <br> with non-financial customers local cross-border <br> Total options <br> Other products <br> Total foreign exchange contracts | 17,673 <br> 6,787 <br> 1,431 <br> 5,356 <br> 9,498 <br> 4,376 <br> 5,121 <br> 1,389 <br> 584 <br> 805 <br>  <br> 57,445 <br> 24,812 <br> 8,887 <br> 15,924 <br> 25,850 <br> 12,117 <br> 13,733 <br> 6,784 <br> 2,864 <br> 3,919 <br>  <br> 54,981 <br> 26,633 <br> 9,198 <br> 17,434 <br> 21,329 <br> 8,879 <br> 12,450 <br> 7,020 <br> 2,750 <br> 4,270 <br> 86,705 <br>  <br> $\ldots$ <br> $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 5 , 0 7 6}$ <br>  | 13,507 <br> 5,323 <br> 910 <br> 4,414 <br> 7,185 <br> 3,438 <br> 3,747 <br> 999 <br> 376 <br> 623 <br>  <br> $\mathbf{3 3 , 3 9 7}$ <br> 14,664 <br> 5,211 <br> 9,454 <br> 15,230 <br> 7,567 <br> 7,662 <br> 3,503 <br> 1,410 <br> 2,093 <br>  <br> $\mathbf{3 2 , 6 2 4}$ <br> 15,573 <br> 5,362 <br> 10,212 <br> 13,270 <br> 5,675 <br> 7,595 <br> 3,782 <br> 1,487 <br> 2,294 <br> $\mathbf{5 0 , 9 0 3}$ <br>  <br> $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 1 , 2 5 4}$ <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 770 \\ 95 \\ 18 \\ 78 \\ 664 \\ 478 \\ 186 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ \\ 4,070 \\ 1,606 \\ 551 \\ 1,056 \\ 1,935 \\ 655 \\ 1,280 \\ 529 \\ 310 \\ 219 \\ \hline 3,492 \\ 1,619 \\ 509 \\ 1,110 \\ 1,420 \\ 610 \\ 810 \\ 453 \\ 226 \\ 227 \\ \hline \mathbf{5 , 9 5 0} \\ \hline \ldots 0,684 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 651 \\ 320 \\ 89 \\ 231 \\ 279 \\ 119 \\ 160 \\ 53 \\ 39 \\ 14 \\ \\ 4,565 \\ 2,159 \\ 940 \\ 1,220 \\ 1,900 \\ 969 \\ 931 \\ 506 \\ 175 \\ 331 \\ \hline 4,881 \\ 2,398 \\ 1,018 \\ 1,380 \\ 1,811 \\ 753 \\ 1,058 \\ 671 \\ 186 \\ 485 \\ 109,061 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 710 \\ 222 \\ 15 \\ 207 \\ 348 \\ 90 \\ 258 \\ 140 \\ 106 \\ 34 \\ 5,213 \\ 2,190 \\ 840 \\ 1,350 \\ 2,098 \\ 1,001 \\ 1,098 \\ 925 \\ 414 \\ 511 \\ 5,296 \\ 2,821 \\ 904 \\ 1,916 \\ 1,584 \\ 666 \\ 918 \\ 891 \\ 301 \\ 590 \\ 8,650 \end{array}$ |
| For footnotes, see facing page. |  |  |  |  | Table E. 3 (cont) |

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency

 in April $2010^{1}$
## Euro against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | 215 | 314 | 433 | 925 | Currency swaps |
| 5 | 73 | 255 | 202 | 292 | with reporting dealers |
| 1 | 2 | 196 | 166 | 35 | local |
| 4 | 71 | 59 | 36 | 258 | cross-border |
| 63 | 136 | 57 | 203 | 564 | with other financial institutions |
| 11 | 10 | 4 | 169 | 57 | local |
| 51 | 126 | 53 | 34 | 506 | cross-border |
| 81 | 6 | 2 | 29 | 69 | with non-financial customers |
| 11 | $\ldots$ | 2 | 29 | 18 | local |
| 70 | 6 | 1 | ... | 51 | cross-border |
| 390 | 605 | 1,430 | 577 | 7,197 | Options sold |
| 135 | 334 | 636 | 330 | 2,757 | with reporting dealers |
| 42 | 105 | 221 | 19 | 959 | local |
| 93 | 229 | 415 | 311 | 1,797 | cross-border |
| 210 | 222 | 575 | 161 | 3,519 | with other financial institutions |
| 56 | 96 | 222 | 4 | 1,547 | local |
| 154 | 126 | 352 | 157 | 1,972 | cross-border |
| 44 | 49 | 220 | 86 | 921 | with non-financial customers |
| 17 | 24 | 119 | 86 | 309 | local |
| 27 | 25 | 101 | 0 | 613 | cross-border |
| 335 | 567 | 1,544 | 454 | 5,789 | Options bought |
| 109 | 306 | 669 | 142 | 2,995 | with reporting dealers |
| 46 | 72 | 237 | 23 | 1,028 | local |
| 64 | 234 | 432 | 119 | 1,968 | cross-border |
| 176 | 190 | 539 | 142 | 2,197 | with other financial institutions |
| 79 | 92 | 171 | 28 | 805 | local |
| 97 | 98 | 368 | 115 | 1,392 | cross-border |
| 49 | 71 | 336 | 170 | 597 | with non-financial customers |
| 20 | 24 | 180 | 140 | 185 | local |
| 30 | 46 | 156 | 30 | 412 | cross-border |
| 602 | 852 | 2,321 | 795 | 10,110 | Total options |
| ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | Other products |
| 13,648 | 12,076 | 34,960 | 20,740 | 81,004 | Total foreign exchange contracts |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

## Yen against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & \text { dollar } \end{aligned}$ | Euro | Australian dollar | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Zealand } \\ \text { dollar } \end{gathered}$ | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spot | 300,214 | 183,108 | 73,103 | 12,980 | 2,051 | 12,326 | 16,646 |
| with reporting dealers | 97,655 | 66,429 | 20,396 | 3,724 | 310 | 2,930 | 3,865 |
| local | 27,309 | 17,329 | 6,336 | 1,363 | 134 | 1,093 | 1,053 |
| cross-border | 70,346 | 49,100 | 14,060 | 2,361 | 176 | 1,837 | 2,812 |
| with other financial institutions | 152,144 | 89,099 | 40,290 | 6,044 | 1,082 | 5,928 | 9,700 |
| local | 60,048 | 36,210 | 17,332 | 1,539 | 133 | 1,290 | 3,544 |
| cross-border | 92,096 | 52,888 | 22,958 | 4,505 | 949 | 4,638 | 6,157 |
| with non-financial customers | 50,415 | 27,579 | 12,417 | 3,211 | 659 | 3,468 | 3,081 |
| local | 24,425 | 13,105 | 4,814 | 2,324 | 577 | 2,867 | 738 |
| cross-border | 25,991 | 14,474 | 7,603 | 887 | 82 | 601 | 2,343 |
| Outright forwards | 115,111 | 89,205 | 13,832 | 3,869 | 360 | 4,775 | 3,069 |
| with reporting dealers | 12,039 | 7,287 | 2,251 | 911 | 89 | 671 | 830 |
| local | 3,157 | 2,142 | 570 | 128 | 15 | 81 | 220 |
| cross-border | 8,882 | 5,145 | 1,682 | 783 | 74 | 590 | 610 |
| with other financial institutions | 57,319 | 43,981 | 7,255 | 1,826 | 196 | 2,416 | 1,645 |
| local | 19,816 | 11,248 | 4,906 | 1,221 | 91 | 1,778 | 573 |
| cross-border | 37,503 | 32,733 | 2,349 | 606 | 105 | 638 | 1,072 |
| with non-financial customers | 45,752 | 37,937 | 4,326 | 1,132 | 75 | 1,688 | 594 |
| local | 15,061 | 8,853 | 3,470 | 751 | 58 | 1,574 | 355 |
| cross-border | 30,691 | 29,084 | 856 | 381 | 17 | 114 | 238 |
| Up to 7 days | 75,000 | 63,245 | 5,922 | 1,383 | 201 | 2,508 | 1,741 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 39,145 | 25,316 | 7,732 | 2,440 | 156 | 2,199 | 1,302 |
| Over 1 year | 966 | 644 | 178 | 47 | 3 | 69 | 25 |
| Foreign exchange swaps | 278,897 | 245,705 | 17,028 | 5,061 | 1,502 | 4,524 | 5,077 |
| with reporting dealers | 125,552 | 116,805 | 4,866 | 1,057 | 346 | 1,156 | 1,322 |
| local | 35,164 | 32,600 | 1,120 | 424 | 120 | 436 | 465 |
| cross-border | 90,388 | 84,204 | 3,747 | 633 | 226 | 721 | 857 |
| with other financial institutions | 126,072 | 109,094 | 8,135 | 2,924 | 911 | 2,020 | 2,987 |
| local | 32,917 | 27,084 | 2,760 | 1,019 | 274 | 1,113 | 667 |
| cross-border | 93,155 | 82,009 | 5,374 | 1,906 | 638 | 907 | 2,321 |
| with non-financial customers | 27,273 | 19,806 | 4,027 | 1,080 | 245 | 1,347 | 768 |
| local | 16,222 | 10,489 | 3,174 | 865 | 201 | 1,098 | 395 |
| cross-border | 11,051 | 9,317 | 853 | 214 | 44 | 249 | 373 |
| Up to 7 days | 201,122 | 180,217 | 9,066 | 4,005 | 1,322 | 2,295 | 4,217 |
| Over 7 days and up to 1 year | 75,844 | 63,746 | 7,857 | 1,044 | 180 | 2,217 | 801 |
| Over 1 year | 1,932 | 1,742 | 106 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 59 |

[^22]
## OTC foreign exchange turnover by instrument, counterparty and currency in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

## Yen against:

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Euro | Australian dollar | New Zealand dollar | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency swaps | 6,597 | 5,646 | 770 | 73 | ... | 68 | 40 |
| with reporting dealers | 2,707 | 2,576 | 95 | 8 | $\ldots$ | 6 | 22 |
| local | 491 | 467 | 18 | 0 | ... | 2 | 4 |
| cross-border | 2,216 | 2,109 | 78 | 8 | ... | 4 | 18 |
| with other financial institutions | 3,320 | 2,572 | 664 | 61 | ... | 12 | 12 |
| local | 1,169 | 677 | 478 | $\ldots$ | ... | 11 | 3 |
| cross-border | 2,151 | 1,894 | 186 | 61 | ... | 1 | 8 |
| with non-financial customers | 569 | 499 | 11 | 3 | ... | 50 | 6 |
| local | 279 | 216 | 4 | 3 | ... | 49 | 6 |
| cross-border | 290 | 282 | 7 | 0 | ... | 1 | 0 |
| Options sold | 34,497 | 27,545 | 4,070 | 1,374 | 99 | 469 | 940 |
| with reporting dealers | 12,229 | 9,130 | 1,606 | 683 | 56 | 256 | 498 |
| local | 4,990 | 3,983 | 551 | 157 | 18 | 138 | 143 |
| cross-border | 7,239 | 5,147 | 1,056 | 526 | 38 | 119 | 354 |
| with other financial institutions | 19,399 | 16,315 | 1,935 | 556 | 36 | 188 | 368 |
| local | 14,411 | 13,298 | 655 | 213 | 10 | 83 | 151 |
| cross-border | 4,988 | 3,017 | 1,280 | 343 | 26 | 106 | 216 |
| with non-financial customers | 2,869 | 2,099 | 529 | 134 | 7 | 24 | 75 |
| local | 1,386 | 1,023 | 310 | 21 | 3 | 14 | 15 |
| cross-border | 1,482 | 1,076 | 219 | 113 | 4 | 10 | 60 |
| Options bought | 31,397 | 24,865 | 3,492 | 1,375 | 163 | 454 | 1,047 |
| with reporting dealers | 10,629 | 7,550 | 1,619 | 701 | 116 | 211 | 431 |
| local | 3,171 | 2,252 | 509 | 154 | 17 | 101 | 138 |
| cross-border | 7,458 | 5,299 | 1,110 | 546 | 100 | 110 | 293 |
| with other financial institutions | 17,678 | 15,048 | 1,420 | 508 | 30 | 206 | 466 |
| local | 13,282 | 12,199 | 610 | 217 | 11 | 91 | 153 |
| cross-border | 4,396 | 2,849 | 810 | 291 | 19 | 114 | 312 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,090 | 2,267 | 453 | 166 | 17 | 37 | 150 |
| local | 1,343 | 1,007 | 226 | 38 | 6 | 22 | 42 |
| cross-border | 1,748 | 1,259 | 227 | 128 | 10 | 15 | 108 |
| Total options | 54,465 | 44,070 | 5,950 | 2,057 | 176 | 689 | 1,523 |
| Other products | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Total foreign exchange contracts | 755,283 | 567,734 | 110,684 | 24,040 | 4,089 | 22,381 | 26,356 |

${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | US dollar | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc |
| Argentina | 1,613 | 1,515 | 331 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Australia | 192,052 | 173,577 | 46,744 | 25,215 | 18,205 | 3,117 |
| Austria | 19,549 | 12,153 | 14,325 | 1,795 | 729 | 3,803 |
| Bahrain | 4,543 | 4,113 | 879 | 168 | 288 | 15 |
| Belgium | 32,524 | 27,190 | 19,651 | 1,876 | 6,625 | 1,775 |
| Brazil | 14,094 | 12,851 | 3,280 | 1,544 | 102 | 169 |
| Bulgaria | 866 | 249 | 802 | 9 | 47 | 26 |
| Canada | 61,892 | 58,224 | 8,019 | 2,827 | 2,806 | 716 |
| Chile | 5,544 | 5,488 | 426 | 32 | 60 | 1 |
| China | 19,774 | 16,565 | 2,821 | 2,454 | 1,158 | 87 |
| Chinese Taipei | 17,983 | 17,169 | 3,186 | 1,754 | 863 | 72 |
| Colombia | 2,794 | 2,781 | 86 | 9 | 11 | 1 |
| Czech Republic | 5,110 | 3,096 | 3,146 | 71 | 50 | 31 |
| Denmark | 120,463 | 85,757 | 68,132 | 6,639 | 8,851 | 15,968 |
| Estonia | 1,059 | 70 | 1,046 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Finland | 31,254 | 19,456 | 21,612 | 258 | 893 | 8,302 |
| France | 151,621 | 130,191 | 83,245 | 22,119 | 21,059 | 11,100 |
| Germany | 108,598 | 85,305 | 69,003 | 13,163 | 14,436 | 11,661 |
| Greece | 5,164 | 3,022 | 4,381 | 647 | 285 | 641 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 237,568 | 227,015 | 38,346 | 30,960 | 11,970 | 2,401 |
| Hungary | 4,196 | 2,949 | 1,877 | 22 | 32 | 259 |
| India | 27,358 | 26,474 | 3,401 | 750 | 1,987 | 93 |
| Indonesia | 3,381 | 3,192 | 236 | 498 | 74 | 7 |
| Ireland | 14,578 | 9,793 | 10,220 | 1,259 | 4,553 | 665 |
| Israel | 10,017 | 9,715 | 211 | 31 | 15 | 21 |
| Italy | 28,601 | 23,969 | 21,405 | 2,256 | 3,206 | 1,738 |
| Japan | 312,326 | 254,353 | 58,970 | 248,863 | 18,378 | 3,174 |
| Korea | 43,824 | 43,149 | 2,897 | 2,105 | 393 | 57 |
| Latvia | 2,226 | 1,832 | 1,735 | 60 | 112 | 32 |
| Lithuania | 1,154 | 158 | 1,090 | 25 | 26 | 8 |
| Luxembourg | 33,363 | 27,856 | 21,553 | 4,516 | 4,161 | 1,672 |
| Malaysia | 7,262 | 6,693 | 789 | 422 | 361 | 14 |
| Mexico | 17,019 | 16,796 | 476 | 18 | 22 | 6 |
| Netherlands | 18,253 | 12,931 | 14,002 | 1,262 | 3,006 | 669 |
| New Zealand | 8,754 | 7,950 | 683 | 623 | 669 | 92 |
| Norway | 22,191 | 17,826 | 6,178 | 913 | 1,832 | 434 |
| Peru | 1,425 | 1,423 | 184 | 12 | 64 | 69 |
| Philippines | 5,017 | 4,813 | 674 | 114 | 51 | 8 |
| Poland | 7,847 | 4,887 | 3,916 | 48 | 164 | 378 |
| Portugal | 3,654 | 2,899 | 2,419 | 240 | 401 | 444 |
| Romania | 3,169 | 721 | 2,928 | 14 | 85 | 147 |
| Russia | 41,658 | 39,786 | 13,700 | 469 | 1,119 | 203 |
| Saudi Arabia | 5,291 | 4,430 | 967 | 305 | 774 | 44 |
| Singapore | 265,977 | 238,125 | 62,202 | 41,356 | 27,246 | 7,097 |
| Slovakia | 443 | 154 | 379 | 18 | 24 | 8 |
| South Africa | 14,375 | 13,946 | 1,887 | 308 | 800 | 108 |
| Spain | 29,322 | 24,608 | 15,578 | 1,775 | 7,518 | 954 |
| Sweden | 44,796 | 31,102 | 22,606 | 1,389 | 2,331 | 1,297 |
| Switzerland | 262,582 | 219,073 | 112,868 | 29,610 | 31,458 | 78,375 |
| Thailand | 7,390 | 6,869 | 617 | 855 | 174 | 16 |
| Turkey | 16,817 | 15,727 | 5,960 | 247 | 1,189 | 179 |
| United Kingdom | 1,853,594 | 1,570,573 | 820,234 | 342,118 | 330,748 | 112,685 |
| United States | 904,357 | 785,223 | 376,392 | 140,417 | 104,610 | 61,597 |
| Total | 5,056,282 | 4,315,781 | 1,978,693 | 934,464 | 636,027 | 332,439 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Specified currency against all other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Currencies of other reporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | Residual currencies ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,375 | 0 | Argentina |
| 5,919 | 85,782 | 855 | 23,146 | 1,546 | Australia |
| 299 | 409 | 40 | 3,390 | 2,157 | Austria |
| 17 | 8 | 3 | 1,797 | 1,798 | Bahrain |
| 462 | 1,318 | 574 | 5,068 | 508 | Belgium |
| 119 | 119 | 28 | 9,955 | 20 | Brazil |
| 17 | 7 | 1 | 543 | 33 | Bulgaria |
| 42,699 | 2,090 | 557 | 5,735 | 110 | Canada |
| 24 | 1 | 1 | 5,055 | 0 | Chile |
| 136 | 630 | 14 | 15,683 | 0 | China |
| 250 | 1,580 | 18 | 11,069 | 6 | Chinese Taipei |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 2,687 | 2 | Colombia |
| 6 | 6 | 10 | 3,800 | 4 | Czech Republic |
| 1,525 | 1,912 | 21,326 | 30,338 | 480 | Denmark |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 987 | 0 | Estonia |
| 588 | 35 | 10,187 | 1,143 | 33 | Finland |
| 5,352 | 8,205 | 2,869 | 14,539 | 4,562 | France |
| 1,458 | 2,812 | 1,376 | 9,840 | 8,141 | Germany |
| 100 | 171 | 21 | 678 | 384 | Greece |
| 3,662 | 27,097 | $\ldots$ | 121,895 | 11,790 | Hong Kong SAR |
| 3 | $\ldots$ | 2 | 3,245 | 2 | Hungary |
| 120 | 180 | 17 | 21,403 | 292 | India |
| 3 | 88 | 0 | 2,553 | 111 | Indonesia |
| 352 | 671 | 392 | 662 | 589 | Ireland |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 4,576 | 5,444 | Israel |
| 679 | 1,286 | 490 | 2,048 | 125 | Italy |
| 6,088 | 19,522 | 1,189 | 13,593 | 522 | Japan |
| 369 | 185 | 14 | 38,369 | 109 | Korea |
| 15 | 17 | 65 | 550 | 35 | Latvia |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 998 | 1 | Lithuania |
| 362 | 1,906 | 1,250 | 3,304 | 146 | Luxembourg |
| 12 | 444 | 1 | 5,479 | 307 | Malaysia |
| 40 | 20 | 0 | 16,658 | 1 | Mexico |
| 314 | 317 | 218 | 632 | 3,157 | Netherlands |
| 114 | 1,324 | 4 | 6,047 | 3 | New Zealand |
| 119 | 1,643 | 1,067 | 14,368 | 2 | Norway |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 1,077 | .. | Peru |
| 9 | 52 | 0 | 4,309 | 2 | Philippines |
| 6 | 10 | 21 | 6,226 | 38 | Poland |
| 109 | 65 | 40 | 683 | 7 | Portugal |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 2,439 | 0 | Romania |
| 110 | 199 | 26 | 27,669 | 35 | Russia |
| 14 | 43 | 8 | 2,429 | 1,565 | Saudi Arabia |
| 10,086 | 33,186 | 1,837 | 108,660 | 2,159 | Singapore |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 297 | 3 | Slovakia |
| 117 | 150 | 49 | 11,205 | 179 | South Africa |
| 239 | 1,018 | 139 | 3,022 | 3,794 | Spain |
| 800 | 641 | 22,344 | 6,955 | 127 | Sweden |
| 7,714 | 11,450 | 6,651 | 22,287 | 5,677 | Switzerland |
| 6 | 144 | 3 | 6,096 | 2 | Thailand |
| 32 | 45 | 7 | 10,155 | 93 | Turkey |
| 86,266 | 122,645 | 36,958 | 205,536 | 79,425 | United Kingdom |
| 83,095 | 61,166 | 10,358 | 99,626 | 86,230 | United States |
| 259,882 | 390,604 | 121,041 | 921,876 | 221,757 | Total |

${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting. Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. ${ }^{2}$ Because two currencies are involved in each transaction, the sum of transactions in individual currencies comes to twice the total reported turnover. ${ }^{3}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{4}$ Difference between the total and the sum of the listed components. Table E. 5 (cont)

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

US dollar against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc | Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 1,515 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Australia | 173,577 | 37,993 | 18,840 | 14,060 | 1,153 | 4,384 | 75,472 | 538 |
| Austria | 12,153 | 7,347 | 1,006 | 437 | 1,199 | 253 | 381 | 22 |
| Bahrain | 4,113 | 582 | 113 | 199 | 14 | 17 | 8 | 1 |
| Belgium | 27,190 | 15,122 | 821 | 5,030 | 1,132 | 225 | 1,061 | 390 |
| Brazil | 12,851 | 2,774 | 891 | 64 | 51 | 74 | 65 | 21 |
| Bulgaria | 249 | 205 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| Canada | 58,224 | 5,980 | 1,996 | 2,133 | 477 | 40,205 | 1,745 | 319 |
| Chile | 5,488 | 382 | 28 | 58 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 1 |
| China | 16,565 | 2,460 | 1,608 | 383 | 73 | 132 | 610 | 4 |
| Chinese Taipei | 17,169 | 2,743 | 1,387 | 620 | 54 | 210 | 1,407 | 17 |
| Colombia | 2,781 | 76 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 3,096 | 1,173 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Denmark | 85,757 | 38,501 | 2,928 | 5,135 | 12,562 | 1,096 | 1,404 | 6,169 |
| Estonia | 70 | 59 | 1 | 4 | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | 19,456 | 9,859 | 188 | 316 | 8,261 | 350 | 7 | 15 |
| France | 130,191 | 63,910 | 18,765 | 11,509 | 7,930 | 4,608 | 6,246 | 2,176 |
| Germany | 85,305 | 48,063 | 8,938 | 8,842 | 5,405 | 962 | 2,108 | 791 |
| Greece | 3,022 | 2,412 | 110 | 127 | 103 | 53 | 70 | 4 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 227,015 | 33,103 | 27,078 | 10,049 | 2,401 | 3,239 | 24,641 | ... |
| Hungary | 2,949 | 670 | 15 | 21 | 148 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| India | 26,474 | 2,760 | 574 | 1,800 | 84 | 110 | 158 | 14 |
| Indonesia | 3,192 | 202 | 364 | 68 | 7 | 2 | 79 | 0 |
| Ireland | 9,793 | 6,057 | 588 | 1,668 | 404 | 213 | 248 | 226 |
| Israel | 9,715 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Italy | 23,969 | 17,314 | 955 | 1,570 | 1,024 | 242 | 1,117 | 371 |
| Japan | 254,353 | 28,699 | 195,835 | 8,630 | 1,726 | 3,424 | 8,163 | 385 |
| Korea | 43,149 | 2,526 | 1,831 | 343 | 53 | 339 | 170 | 13 |
| Latvia | 1,832 | 1,393 | 11 | 93 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 62 |
| Lithuania | 158 | 117 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 27,856 | 16,652 | 3,370 | 2,444 | 1,092 | 160 | 1,262 | 485 |
| Malaysia | 6,693 | 484 | 244 | 269 | 12 | 10 | 354 | 1 |
| Mexico | 16,796 | 264 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 36 | 20 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 12,931 | 9,126 | 693 | 1,335 | 509 | 186 | 202 | 146 |
| New Zealand | 7,950 | 552 | 551 | 606 | 82 | 87 | 762 | 4 |
| Norway | 17,826 | 2,852 | 366 | 1,392 | 239 | 58 | 1,611 | 665 |
| Peru | 1,423 | 183 | 12 | 63 | 69 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Philippines | 4,813 | 486 | 87 | 49 | 6 | 9 | 45 | 0 |
| Poland | 4,887 | 1,125 | 20 | 81 | 181 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Portugal | 2,899 | 1,697 | 178 | 262 | 231 | 77 | 46 | 14 |
| Romania | 721 | 500 | 2 | 44 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Russia | 39,786 | 11,945 | 332 | 962 | 118 | 106 | 169 | 22 |
| Saudi Arabia | 4,430 | 786 | 246 | 633 | 26 | 14 | 20 | 7 |
| Singapore | 238,125 | 48,667 | 30,709 | 18,806 | 4,619 | 6,065 | 27,568 | 746 |
| Slovakia | 154 | 95 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| South Africa | 13,946 | 1,572 | 258 | 677 | 101 | 99 | 138 | 47 |
| Spain | 24,608 | 12,194 | 1,053 | 6,160 | 745 | 145 | 932 | 82 |
| Sweden | 31,102 | 11,265 | 730 | 1,140 | 827 | 554 | 451 | 12,097 |
| Switzerland | 219,073 | 75,997 | 23,053 | 22,900 | 54,648 | 6,345 | 10,080 | 5,327 |
| Thailand | 6,869 | 441 | 579 | 139 | 10 | 4 | 107 | 2 |
| Turkey | 15,727 | 4,984 | 144 | 1,051 | 124 | 28 | 19 | 4 |
| United Kingdom | 1,570,573 | 595,230 | 250,348 | 232,509 | 71,464 | 74,938 | 101,968 | 24,150 |
| United States | 785,223 | 283,948 | 115,617 | 85,503 | 46,268 | 77,100 | 56,059 | 6,903 |
| Total | 4,315,781 | 1,413,767 | 713,515 | 450,257 | 225,732 | 226,236 | 326,993 | 62,252 |

[^23]OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$
US dollar against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Brazilian real | Renminbi | Hong Kong dollar | Indian rupee | Won | Rand | Local currency | Residual currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,271 | 0 | Argentina |
| 146 | 173 | 2,776 | 130 | 357 | 392 | $\ldots$ | 17,162 | Australia |
| 1 | 1 | 49 | 1 | ... | 44 | ... | 1,413 | Austria |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1 | 81 | 3,096 | Bahrain |
| $\ldots$ | 39 | 421 | 4 | $\ldots$ | 159 | ... | 2,786 | Belgium |
| 8,480 | 4 | ... | 1 | 8 | 24 | $\ldots$ | 395 | Brazil |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 17 | 4 | Bulgaria |
| 1,331 | 533 | 207 | 63 | 128 | 127 | ... | 2,982 | Canada |
| 14 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ... | 0 | 0 | 4,887 | 94 | Chile |
| ... | 9,742 | 1,398 | ... | 2 | 19 | ... | 135 | China |
| 3 | 201 | 390 | 31 | 45 | 187 | 9,274 | 600 | Chinese Taipei |
| 3 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,661 | 12 | Colombia |
| ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | 1,793 | 56 | Czech Republic |
| 8 | 12 | 179 | 11 | 1 | 74 | 11,028 | 6,650 | Denmark |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4 | 2 | Estonia |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | ... | 449 | Finland |
| 48 | 76 | 854 | 17 | 103 | 622 | ... | 13,327 | France |
| ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 10,197 | Germany |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 6 | ... | 138 | Greece |
| ... | 10,690 | 69,790 | 4,555 | 7,412 | ... | $\ldots$ | 34,057 | Hong Kong SAR |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 2,049 | 45 | Hungary |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | 20,879 | 0 | 12 | ... | 81 | India |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 10 | 0 | ... | 0 | 2,394 | 65 | Indonesia |
| 1 | 0 | 56 | 6 | 11 | 10 | ... | 304 | Ireland |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,274 | 5,441 | Israel |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | 270 | 1 | ... | 183 | ... | 922 | Italy |
| 15 | 111 | 687 | 89 | 134 | 1,516 | ... | 4,937 | Japan |
| 13 | 12 | 188 | 8 | 37,391 | 7 | ... | 255 | Korea |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 15 | 221 | Latvia |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 11 | 27 | Lithuania |
| 1,065 | 19 | 98 | 11 | 15 | 248 | ... | 934 | Luxembourg |
| 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | ... | 1 | 4,823 | 469 | Malaysia |
| 99 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 16,323 | 14 | Mexico |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | 17 | 1 | ... | 10 | ... | 705 | Netherlands |
| ... | $\ldots$ | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5,235 | 39 | New Zealand |
| 2 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9,982 | 630 | Norway |
| 0 | ... | 0 | ... | ... | 0 | 1,072 | 3 | Peru |
| ... | 39 | 8 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ... | 4,050 | 33 | Philippines |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 3,301 | 171 | Poland |
| 200 | $\ldots$ | 18 | ... | 1 | 10 | ... | 165 | Portugal |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 114 | 3 | Romania |
| $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 26,081 | 47 | Russia |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2,221 | 457 | Saudi Arabia |
| 305 | 7,447 | 14,226 | 6,728 | 15,072 | 386 | 29,388 | 27,393 | Singapore |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | ... | 42 | Slovakia |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | ... | ... | 10,764 | ... | 291 | South Africa |
| 357 | 128 | 249 | 1 | 25 | 33 | ... | 2,505 | Spain |
| 2 | 9 | 35 | 1 | 4 | 48 | $\ldots$ | 3,940 | Sweden |
| 272 | 117 | 479 | 185 | 298 | 3,071 | ... | 16,301 | Switzerland |
| ... | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | ... | 5,531 | 44 | Thailand |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ... | ... | 18 | 9,265 | 89 | Turkey |
| 5,481 | 6,772 | 13,462 | 5,141 | 7,877 | 11,874 | ... | 169,357 | United Kingdom |
| 10,722 | 3,025 | 4,965 | 3,866 | 2,832 | 2,723 | ... | 85,692 | United States |
| 28,570 | 39,170 | 110,910 | 41,742 | 71,722 | 32,592 | 157,145 | 415,179 | Total |

${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. ${ }^{2}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Euro against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Yen | Pound sterling | Swiss franc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 331 | 240 | ... | ... | ... |
| Australia | 46,744 | 37,993 | 2,213 | 1,365 | 216 |
| Austria | 14,325 | 7,347 | 468 | 259 | 2,502 |
| Bahrain | 879 | 582 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| Belgium | 19,651 | 15,122 | 822 | 1,183 | 471 |
| Brazil | 3,280 | 2,774 | 2 | 14 | 12 |
| Bulgaria | 802 | 205 | 2 | 16 | 20 |
| Canada | 8,019 | 5,980 | 259 | 215 | 145 |
| Chile | 426 | 382 | ... | 0 | ... |
| China | 2,821 | 2,460 | 29 | 37 | 7 |
| Chinese Taipei | 3,186 | 2,743 | 146 | 143 | 5 |
| Colombia | 86 | 76 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Czech Republic | 3,146 | 1,173 | 42 | 19 | 7 |
| Denmark | 68,132 | 38,501 | 2,471 | 1,705 | 1,797 |
| Estonia | 1,046 | 59 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Finland | 21,612 | 9,859 | 64 | 564 | 41 |
| France | 83,245 | 63,910 | 2,376 | 8,758 | 2,855 |
| Germany | 69,003 | 48,063 | 2,882 | 5,594 | 6,256 |
| Greece | 4,381 | 2,412 | 495 | 110 | 446 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 38,346 | 33,103 | 2,032 | 876 | ... |
| Hungary | 1,877 | 670 | 7 | 4 | 94 |
| India | 3,401 | 2,760 | 62 | 63 | 0 |
| Indonesia | 236 | 202 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Ireland | 10,220 | 6,057 | 533 | 2,620 | 184 |
| Israel | 211 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Italy | 21,405 | 17,314 | 963 | 1,329 | 594 |
| Japan | 58,970 | 28,699 | 27,211 | 970 | 604 |
| Korea | 2,897 | 2,526 | 120 | 1 | 0 |
| Latvia | 1,735 | 1,393 | 8 | 1 | 17 |
| Lithuania | 1,090 | 117 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Luxembourg | 21,553 | 16,652 | 668 | 1,601 | 508 |
| Malaysia | 789 | 484 | 44 | 36 | 1 |
| Mexico | 476 | 264 | ... | ... | ... |
| Netherlands | 14,002 | 9,126 | 126 | 1,656 | 155 |
| New Zealand | 683 | 552 | 13 | 16 | 3 |
| Norway | 6,178 | 2,852 | 322 | 131 | 61 |
| Peru | 184 | 183 | ... | ... | ... |
| Philippines | 674 | 486 | 21 | 0 | 1 |
| Poland | 3,916 | 1,125 | 15 | 49 | 135 |
| Portugal | 2,419 | 1,697 | 50 | 127 | 208 |
| Romania | 2,928 | 500 | 8 | 30 | 83 |
| Russia | 13,700 | 11,945 | 76 | 67 | 65 |
| Saudi Arabia | 967 | 786 | 4 | 98 | 9 |
| Singapore | 62,202 | 48,667 | 4,646 | 3,740 | 1,026 |
| Slovakia | 379 | 95 | 10 | 13 | 2 |
| South Africa | 1,887 | 1,572 | 9 | 79 | 2 |
| Spain | 15,578 | 12,194 | 366 | 1,247 | 181 |
| Sweden | 22,606 | 11,265 | 413 | 514 | 265 |
| Switzerland | 112,868 | 75,997 | 4,098 | 5,621 | 20,291 |
| Thailand | 617 | 441 | 23 | 8 | ... |
| Turkey | 5,960 | 4,984 | 76 | 35 | 47 |
| United Kingdom | 820,234 | 595,230 | 55,608 | 75,081 | 35,223 |
| United States | 376,392 | 283,948 | 21,513 | 19,098 | 15,329 |
| Total | 1,978,693 | 1,413,767 | 131,333 | 135,110 | 89,877 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Euro against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Local currency | Residual currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 91 | 0 | Argentina |
| 303 | 3,041 | 190 | $\ldots$ | 1,423 | Australia |
| 38 | 18 | 18 | $\ldots$ | 3,675 | Austria |
| ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 288 | Bahrain |
| 117 | 128 | 132 | $\ldots$ | 1,676 | Belgium |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 444 | 28 | Brazil |
| 7 | 7 | 1 | 517 | 27 | Bulgaria |
| 1,127 | 59 | 46 | $\ldots$ | 188 | Canada |
| 0 | ... | ... | 43 | 0 | Chile |
| 0 | 8 | 0 | 265 | 14 | China |
| 8 | 41 | ... | 90 | 11 | Chinese Taipei |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 10 | 0 | Colombia |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 1,694 | 205 | Czech Republic |
| 145 | 121 | 13,518 | 8,013 | 1,861 | Denmark |
| 0 | ... | 4 | 80 | 900 | Estonia |
| 226 | 26 | 10,151 | ... | 680 | Finland |
| 457 | 955 | 576 | $\ldots$ | 3,360 | France |
| 495 | 438 | 584 | ... | 4,689 | Germany |
| 42 | 50 | 14 | $\ldots$ | 812 | Greece |
| $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 1,274 | 1,061 | Hong Kong SAR |
| 2 | $\ldots$ | 2 | 1,030 | 69 | Hungary |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 264 | 245 | India |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 2 | Indonesia |
| 65 | 251 | 102 | ... | 409 | Ireland |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 211 | $\ldots$ | Israel |
| 319 | 109 | 63 | ... | 714 | Italy |
| 381 | 364 | 174 | ... | 568 | Japan |
| 15 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 234 | 1 | Korea |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 231 | 77 | Latvia |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 937 | 15 | Lithuania |
| 183 | 444 | 736 | ... | 761 | Luxembourg |
| ... | 17 | 0 | 67 | 140 | Malaysia |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 204 | 7 | Mexico |
| 127 | 110 | 71 | ... | 2,630 | Netherlands |
| 1 | 7 | 0 | 85 | 6 | New Zealand |
| 1 | 3 | 199 | 2,497 | 112 | Norway |
| $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 1 | 0 | Peru |
| ... | 3 | $\ldots$ | 162 | 1 | Philippines |
| 1 | 0 | 16 | 2,418 | 156 | Poland |
| 27 | 11 | 16 | ... | 282 | Portugal |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2,258 | 46 | Romania |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,530 | 12 | Russia |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 61 | Saudi Arabia |
| 974 | 971 | 351 | 238 | 1,589 | Singapore |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | ... | 257 | Slovakia |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 204 | 12 | South Africa |
| 85 | 83 | 42 | $\ldots$ | 1,379 | Spain |
| 65 | 37 | 8,266 | $\ldots$ | 1,782 | Sweden |
| 607 | 464 | 973 | ... | 4,817 | Switzerland |
|  | 1 | ... | 145 | 0 | Thailand |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 797 | 18 | Turkey |
| 4,400 | 5,040 | 10,617 | ... | 39,034 | United Kingdom |
| 5,947 | 1,920 | 3,455 | $\ldots$ | 25,182 | United States |
| 16,185 | 14,748 | 50,333 | 26,059 | 101,281 | Total |

[^24]
## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Yen against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Euro | Australian dollar | New Zealand dollar | Local currency | Residual currencies ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 1 | 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1 | ... |
| Australia | 25,215 | 18,840 | 2,213 | 2,769 | 180 | $\ldots$ | 1,211 |
| Austria | 1,795 | 1,006 | 468 | 6 | 1 | ... | 314 |
| Bahrain | 168 | 113 | 2 | ... | ... | 1 | 53 |
| Belgium | 1,876 | 821 | 822 | 25 | 7 | $\ldots$ | 202 |
| Brazil | 1,544 | 891 | 2 | 52 | 98 | 63 | 439 |
| Bulgaria | 9 | 4 | 2 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 3 |
| Canada | 2,827 | 1,996 | 259 | 62 | 58 | $\ldots$ | 452 |
| Chile | 32 | 28 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 4 | 0 |
| China | 2,454 | 1,608 | 29 | 10 | ... | 73 | 735 |
| Chinese Taipei | 1,754 | 1,387 | 146 | 64 | 21 | 68 | 67 |
| Colombia | 9 | 7 | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 |
| Czech Republic | 71 | 21 | 42 | ... | ... | 7 | 1 |
| Denmark | 6,639 | 2,928 | 2,471 | 220 | 16 | 105 | 900 |
| Estonia | 4 | 1 | 3 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 |
| Finland | 258 | 188 | 64 | 0 | ... | $\ldots$ | 6 |
| France | 22,119 | 18,765 | 2,376 | 552 | 13 | $\ldots$ | 413 |
| Germany | 13,163 | 8,938 | 2,882 | 266 | ... | ... | 1,078 |
| Greece | 647 | 110 | 495 | 28 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 14 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 30,960 | 27,078 | 2,032 | 904 | 92 | 238 | 615 |
| Hungary | 22 | 15 | 7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| India | 750 | 574 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 77 |
| Indonesia | 498 | 364 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 63 | 60 |
| Ireland | 1,259 | 588 | 533 | 8 | 1 | ... | 128 |
| Israel | 31 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 31 | ... |
| Italy | 2,256 | 955 | 963 | 23 | 37 | ... | 278 |
| Japan | 248,863 | 195,835 | 27,211 | 10,336 | 2,025 | ... | 13,456 |
| Korea | 2,105 | 1,831 | 120 | 3 | 0 | 144 | 7 |
| Latvia | 60 | 11 | 8 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | 40 |
| Lithuania | 25 | 0 | 6 | 0 | ... | 0 | 18 |
| Luxembourg | 4,516 | 3,370 | 668 | 179 | 94 | $\ldots$ | 205 |
| Malaysia | 422 | 244 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 89 |
| Mexico | 18 | 15 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 2 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 1,262 | 693 | 126 | 2 | ... | ... | 441 |
| New Zealand | 623 | 551 | 13 | 15 | 40 | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| Norway | 913 | 366 | 322 | ... | ... | 198 | 26 |
| Peru | 12 | 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Philippines | 114 | 87 | 21 | 1 | ... | 2 | 3 |
| Poland | 48 | 20 | 15 | 6 | ... | 6 | 1 |
| Portugal | 240 | 178 | 50 | ... | ... | ... | 12 |
| Romania | 14 | 2 | 8 | ... | ... | 1 | 2 |
| Russia | 469 | 332 | 76 | 2 | ... | 2 | 57 |
| Saudi Arabia | 305 | 246 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 39 |
| Singapore | 41,356 | 30,709 | 4,646 | 1,671 | 249 | 285 | 3,796 |
| Slovakia | 18 | 7 | 10 | ... | ... | ... | 2 |
| South Africa | 308 | 258 | 9 | 4 | $\cdots$ | 14 | 23 |
| Spain | 1,775 | 1,053 | 366 | 3 | 0 | ... | 352 |
| Sweden | 1,389 | 730 | 413 | 12 | 2 | ... | 233 |
| Switzerland | 29,610 | 23,053 | 4,098 | 221 | 35 | $\ldots$ | 2,204 |
| Thailand | 855 | 579 | 23 | 0 | ... | 246 | 8 |
| Turkey | 247 | 144 | 76 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 22 | 5 |
| United Kingdom | 342,118 | 250,348 | 55,608 | 7,835 | 1,437 | ... | 26,890 |
| United States | 140,417 | 115,617 | 21,513 | 3,061 | 226 | ... | 0 |
| Total | 934,464 | 713,515 | 131,333 | 28,361 | 4,633 | 1,657 | 54,965 |

${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. ${ }^{2}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Local currency against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 1,369 | 1,271 | 91 | 1 | 1 |
| Australia | 85,782 | 75,472 | 3,041 | 2,769 | 1,465 |
| Austria | 14,325 | 7,347 | ... | 468 | 259 |
| Bahrain | 162 | 81 | 0 | 1 | 79 |
| Belgium | 19,651 | 15,122 | ... | 822 | 1,183 |
| Brazil | 9,071 | 8,480 | 444 | 63 | 8 |
| Bulgaria | 536 | 17 | 517 | 0 | 1 |
| Canada | 42,699 | 40,205 | 1,127 | 383 | 395 |
| Chile | 4,942 | 4,887 | 43 | 4 | 1 |
| China | 12,110 | 9,742 | 265 | 73 | 10 |
| Chinese Taipei | 9,507 | 9,274 | 90 | 68 | 11 |
| Colombia | 2,672 | 2,661 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 3,527 | 1,793 | 1,694 | 7 | 13 |
| Denmark | 20,805 | 11,028 | 8,013 | 105 | 349 |
| Estonia | 85 | 4 | 80 | ... | 0 |
| Finland | 21,612 | 9,859 | ... | 64 | 564 |
| France | 83,245 | 63,910 | ... | 2,376 | 8,758 |
| Germany | 69,003 | 48,063 | ... | 2,882 | 5,594 |
| Greece | 4,381 | 2,412 | $\ldots$ | 495 | 110 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 72,604 | 69,790 | 1,274 | 238 | 324 |
| Hungary | 3,113 | 2,049 | 1,030 | 0 | 5 |
| India | 21,301 | 20,879 | 264 | 37 | 82 |
| Indonesia | 2,507 | 2,394 | 20 | 63 | 4 |
| Ireland | 10,220 | 6,057 | ... | 533 | 2,620 |
| Israel | 4,576 | 4,274 | 211 | 31 | 15 |
| Italy | 21,405 | 17,314 | ... | 963 | 1,329 |
| Japan | 248,863 | 195,835 | 27,211 | $\ldots$ | 7,994 |
| Korea | 37,918 | 37,391 | 234 | 144 | 49 |
| Latvia | 255 | 15 | 231 | ... | 1 |
| Lithuania | 953 | 11 | 937 | 0 | 1 |
| Luxembourg | 21,553 | 16,652 | ... | 668 | 1,601 |
| Malaysia | 5,043 | 4,823 | 67 | 37 | 18 |
| Mexico | 16,537 | 16,323 | 204 | 2 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 14,002 | 9,126 | ... | 126 | 1,656 |
| New Zealand | 5,962 | 5,235 | 85 | 40 | 43 |
| Norway | 13,471 | 9,982 | 2,497 | 198 | 273 |
| Peru | 1,074 | 1,072 | 1 | ... | 1 |
| Philippines | 4,223 | 4,050 | 162 | 2 | 1 |
| Poland | 5,880 | 3,301 | 2,418 | 6 | 31 |
| Portugal | 2,419 | 1,697 | ... | 50 | 127 |
| Romania | 2,389 | 114 | 2,258 | 1 | 8 |
| Russia | 27,638 | 26,081 | 1,530 | 2 | 7 |
| Saudi Arabia | 2,381 | 2,221 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Singapore | 31,741 | 29,388 | 238 | 285 | 206 |
| South Africa | 11,056 | 10,764 | 204 | 14 | 35 |
| Spain | 15,578 | 12,194 | ... | 366 | 1,247 |
| Sweden | 22,344 | 12,097 | 8,266 | 131 | 533 |
| Switzerland | 78,375 | 54,648 | 20,291 | 664 | 1,578 |
| Thailand | 6,012 | 5,531 | 145 | 246 | 19 |
| Turkey | 10,117 | 9,265 | 797 | 22 | 28 |
| United Kingdom | 330,748 | 232,509 | 75,081 | 12,228 | ... |
| United States | 785,223 | ... | 283,948 | 115,617 | 85,503 |
| Total | 2,242,964 | 1,134,709 | 445,025 | 143,303 | 124,142 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

## OTC foreign exchange turnover by country and currency in April $2010^{1}$

Local currency against:
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

| Swiss franc | Canadian dollar | Australian dollar | Swedish krona | Residual currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | Argentina |
| 170 | 700 | ... | 61 | 2,104 | Australia |
| 2,502 | 38 | 18 | 18 | 3,675 | Austria |
| 0 | $\ldots$ | . | ... | 1 | Bahrain |
| 471 | 117 | 128 | 132 | 1,676 | Belgium |
| 23 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 36 | Brazil |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Bulgaria |
| 90 | ... | 175 | 190 | 134 | Canada |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | Chile |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 2,000 | China |
| 3 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 38 | Chinese Taipei |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Colombia |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | Czech Republic |
| 494 | 20 | 35 | 450 | 311 | Denmark |
| 0 | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | Estonia |
| 41 | 226 | 26 | 10,151 | 680 | Finland |
| 2,855 | 457 | 955 | 576 | 3,360 | France |
| 6,256 | 495 | 438 | 584 | 4,689 | Germany |
| 446 | 42 | 50 | 14 | 812 | Greece |
| ... | 90 | 517 | $\ldots$ | 371 | Hong Kong SAR |
| 14 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 15 | Hungary |
| 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 16 | India |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 22 | Indonesia |
| 184 | 65 | 251 | 102 | 409 | Ireland |
| 21 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | Israel |
| 594 | 319 | 109 | 63 | 714 | Italy |
| 536 | 1,794 | 10,336 | 260 | 4,897 | Japan |
| 4 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 76 | Korea |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 9 | Latvia |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | Lithuania |
| 508 | 183 | 444 | 736 | 761 | Luxembourg |
| 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 73 | Malaysia |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Mexico |
| 155 | 127 | 110 | 71 | 2,630 | Netherlands |
| 7 | 15 | 530 | 0 | 8 | New Zealand |
| 126 | 59 | 28 | 189 | 119 | Norway |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | Peru |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 6 | Philippines |
| 62 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 56 | Poland |
| 208 | 27 | 11 | 16 | 282 | Portugal |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | Romania |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | Russia |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 147 | Saudi Arabia |
| 74 | 106 | 395 | 24 | 1,025 | Singapore |
| 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 18 | South Africa |
| 181 | 85 | 83 | 42 | 1,379 | Spain |
| 141 | 88 | 89 | ... | 1,000 | Sweden |
| $\ldots$ | 375 | 314 | 86 | 420 | Switzerland |
| 5 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 27 | Thailand |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | Turkey |
| 2,544 | 1,745 | 2,799 | 645 | 3,197 | United Kingdom |
| 46,268 | 77,100 | 56,059 | 6,903 | 113,825 | United States |
| 65,031 | 84,346 | 74,015 | 21,339 | 151,054 | Total |

${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.
Table E. 9 (cont)

| Foreign exchange turnover by country and counterparty in April $2010^{1}$ <br> Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net turnover ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gross turnover ${ }^{2}$ | Total | With reporting local dealers | With reporting dealers abroad | With other financial institutions | With nonfinancial customers |
| Argentina | 1,895 | 1,613 | 282 | ... | 349 | 982 |
| Australia | 218,158 | 192,052 | 26,106 | 91,598 | 61,045 | 13,304 |
| Austria | 20,039 | 19,549 | 490 | 13,029 | 5,327 | 704 |
| Bahrain | 4,619 | 4,543 | 76 | 2,881 | 1,476 | 110 |
| Belgium | 32,770 | 32,524 | 247 | 19,824 | 10,832 | 1,621 |
| Brazil | 15,609 | 14,094 | 1,516 | 3,854 | 5,951 | 2,773 |
| Bulgaria | 874 | 866 | 9 | 288 | 411 | 158 |
| Canada | 68,546 | 61,892 | 6,654 | 27,090 | 22,765 | 5,383 |
| Chile | 7,229 | 5,544 | 1,684 | 1,428 | 1,430 | 1,001 |
| China | 27,486 | 19,774 | 7,712 | 2,411 | 5,738 | 3,913 |
| Chinese Taipei | 20,034 | 17,983 | 2,050 | 6,060 | 5,740 | 4,132 |
| Colombia | 3,822 | 2,794 | 1,028 | 403 | 721 | 641 |
| Czech Republic | 5,489 | 5,110 | 379 | 3,822 | 168 | 742 |
| Denmark | 123,231 | 120,463 | 2,767 | 82,165 | 12,189 | 23,342 |
| Estonia | 1,063 | 1,059 | 4 | 997 | 10 | 48 |
| Finland | 31,316 | 31,254 | 62 | 28,911 | 507 | 1,775 |
| France | 162,906 | 151,509 | 11,397 | 90,000 | 39,438 | 10,674 |
| Germany | 115,740 | 108,598 | 7,142 | 58,985 | 34,452 | 8,019 |
| Greece | 5,244 | 5,164 | 79 | 3,702 | 812 | 571 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 257,327 | 237,542 | 19,785 | 160,049 | 44,587 | 13,121 |
| Hungary | 4,763 | 4,196 | 567 | 2,172 | 1,077 | 379 |
| India | 38,857 | 27,358 | 11,499 | 5,413 | 2,340 | 8,106 |
| Indonesia | 4,632 | 3,381 | 1,251 | 1,197 | 387 | 546 |
| Ireland | 14,994 | 14,578 | 416 | 7,305 | 6,003 | 854 |
| Israel | 10,333 | 10,017 | 317 | $\ldots$ | 7,771 | 1,929 |
| Italy | 29,695 | 28,601 | 1,093 | 23,328 | 2,877 | 1,303 |
| Japan | 337,389 | 312,326 | 25,063 | 163,998 | 77,739 | 45,526 |
| Korea | 67,241 | 43,824 | 23,417 | 10,470 | 5,840 | 4,096 |
| Latvia | 2,359 | 2,226 | 133 | 340 | 804 | 950 |
| Lithuania | 1,183 | 1,154 | 29 | 903 | 88 | 134 |
| Luxembourg | 34,408 | 33,363 | 1,045 | 22,581 | 8,426 | 1,313 |
| Malaysia | 8,262 | 7,262 | 1,000 | 1,736 | 2,130 | 2,396 |
| Mexico | 18,156 | 17,019 | 1,137 | 12,594 | 2,005 | 1,282 |
| Netherlands | 18,626 | 18,253 | 372 | 9,892 | 6,690 | 1,300 |
| New Zealand | 9,460 | 8,754 | 706 | 2,557 | 4,811 | 680 |
| Norway | 22,450 | 22,191 | 260 | 13,078 | 5,363 | 3,490 |
| Peru | 1,856 | 1,425 | 431 | 455 | 200 | 338 |
| Philippines | 7,649 | 5,017 | 2,632 | 1,491 | 514 | 379 |
| Poland | 8,632 | 7,847 | 785 | 4,812 | 1,479 | 771 |
| Portugal | 3,831 | 3,654 | 177 | 1,976 | 1,090 | 411 |
| Romania | 3,518 | 3,169 | 349 | 2,063 | 375 | 383 |
| Russia | 49,217 | 41,658 | 7,559 | 10,793 | 15,520 | 7,785 |
| Saudi Arabia | 6,136 | 5,291 | 845 | 3,082 | 597 | 766 |
| Singapore | 278,929 | 265,899 | 13,030 | 161,046 | 72,693 | 19,131 |
| Slovakia | 445 | 443 | 1 | 297 | 50 | 95 |
| South Africa | 17,092 | 14,375 | 2,717 | 9,994 | 799 | 865 |
| Spain | 29,794 | 29,322 | 472 | 17,662 | 2,061 | 9,127 |
| Sweden | 45,640 | 44,796 | 844 | 16,548 | 17,529 | 9,874 |
| Switzerland | 273,640 | 262,582 | 11,058 | 149,097 | 79,536 | 22,890 |
| Thailand | 9,649 | 7,390 | 2,259 | 2,206 | 819 | 2,107 |
| Turkey | 17,677 | 16,817 | 860 | 13,913 | 918 | 1,127 |
| United Kingdom | 2,065,334 | 1,853,594 | 211,741 | 597,232 | 866,375 | 178,246 |
| United States | 963,283 | 904,357 | 58,926 | 283,301 | 451,019 | 111,111 |
| Total | 5,528,525 | 5,056,067 | 472,459 | 2,151,028 | 1,899,874 | 532,706 |

[^25]
## Spot foreign exchange turnover by country and counterparty in April $201 \mathbf{0}^{1}$

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Reporting dealers |  | Other financial institutions |  | Non-financial customers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder |
| Argentina | 1,581 | 282 | ... | 116 | 232 | 949 | 2 |
| Australia | 60,188 | 5,326 | 21,958 | 2,706 | 21,262 | 5,083 | 3,854 |
| Austria | 5,874 | 190 | 3,302 | 521 | 1,586 | 202 | 72 |
| Bahrain | 723 | 1 | 301 | 65 | 326 | 11 | 18 |
| Belgium | 7,909 | 65 | 5,188 | 77 | 2,355 | 111 | 113 |
| Brazil | 8,743 | 1,467 | 2,272 | 2,256 | 1,326 | 1,371 | 50 |
| Bulgaria | 595 | 9 | 83 | 366 | 3 | 134 | 1 |
| Canada | 18,281 | 1,185 | 9,786 | 1,359 | 4,258 | 1,382 | 310 |
| Chile | 2,695 | 990 | 452 | 618 | 8 | 623 | 3 |
| China | 8,604 | 4,460 | 1,522 | 1,406 | 757 | 458 | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 7,728 | 1,023 | 2,605 | 1,058 | 820 | 1,642 | 581 |
| Colombia | 1,760 | 823 | 54 | 434 | 11 | 433 | 5 |
| Czech Republic | 1,067 | 60 | 794 | 18 | 6 | 167 | 23 |
| Denmark | 33,032 | 543 | 12,987 | 146 | 2,850 | 1,277 | 15,230 |
| Estonia | 82 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 13 |
| Finland | 816 | 34 | 424 | 18 | 37 | 241 | 61 |
| France | 27,054 | 1,000 | 17,225 | 1,715 | 4,987 | 957 | 1,170 |
| Germany | 30,107 | 1,281 | 20,117 | 1,580 | 5,478 | 511 | 1,140 |
| Greece | 1,316 | 1 | 1,037 | 13 | 147 | 118 | ... |
| Hong Kong SAR | 43,783 | 2,275 | 30,416 | 864 | 5,874 | 2,555 | 1,800 |
| Hungary | 763 | 39 | 367 | 70 | 150 | 133 | 4 |
| India | 13,411 | 5,597 | 3,284 | 583 | 988 | 2,944 | 15 |
| Indonesia | 2,183 | 793 | 794 | 24 | 182 | 364 | 27 |
| Ireland | 3,535 | 6 | 1,553 | 223 | 1,614 | 84 | 55 |
| Israel | 3,880 | 107 | $\ldots$ | 279 | 2,351 | 1,136 | 6 |
| Italy | 9,025 | 326 | 7,109 | 441 | 324 | 783 | 43 |
| Japan | 101,459 | 4,979 | 58,161 | 5,318 | 12,548 | 19,054 | 1,398 |
| Korea | 18,488 | 9,412 | 3,303 | 2,423 | 1,311 | 1,915 | 124 |
| Latvia | 779 | 38 | 108 | 14 | 163 | 25 | 432 |
| Lithuania | 260 | 3 | 79 | 73 | 2 | 92 | 11 |
| Luxembourg | 11,692 | 239 | 7,410 | 856 | 2,761 | 229 | 197 |
| Malaysia | 3,920 | 499 | 1,205 | 557 | 640 | 988 | 31 |
| Mexico | 5,296 | 446 | 2,846 | 590 | 260 | 1,143 | 11 |
| Netherlands | 5,081 | 53 | 4,256 | 352 | 289 | 84 | 47 |
| New Zealand | 1,533 | 75 | 530 | 108 | 639 | 174 | 7 |
| Norway | 2,296 | 22 | 1,126 | 159 | 198 | 756 | 35 |
| Peru | 1,017 | 349 | 266 | 85 | 5 | 312 | 0 |
| Philippines | 2,121 | 1,050 | 674 | 181 | 50 | 162 | 4 |
| Poland | 1,955 | 110 | 996 | 186 | 202 | 459 | 2 |
| Portugal | 1,060 | 107 | 565 | 7 | 224 | 150 | 7 |
| Romania | 1,263 | 171 | 640 | 71 | 59 | 314 | 7 |
| Russia | 22,544 | 4,523 | 4,128 | 4,775 | 2,256 | 2,323 | 4,540 |
| Saudi Arabia | 3,276 | 479 | 1,887 | 175 | 199 | 536 | $\ldots$ |
| Singapore | 90,798 | 2,421 | 50,878 | 2,934 | 28,231 | 1,873 | 4,461 |
| Slovakia | 196 | 0 | 124 | 2 | 36 | 31 | 3 |
| South Africa | 4,036 | 563 | 2,809 | 80 | 269 | 274 | 42 |
| Spain | 8,274 | 52 | 5,504 | 114 | 306 | 514 | 1,785 |
| Sweden | 11,794 | 433 | 5,988 | 744 | 3,369 | 816 | 444 |
| Switzerland | 60,905 | 2,048 | 36,869 | 2,750 | 13,190 | 3,185 | 2,862 |
| Thailand | 2,941 | 405 | 1,145 | 51 | 317 | 973 | 50 |
| Turkey | 5,488 | 627 | 4,249 | 153 | 173 | 285 | 1 |
| United Kingdom | 696,514 | 82,440 | 210,377 | 115,491 | 228,579 | 13,198 | 46,429 |
| United States | 472,580 | 36,471 | 134,400 | 146,041 | 99,745 | 17,781 | 38,142 |
| Total | 1,832,302 | 175,900 | 684,193 | 301,247 | 453,955 | 91,339 | 125,667 |

[^26]| Outright forward foreign exchange turnover by country and counterparty in April $2010^{1}$ Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reporting dealers |  | Other financial institutions |  | Non-financial customers |  |
|  |  | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder |
| Argentina | 31 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 31 | ... |
| Australia | 8,015 | 459 | 1,760 | 2,399 | 1,863 | 1,340 | 194 |
| Austria | 1,841 | 29 | 1,300 | 173 | 141 | 187 | 11 |
| Bahrain | 101 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 39 | 2 | 18 |
| Belgium | 1,548 | 5 | 1,130 | 11 | 240 | 80 | 81 |
| Brazil | 3,801 | 21 | 1,423 | 486 | 907 | 700 | 265 |
| Bulgaria | 55 | ... | 30 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 0 |
| Canada | 6,287 | 440 | 1,490 | 1,847 | 1,256 | 1,042 | 212 |
| Chile | 2,120 | 225 | 865 | 545 | 147 | 338 | ... |
| China | 3,054 | 415 | 180 | 83 | 99 | 2,215 | 63 |
| Chinese Taipei | 1,222 | 67 | 376 | 181 | 11 | 505 | 81 |
| Colombia | 975 | 201 | 313 | 199 | 70 | 192 | ... |
| Czech Republic | 198 | 1 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 165 | 3 |
| Denmark | 11,110 | 353 | 6,593 | 503 | 2,109 | 1,077 | 475 |
| Estonia | 1 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | 0 |
| Finland | 462 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 1 | 290 | 125 |
| France | 9,918 | 729 | 4,688 | 803 | 1,718 | 847 | 1,133 |
| Germany | 8,094 | 505 | 952 | 1,132 | 4,280 | 855 | 370 |
| Greece | 196 | ... | 8 | ... | 19 | 168 | 1 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 32,022 | 1,689 | 20,032 | 431 | 5,401 | 1,125 | 3,344 |
| Hungary | 262 | ... | ... | 39 | 2 | 214 | 7 |
| India | 4,895 | 345 | 160 | 40 | 254 | 4,091 | 5 |
| Indonesia | 247 | 17 | 26 | 53 | 28 | 123 | ... |
| Ireland | 2,196 | 0 | 564 | 223 | 1,154 | 192 | 62 |
| Israel | 154 | 14 | ... | 42 | 79 | 18 | 1 |
| Italy | 1,266 | 117 | 607 | 250 | 46 | 204 | 43 |
| Japan | 31,625 | 857 | 7,187 | 9,873 | 529 | 12,247 | 931 |
| Korea | 6,101 | 570 | 3,867 | 400 | 422 | 805 | 37 |
| Latvia | 12 | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 |
| Lithuania | 17 | $\ldots$ | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 4,480 | 44 | 1,151 | 905 | 1,831 | 338 | 212 |
| Malaysia | 664 | 6 | 108 | 37 | 87 | 398 | 28 |
| Mexico | 954 | 8 | 647 | 179 | 45 | 68 | 9 |
| Netherlands | 8,540 | 138 | 3,103 | 1,196 | 3,660 | 190 | 254 |
| New Zealand | 685 | 1 | 307 | 108 | 54 | 214 | 1 |
| Norway | 1,819 | 4 | 339 | 505 | 126 | 800 | 45 |
| Peru | 385 | 81 | 172 | 102 | 8 | 22 | ... |
| Philippines | 598 | 33 | 265 | 14 | 86 | 201 | 0 |
| Poland | 318 | 3 | 20 | 69 | 4 | 221 | 1 |
| Portugal | 496 | 3 | 222 | 3 | 91 | 57 | 120 |
| Romania | 95 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 2 | 31 | 2 |
| Russia | 592 | 4 | 171 | 143 | 186 | 73 | 16 |
| Saudi Arabia | 665 | 139 | 458 | 3 | 7 | 58 | ... |
| Singapore | 36,474 | 1,722 | 22,867 | 1,146 | 7,683 | 1,170 | 1,887 |
| Slovakia | 33 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4 |
| South Africa | 688 | 113 | 262 | 19 | 19 | 266 | 8 |
| Spain | 2,668 | 38 | 1,229 | 43 | 325 | 480 | 553 |
| Sweden | 1,944 | 16 | 97 | 417 | 226 | 990 | 198 |
| Switzerland | 11,238 | 998 | 2,462 | 709 | 1,483 | 2,498 | 3,088 |
| Thailand | 971 | 8 | 88 | 26 | 18 | 818 | 14 |
| Turkey | 2,517 | 131 | 2,037 | 102 | 12 | 234 | 1 |
| United Kingdom | 228,122 | 12,970 | 50,500 | 37,048 | 87,291 | 5,811 | 34,503 |
| United States | 116,107 | 5,092 | 27,555 | 36,563 | 31,011 | 10,027 | 5,859 |
| Total | 558,882 | 28,634 | 167,751 | 99,098 | 155,073 | 54,062 | 54,265 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Foreign exchange swap turnover by country and counterparty in April 2010 ${ }^{1}$

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Reporting dealers |  | Other financial institutions |  | Non-financial customers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder |
| Argentina | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Australia | 117,548 | 19,867 | 64,065 | 4,789 | 26,230 | 1,058 | 1,539 |
| Austria | 10,725 | 245 | 7,792 | 493 | 2,063 | 75 | 57 |
| Bahrain | 3,596 | 66 | 2,462 | 88 | 933 | 1 | 48 |
| Belgium | 22,473 | 165 | 13,088 | 508 | 7,509 | 501 | 701 |
| Brazil | 292 | ... | 30 | 60 | 75 | 95 | 33 |
| Bulgaria | 211 | $\ldots$ | 171 | 16 | 19 | 5 | ... |
| Canada | 33,853 | 4,838 | 13,676 | 3,199 | 10,210 | 1,430 | 499 |
| Chile | 522 | 462 | 7 | 25 | 3 | 25 | ... |
| China | 8,024 | 2,837 | 666 | 3,281 | 90 | 1,128 | 22 |
| Chinese Taipei | 7,418 | 876 | 2,404 | 3,148 | 274 | 713 | 3 |
| Colombia | 29 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 | ... |
| Czech Republic | 3,775 | 318 | 2,965 | 110 | 25 | 208 | 150 |
| Denmark | 72,356 | 1,327 | 60,450 | 1,008 | 4,927 | 2,644 | 2,000 |
| Estonia | 973 | 4 | 955 | 6 | ... | 8 | 0 |
| Finland | 29,827 | 13 | 28,375 | 15 | 412 | 579 | 432 |
| France | 104,262 | 9,523 | 60,184 | 6,918 | 21,480 | 3,409 | 2,748 |
| Germany | 63,864 | 4,792 | 34,532 | 4,756 | 15,865 | 1,863 | 2,056 |
| Greece | 3,460 | 78 | 2,515 | 115 | 503 | 249 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 147,046 | 15,299 | 99,133 | 2,974 | 27,095 | 1,724 | 820 |
| Hungary | 3,117 | 528 | 1,771 | 306 | 502 | 11 | ... |
| India | 7,697 | 5,427 | 1,748 | 376 | 64 | 80 | ... |
| Indonesia | 896 | 439 | 376 | 51 | 0 | 27 | 3 |
| Ireland | 8,081 | 409 | 5,056 | 477 | 1,701 | 386 | 52 |
| Israel | 5,419 | 155 | ... | 411 | 4,230 | 603 | 20 |
| Italy | 17,726 | 559 | 15,283 | 385 | 1,339 | 73 | 88 |
| Japan | 168,260 | 18,453 | 93,599 | 9,351 | 36,718 | 9,182 | 958 |
| Korea | 18,420 | 13,088 | 3,047 | 1,035 | 139 | 990 | 122 |
| Latvia | 1,436 | 95 | 232 | 57 | 569 | 12 | 470 |
| Lithuania | 857 | 26 | 798 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 5 |
| Luxembourg | 16,858 | 752 | 13,817 | 552 | 1,490 | 98 | 149 |
| Malaysia | 2,429 | 451 | 367 | 479 | 304 | 644 | 183 |
| Mexico | 10,434 | 611 | 8,969 | 261 | 556 | 38 | ... |
| Netherlands | 3,241 | 176 | 1,898 | 281 | 237 | 167 | 482 |
| New Zealand | 6,353 | 622 | 1,619 | 393 | 3,483 | 227 | 9 |
| Norway | 17,524 | 232 | 11,410 | 864 | 3,288 | 1,397 | 332 |
| Peru | 16 | 2 | 14 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Philippines | 1,256 | 839 | 223 | 167 | 15 | 12 | $\ldots$ |
| Poland | 5,368 | 662 | 3,687 | 307 | 675 | 29 | 7 |
| Portugal | 1,974 | 67 | 1,134 | 12 | 690 | 72 | ... |
| Romania | 1,774 | 172 | 1,355 | 9 | 227 | 12 | ... |
| Russia | 18,416 | 3,032 | 6,440 | 5,172 | 2,961 | 315 | 496 |
| Saudi Arabia | 1,273 | 227 | 694 | 57 | 149 | 36 | 110 |
| Singapore | 121,999 | 8,607 | 78,130 | 6,833 | 21,641 | 2,986 | 3,801 |
| Slovakia | 153 | 1 | 135 | 0 | 5 | 12 | ... |
| South Africa | 9,109 | 1,922 | 6,589 | 196 | 203 | 183 | 16 |
| Spain | 16,831 | 307 | 10,067 | 346 | 644 | 386 | 5,080 |
| Sweden | 29,312 | 376 | 9,972 | 3,306 | 8,661 | 4,160 | 2,837 |
| Switzerland | 181,979 | 7,816 | 105,827 | 8,354 | 50,917 | 5,432 | 3,632 |
| Thailand | 3,276 | 1,778 | 967 | 244 | 144 | 99 | 45 |
| Turkey | 6,831 | 19 | 6,274 | 150 | 316 | 65 | 7 |
| United Kingdom | 775,381 | 98,086 | 301,253 | 91,230 | 217,461 | 14,993 | 52,357 |
| United States | 267,099 | 14,514 | 105,441 | 57,839 | 59,696 | 13,298 | 16,311 |
| Total | 2,361,049 | 241,165 | 1,191,677 | 221,017 | 536,752 | 71,757 | 98,680 |


| Currency swap turnover by <br> Daily averages, in millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reporting dealers |  | Other financial institutions |  | Non-financial customers |  |
|  |  | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder |
| Argentina | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Australia | 4,437 | 338 | 2,755 | 319 | 981 | 24 | 19 |
| Austria | 307 | 0 | 202 | 3 | 94 | 1 | 8 |
| Bahrain | 10 | $\ldots$ | 10 | ... | 0 | ... | ... |
| Belgium | 83 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 21 | ... | 1 |
| Brazil | 417 | 22 | 58 | 136 | ... | 201 | ... |
| Bulgaria | 4 | ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Canada | 1,240 | 76 | 602 | 23 | 263 | 267 | 9 |
| Chile | 204 | 7 | 103 | 15 | 70 | 10 | ... |
| China | 1 | ... | 0 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Chinese Taipei | 79 | 21 | 5 | 16 | ... | 37 | ... |
| Colombia | 13 | 0 | 12 | ... | 1 | ... | ... |
| Czech Republic | 35 | ... | 25 | $\ldots$ | ... | 10 | ... |
| Denmark | 1,327 | 320 | 708 | 74 | 194 | 15 | 15 |
| Estonia | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Finland | 73 | 6 | 62 | ... | 6 | ... | ... |
| France | 4,025 | 43 | 3,153 | 89 | 670 | 5 | 67 |
| Germany | 1,344 | 37 | 878 | 109 | 201 | 107 | 12 |
| Greece | 4 | ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Hong Kong SAR | 7,038 | 90 | 6,594 | 0 | 232 | 35 | 86 |
| Hungary | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| India | 31 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 17 | ... |
| Indonesia | 54 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 2 | ... |
| Ireland | 692 | ... | 93 | 420 | 163 | 15 | 0 |
| Israel | 75 | 1 | ... | 10 | 49 | 16 | ... |
| Italy | 28 | ... | 24 | ... | 3 | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Japan | 2,236 | 156 | 1,779 | 51 | 129 | 110 | 12 |
| Korea | 654 | 335 | 149 | 51 | 52 | 58 | 9 |
| Latvia | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Lithuania | 19 | ... | 10 | ... | ... | 10 | ... |
| Luxembourg | 28 | 8 | 18 | ... | 0 | ... | 3 |
| Malaysia | 156 | 29 | 29 | 3 | $\ldots$ | 83 | 12 |
| Mexico | 153 | 70 | 37 | 9 | 34 | 4 | $\ldots$ |
| Netherlands | 1,276 | 4 | 590 | 137 | 510 | 31 | 4 |
| New Zealand | 135 | 8 | 101 | 20 | 5 | 1 | ... |
| Norway | 408 | 1 | 184 | 214 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Peru | 3 | ... | 2 | ... | ... | 2 | ... |
| Philippines | 508 | 183 | 323 | ... | 2 | 0 | ... |
| Poland | 79 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 24 | ... | ... |
| Portugal | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Romania | 4 | ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Russia | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
| Saudi Arabia | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Singapore | 687 | 12 | 556 | 15 | 91 | 5 | 7 |
| Slovakia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| South Africa | 39 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Spain | 659 | 73 | 255 | 2 | 198 | 67 | 64 |
| Sweden | 92 | 2 | 6 | 29 | 50 | 5 | ... |
| Switzerland | 23 | $\cdots$ | 11 | ... | 11 | $\cdots$ | ... |
| Thailand | 111 | 59 | 6 | 19 | ... | 27 | ... |
| Turkey | 921 | 82 | 839 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| United Kingdom | 18,177 | 2,318 | 4,397 | 4,134 | 6,366 | 146 | 816 |
| United States | 9,092 | 1,633 | 3,484 | 1,537 | 1,345 | 449 | 644 |
| Total | 56,978 | 5,944 | 28,224 | 7,488 | 11,767 | 1,764 | 1,791 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Options turnover by country and counterparty in April $2010^{\mathbf{1}}$

Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  | Total | Reporting dealers |  | Other financial institutions |  | Non-financial customers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder | Local | Crossborder |
| Argentina | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Australia | 1,864 | 116 | 1,059 | 158 | 337 | 126 | 67 |
| Austria | 802 | 25 | 433 | 34 | 220 | 49 | 41 |
| Bahrain | 113 | ... | 95 | ... | 6 | 1 | 12 |
| Belgium | 510 | 8 | 360 | $\ldots$ | 109 | 15 | 18 |
| Brazil | 841 | 6 | 71 | 11 | 694 | 28 | 32 |
| Bulgaria | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Canada | 2,232 | 114 | 1,535 | 20 | 330 | 125 | 108 |
| Chile | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 | ... |
| China | 91 | 0 | 42 | $\ldots$ | 21 | 28 | ... |
| Chinese Taipei | 1,536 | 64 | 672 | 74 | 158 | 386 | 183 |
| Colombia | 17 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 7 | ... |
| Czech Republic | 35 | 0 | 14 | ... | 4 | 16 | 1 |
| Denmark | 2,637 | 224 | 1,427 | 37 | 341 | 108 | 501 |
| Estonia | 3 | ... | 2 | ... | ... | 2 | ... |
| Finland | 76 | ... | 30 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 37 | 9 |
| France | 6,250 | 102 | 4,751 | 480 | 579 | 209 | 129 |
| Germany | 5,189 | 527 | 2,506 | 501 | 549 | 411 | 695 |
| Greece | 189 | ... | 138 | 0 | 15 | 35 | ... |
| Hong Kong SAR | 7,654 | 432 | 3,874 | 86 | 1,629 | 1,174 | 459 |
| Hungary | 53 | ... | 34 | ... | 10 | 9 | ... |
| India | 1,325 | 127 | 214 | 30 | $\ldots$ | 954 | $\ldots$ |
| Indonesia | 1 | 1 | 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Ireland | 75 | 1 | 39 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 6 |
| Israel | 489 | 41 | ... | 81 | 239 | 114 | 14 |
| Italy | 557 | 91 | 304 | 17 | 74 | 70 | 1 |
| Japan | 8,747 | 618 | 3,273 | 1,484 | 1,738 | 1,332 | 303 |
| Korea | 161 | 13 | 105 | 0 | 7 | 37 | ... |
| Latvia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Lithuania | 1 | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 | $\ldots$ |
| Luxembourg | 306 | 2 | 185 | 4 | 28 | 6 | 81 |
| Malaysia | 94 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 6 | 27 | 2 |
| Mexico | 181 | 2 | 96 | 33 | 39 | 10 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 117 | 1 | 44 | 21 | 9 | 35 | 7 |
| New Zealand | 48 | ... | ... | 1 | $\ldots$ | 46 | 0 |
| Norway | 143 | $\ldots$ | 19 | ... | 7 | 92 | 25 |
| Peru | 4 | $\ldots$ | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | ... |
| Philippines | 534 | 527 | 6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | ... |
| Poland | 128 | 8 | 57 | 2 | 9 | 52 | ... |
| Portugal | 124 | $\ldots$ | 55 | 0 | 63 | 5 | $\ldots$ |
| Romania | 33 | $\ldots$ | 13 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 1 |
| Russia | 105 | $\ldots$ | 54 | ... | 28 | 22 | 1 |
| Saudi Arabia | 77 | ... | 43 | ... | 7 | 23 | 4 |
| Singapore | 15,941 | 267 | 8,613 | 407 | 3,711 | 620 | 2,321 |
| Slovakia | 61 | $\ldots$ | 26 | $\ldots$ | 6 | 29 | 0 |
| South Africa | 502 | 118 | 298 | 8 | 2 | 76 | ... |
| Spain | 891 | 2 | 607 | ... | 84 | 28 | 170 |
| Sweden | 1,653 | 17 | 485 | 112 | 614 | 304 | 121 |
| Switzerland | 8,437 | 197 | 3,928 | 341 | 1,778 | 819 | 1,374 |
| Thailand | 90 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 0 |
| Turkey | 1,060 | 1 | 515 | 7 | 3 | 531 | 3 |
| United Kingdom | 135,399 | 15,926 | 30,704 | 49,538 | 29,236 | 3,476 | 6,518 |
| United States | 39,479 | 1,216 | 12,421 | 7,718 | 9,524 | 1,819 | 6,782 |
| Total | 246,856 | 20,814 | 79,184 | 61,235 | 52,240 | 13,393 | 19,989 |


| Outright forward transactions by country and maturity in April $2010^{1}$ Percentage share of country's total outright forward transactions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up to and including 7 days | Over 7 days and up to and including 1 year | Over 1 year |
| Argentina | 8 | 91 | 1 |
| Australia | 41 | 58 | 1 |
| Austria | 77 | 23 | 1 |
| Bahrain | 17 | 78 | 5 |
| Belgium | 22 | 77 | 1 |
| Brazil | 39 | 45 | 15 |
| Bulgaria | 31 | 69 | ... |
| Canada | 33 | 65 | 3 |
| Chile | 28 | 70 | 2 |
| China | 51 | 43 | 6 |
| Chinese Taipei | 17 | 82 | 2 |
| Colombia | 17 | 82 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 63 | 34 | 3 |
| Denmark | 69 | 31 | 1 |
| Estonia | 49 | 51 | ... |
| Finland | 29 | 70 | 1 |
| France | 16 | 79 | 5 |
| Germany | 65 | 32 | 3 |
| Greece | 50 | 49 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 33 | 62 | 5 |
| Hungary | 39 | 59 | 2 |
| India | 29 | 49 | 22 |
| Indonesia | 74 | 26 | 0 |
| Ireland | 22 | 78 | 0 |
| Israel | 66 | 32 | 2 |
| Italy | 21 | 75 | 3 |
| Japan | 41 | 58 | 1 |
| Korea | 12 | 75 | 13 |
| Latvia | 85 | 15 | .. |
| Lithuania | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 15 | 84 | 0 |
| Malaysia | 64 | 34 | 2 |
| Mexico | 20 | 78 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 28 | 60 | 12 |
| New Zealand | 56 | 43 | 1 |
| Norway | 42 | 57 | 1 |
| Peru | 19 | 81 | 1 |
| Philippines | 20 | 75 | 5 |
| Poland | 40 | 57 | 2 |
| Portugal | 22 | 78 | 0 |
| Romania | 24 | 72 | 4 |
| Russia | 16 | 80 | 4 |
| Saudi Arabia | 92 | 8 | 0 |
| Singapore | 16 | 81 | 3 |
| Slovakia | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| South Africa | 41 | 56 | 3 |
| Spain | 34 | 60 | 6 |
| Sweden | 37 | 61 | 1 |
| Switzerland | 29 | 70 | 2 |
| Thailand | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| Turkey | 91 | 8 | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 60 | 39 | 1 |
| United States | 39 | 60 | 2 |
| Total | 45 | 52 | 2 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Since data on the maturity breakdown were only collected on a gross basis, data on a net basis have been calculated by adjusting the gross data proportionally. Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. |  |  |  |

## Foreign exchange swap transactions by country and maturity in April $201 \mathbf{0 1}^{1}$

Percentage share of country's total FX swaps transactions

|  | Up to and including 7 days | Over 7 days and up to and including 1 year | Over 1 year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Australia | 84 | 16 | 0 |
| Austria | 72 | 27 | 1 |
| Bahrain | 75 | 24 | 1 |
| Belgium | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| Brazil | 14 | 42 | 44 |
| Bulgaria | 71 | 29 | ... |
| Canada | 71 | 29 | 1 |
| Chile | 25 | 73 | 3 |
| China | 64 | 36 | 1 |
| Chinese Taipei | 28 | 69 | 4 |
| Colombia | 40 | 16 | 43 |
| Czech Republic | 84 | 16 | 0 |
| Denmark | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| Estonia | 94 | 6 | ... |
| Finland | 94 | 6 | 0 |
| France | 74 | 26 | 1 |
| Germany | 66 | 33 | 1 |
| Greece | 58 | 42 | ... |
| Hong Kong SAR | 78 | 21 | 1 |
| Hungary | 65 | 31 | 4 |
| India | 47 | 42 | 10 |
| Indonesia | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| Ireland | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| Israel | 86 | 13 | 1 |
| Italy | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| Japan | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| Korea | 47 | 48 | 5 |
| Latvia | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| Lithuania | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 77 | 23 | 0 |
| Malaysia | 71 | 29 | 0 |
| Mexico | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 52 | 48 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 85 | 15 | 0 |
| Norway | 62 | 37 | 0 |
| Peru | 14 | 86 | ... |
| Philippines | 48 | 52 | 0 |
| Poland | 82 | 17 | 1 |
| Portugal | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| Romania | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| Russia | 97 | 3 | 0 |
| Saudi Arabia | 44 | 45 | 11 |
| Singapore | 76 | 24 | 1 |
| Slovakia | 82 | 18 | ... |
| South Africa | 66 | 32 | 2 |
| Spain | 76 | 24 | 1 |
| Sweden | 54 | 45 | 1 |
| Switzerland | 69 | 30 | 1 |
| Thailand | 49 | 48 | 3 |
| Turkey | 65 | 35 | ... |
| United Kingdom | 75 | 25 | 1 |
| United States | 71 | 27 | 1 |
| Total | 74 | 25 | 1 |

[^27]| Total foreign exchange turnover by country in April, 1995-2010 ${ }^{1}$ Daily averages, in billions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April 2004 | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | ... | 2 | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Australia | 41 | 48 | 54 | 107 | 176 | 192 |
| Austria | 13 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 19 | 20 |
| Bahrain | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Belgium | 29 | 27 | 10 | 21 | 50 | 33 |
| Brazil | ... | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 14 |
| Bulgaria | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 |
| Canada | 31 | 38 | 44 | 59 | 64 | 62 |
| Chile | . | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| China | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1 | 9 | 20 |
| Chinese Taipei | ... | 5 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 18 |
| Colombia | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Czech Republic | ... | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Denmark | 32 | 28 | 24 | 42 | 88 | 120 |
| Estonia | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Finland | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 31 |
| France | 62 | 77 | 50 | 67 | 127 | 152 |
| Germany | 79 | 100 | 91 | 120 | 101 | 109 |
| Greece | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 91 | 80 | 68 | 106 | 181 | 238 |
| Hungary | ... | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| India | ... | 2 | 3 | 7 | 38 | 27 |
| Indonesia | ... | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Ireland | 5 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 15 |
| Israel | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| Italy | 24 | 29 | 18 | 23 | 38 | 29 |
| Japan | 168 | 146 | 153 | 207 | 250 | 312 |
| Korea | ... | 4 | 10 | 21 | 35 | 44 |
| Latvia | ... | ... | ... | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Lithuania | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Luxembourg | 19 | 23 | 13 | 15 | 44 | 33 |
| Malaysia | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Mexico | ... | 9 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| Netherlands | 27 | 43 | 31 | 52 | 25 | 18 |
| New Zealand | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 9 |
| Norway | 8 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 32 | 22 |
| Peru | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Philippines | ... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Poland | ... | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Portugal | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Romania | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3 | 3 |
| Russia | ... | 7 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 42 |
| Saudi Arabia | $\ldots$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Singapore | 107 | 145 | 104 | 134 | 242 | 266 |
| Slovakia | ... | ... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Slovenia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| South Africa | 5 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 14 |
| Spain | 18 | 20 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 29 |
| Sweden | 20 | 16 | 25 | 32 | 44 | 45 |
| Switzerland | 88 | 92 | 76 | 85 | 254 | 263 |
| Thailand | ... | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| Turkey | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 17 |
| United Kingdom | 479 | 685 | 542 | 835 | 1,483 | 1,854 |
| United States | 266 | 383 | 273 | 499 | 745 | 904 |
| Total | 1,633 | 2,099 | 1,705 | 2,608 | 4,281 | 5,056 |

[^28]
## Spot foreign exchange turnover by country in April, 1995-2010 ${ }^{1}$

Daily averages, in billions of US dollars

|  | Spot |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April $2004{ }^{2}$ | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | ... | 2 | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Australia | 18 | 20 | 13 | 36 | 44 | 60 |
| Austria | 9 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Bahrain | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Belgium | 6 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 8 |
| Brazil | ... | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| Bulgaria | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 1 |
| Canada | 12 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 18 |
| Chile | ... | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| China | ... | 0 | ... | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| Chinese Taipei | $\ldots$ | 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Colombia | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Czech Republic | $\ldots$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Denmark | 9 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 33 |
| Estonia | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| France | 25 | 19 | 9 | 13 | 25 | 27 |
| Germany | 34 | 42 | 26 | 36 | 25 | 30 |
| Greece | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 35 | 31 | 19 | 36 | 38 | 44 |
| Hungary | ... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| India | $\ldots$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 13 |
| Indonesia | $\ldots$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Ireland | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Israel | ... | ... | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Italy | 13 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| Japan | 55 | 57 | 37 | 53 | 101 | 101 |
| Korea | ... | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 18 |
| Latvia | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lithuania | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 12 |
| Malaysia | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Mexico | ... | 6 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Netherlands | 11 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| New Zealand | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Norway | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Peru | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Philippines | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Poland | ... | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Portugal | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Romania | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 1 |
| Russia | $\ldots$ | 6 | 9 | 24 | 34 | 23 |
| Saudi Arabia | ... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Singapore | 44 | 60 | 34 | 43 | 89 | 91 |
| Slovakia | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Africa | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Spain | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Sweden | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 12 |
| Switzerland | 44 | 34 | 23 | 23 | 108 | 61 |
| Thailand | ... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| United Kingdom | 186 | 217 | 151 | 223 | 335 | 697 |
| United States | 134 | 148 | 104 | 217 | 311 | 473 |
| Total | 680 | 762 | 519 | 840 | 1,305 | 1,832 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local int aggregation procedur | (ie "net-gros | asis). Data may | ffer slightly fr | national surve | ta owing to dif | nces in Table E. 19 |


| Outright forward foreign exchange turnover by cou <br> Daily averages, in billions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outright forward |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April 2004 | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | ... | 0 | ... | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Australia | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 8 |
| Austria | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Bahrain | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Belgium | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| Brazil | ... | ... | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Bulgaria | ... | $\ldots$ | .. | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Chile | ... | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| China | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 3 |
| Chinese Taipei | ... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Colombia | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Czech Republic | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Denmark | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 11 |
| Estonia | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| Germany | 4 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 23 | 8 |
| Greece | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 32 |
| Hungary | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| India | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Indonesia | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Israel | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 |
| Italy | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Japan | 17 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 26 | 32 |
| Korea | ... | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Latvia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lithuania | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Malaysia | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mexico | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| New Zealand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Peru | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Philippines | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Poland | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Romania | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| Russia | ... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Saudi Arabia | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Singapore | 3 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 25 | 36 |
| Slovakia | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | .. | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| South Africa | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Spain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Sweden | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Switzerland | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 11 |
| Thailand | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| United Kingdom | 34 | 48 | 53 | 103 | 124 | 228 |
| United States | 28 | 37 | 36 | 61 | 114 | 116 |
| Total | 115 | 155 | 164 | 261 | 434 | 559 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. <br> Table E. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Foreign exchange swap turn <br> Daily averages, in billions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign exchange swap |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April 2004 | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Australia | 21 | 25 | 35 | 61 | 111 | 118 |
| Austria | 4 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 11 |
| Bahrain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Belgium | 22 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 26 | 22 |
| Brazil | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulgaria | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 15 | 25 | 29 | 32 | 42 | 34 |
| Chile | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| China | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 8 |
| Chinese Taipei | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Colombia | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | ... | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Denmark | 20 | 20 | 18 | 30 | 61 | 72 |
| Estonia | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Finland | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 30 |
| France | 30 | 50 | 38 | 46 | 87 | 104 |
| Germany | 38 | 44 | 51 | 70 | 52 | 64 |
| Greece | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 53 | 44 | 44 | 61 | 122 | 147 |
| Hungary | ... | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| India | ... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 8 |
| Indonesia | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ireland | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Israel | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Italy | 8 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 22 | 18 |
| Japan | 89 | 61 | 90 | 125 | 112 | 168 |
| Korea | ... | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 18 |
| Latvia | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Lithuania | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Luxembourg | 10 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 24 | 17 |
| Malaysia | ... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Mexico | ... | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 10 |
| Netherlands | 12 | 20 | 21 | 36 | 15 | 3 |
| New Zealand | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 6 |
| Norway | 4 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 26 | 18 |
| Peru | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 |
| Philippines | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Poland | $\ldots$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Portugal | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Romania | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 | 2 |
| Russia | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ | 5 | 15 | 18 |
| Saudi Arabia | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Singapore | 58 | 75 | 58 | 72 | 116 | 122 |
| Slovakia | ... |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Slovenia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | ... |
| South Africa | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Spain | 10 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 17 |
| Sweden | 11 | 10 | 17 | 22 | 33 | 29 |
| Switzerland | 34 | 42 | 41 | 49 | 116 | 182 |
| Thailand | ... | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Turkey | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| United Kingdom | 244 | 372 | 300 | 428 | 899 | 775 |
| United States | 83 | 166 | 114 | 183 | 239 | 267 |
| Total | 777 | 1,052 | 934 | 1,329 | 2,250 | 2,361 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. <br> Table E. 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Currency swap turnover by <br> Daily averages, in billions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currency swaps |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April 2004 | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Australia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Austria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bahrain | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brazil | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulgaria | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Chile | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| China | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colombia | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Estonia | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | ... |
| Finland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Germany | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Hungary | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| India | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Indonesia | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Israel | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Korea | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Latvia | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Lithuania | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Malaysia | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mexico | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| New Zealand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| Philippines | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Poland | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 | ... |
| Romania | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| Russia | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Saudi Arabia | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Singapore | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Slovakia | ... | ... | 0 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Slovenia | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| South Africa | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Switzerland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thailand | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| United Kingdom | 1 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 18 |
| United States | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| Total | 5 | 13 | 9 | 26 | 40 | 57 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. <br> Table E. 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Options turnover by country in April, 1995-2010 ${ }^{1}$ Daily averages, in billions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Options |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April 1995 | April 1998 | April 2001 | April 2004 | April 2007 | April 2010 |
| Argentina | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Australia | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Austria | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bahrain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Belgium | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Brazil | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Bulgaria | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 0 | ... |
| Canada | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Chile | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 |
| China | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Colombia | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Estonia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Germany | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| Hungary | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| India | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Indonesia | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Israel | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Japan | 6 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| Korea | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Latvia | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Lithuania | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Malaysia | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mexico | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| Philippines | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Poland | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Romania | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0 | 0 |
| Russia | ... | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| Saudi Arabia | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Singapore | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 16 |
| Slovakia | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | ... |
| South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Sweden | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Switzerland | 2 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 8 |
| Thailand | ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkey | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| United Kingdom | 14 | 43 | 33 | 65 | 106 | 135 |
| United States | 21 | 31 | 18 | 36 | 75 | 39 |
| Total | 55 | 117 | 79 | 152 | 253 | 247 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Foreign exchange turnover by execution method in April $201 \mathbf{0}^{1}$
Daily averages, in millions of US dollars

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Electronic Trading Systems }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Multibank } \\ \text { Inter-dealer } \\ \text { direct }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Customer } \\ \text { direct }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Electronic } \\ \text { Broking } \\ \text { System }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Single-bank } \\ \text { proprietary } \\ \text { platforms }\end{array}$ | Voice broker |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

${ }^{1}$ Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. Adjusted for local and cross-boder inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis). Due to incomplete allocation by execution method, components do not sum to the aggregates published in Table D.1.

## 2. Amounts outstanding at end-June 2010

| Notional amounts outstanding of OTC foreign exchange by instrument, counterparty and currency at end-June $2010^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All currencies In millions of US dollars |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | US dollar | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling |
| Forwards and swaps with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\begin{array}{r} 31,935,312 \\ 10,178,437 \\ 15,065,960 \\ 6,690,924 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,775,021 \\ 9,356,050 \\ 13,290,515 \\ 5,128,459 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,825,619 \\ 3,210,128 \\ 4,781,705 \\ 2,833,794 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,633,047 \\ 1,743,162 \\ 2,056,037 \\ 833,848 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,031,984 \\ 1,034,301 \\ 2,034,589 \\ 963,101 \end{array}$ |
| Currency swaps <br> with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 8 , 8 9 0 , 0 9 5} \\ 7,906,256 \\ 8,611,532 \\ 2,372,315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,430,215 \\ 7,203,382 \\ 7,446,154 \\ 1,780,678 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,702,510 \\ & 3,047,769 \\ & 3,488,850 \\ & 1,165,888 \end{aligned}$ | $3,777,790$ $1,977,914$ $1,316,708$ 483,164 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,394,091 \\ 936,423 \\ 1,088,792 \\ 368,872 \end{array}$ |
| Options sold with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 5 5 0 , 8 3 0} \\ & 4,826,250 \\ & 2,393,299 \\ & 1,331,289 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 8 0 6 , 0 2 5} \\ & 3,793,261 \\ & 1,924,467 \\ & 1,088,298 \end{aligned}$ | $2,922,539$ $1,596,739$ 768,618 557,187 | 3,119,424 $1,971,487$ 772,861 375,076 | $\begin{aligned} & 672,804 \\ & 355,646 \\ & 201,611 \\ & 115,548 \end{aligned}$ |
| Options bought with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 4 1 4 , 6 2 7} \\ & 4,891,384 \\ & 2,235,461 \\ & 1,287,794 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 6 3 8 , 0 0 7} \\ & 3,863,850 \\ & 1,738,668 \\ & 1,035,490 \end{aligned}$ | 2,842,115 $1,569,578$ 751,132 521,405 | 3,101,505 $2,069,455$ 723,255 308,797 | $\begin{aligned} & 637,739 \\ & 351,056 \\ & 176,147 \\ & 110,536 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total options | 12,106,637 | 9,615,479 | 4,181,502 | 4,200,459 | 957,194 |
| Other products | 1,075 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | .. |
| Total contracts | 62,933,119 | 53,820,715 | 22,709,631 | 12,611,296 | 7,383,269 |
| For footnotes, see facing page. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table E. 25 |

Notional amounts outstanding of OTC foreign exchange by instrument, counterparty and currency at end-June $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}^{1}$

## All currencies

In millions of US dollars

| Swiss franc | Canadian dollar | Swedish krona | Other currencies ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,395,214 | 1,656,914 | 1,001,917 | 11,550,908 | Forwards and swaps |
| 1,030,850 | 428,130 | 277,289 | 3,276,964 | with reporting dealers |
| 910,873 | 823,115 | 359,718 | 5,875,368 | with other financial institutions |
| 453,499 | 405,673 | 364,914 | 2,398,560 | with non-financial customers |
| 963,087 | 825,386 | 451,473 | 5,235,638 | Currency swaps |
| 408,002 | 261,087 | 180,591 | 1,797,344 | with reporting dealers |
| 427,957 | 364,771 | 208,136 | 2,881,696 | with other financial institutions |
| 127,129 | 199,525 | 62,745 | 556,629 | with non-financial customers |
| 690,313 | 220,762 | 94,878 | 2,574,915 | Options sold |
| 407,859 | 117,821 | 53,564 | 1,356,123 | with reporting dealers |
| 199,774 | 65,916 | 22,968 | 830,383 | with other financial institutions |
| 82,683 | 37,022 | 18,344 | 388,420 | with non-financial customers |
| 675,505 | 214,614 | 94,338 | 2,625,431 | Options bought |
| 401,545 | 116,427 | 52,377 | 1,358,480 | with reporting dealers |
| 181,050 | 62,652 | 21,877 | 816,141 | with other financial institutions |
| 92,911 | 35,534 | 20,084 | 450,831 | with non-financial customers |
| 961,114 | 318,253 | 136,249 | 3,843,024 | Total options |
| ... | ... | ... | 2,150 | Other products |
| 4,319,415 | 2,800,553 | 1,589,639 | 20,631,720 | Total contracts |

${ }^{1}$ Data adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always add to totals. ${ }^{2}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

## Gross market values of OTC foreign exchange by instrument, counterparty and currency at end-June $2010^{1}$

## All currencies

In millions of US dollars

|  | Total | US dollar | Euro | Yen | Pound sterling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Positive market values |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forwards and FX swaps with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\mathbf{8 8 6 , 1 4 3}$ 452,804 280,336 152,997 | 694,135 353,002 227,528 113,603 | 366,976 183,794 108,798 74,384 | 143,339 80,149 40,581 22,605 | 190,548 116,375 50,984 23,191 |
| Currency swaps with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | 864,547 461,548 286,332 116,668 | 672,975 382,283 213,048 77,643 | 362,928 180,273 123,840 58,815 | 207,179 132,325 47,651 27,201 | 154,955 75,042 55,785 24,118 |
| Options bought <br> with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | 335,921 202,042 75,061 58,813 | 254,349 157,875 50,694 45,785 | 115,237 64,934 28,978 21,328 | 161,383 108,936 23,598 28,845 | 16,869 9,309 4,256 3,304 |
| Total contracts | 2,086,628 | 1,621,464 | 845,153 | 511,901 | 362,369 |
| Negative market values |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forwards and FX swaps with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | $\mathbf{9 7 0 , 1 8 3}$ 526,723 305,737 137,723 | 655,740 338,266 221,968 95,510 | 357,968 172,655 122,299 63,022 | 133,335 75,813 40,430 17,097 | $\begin{array}{r} 441,190 \\ 294,661 \\ 109,636 \\ 36,894 \end{array}$ |
| Currency swaps with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | 990,369 482,579 357,979 149,810 | 760,281 382,879 273,916 103,487 | 405,837 178,075 151,627 76,133 | 221,474 115,866 74,242 31,360 | 245,356 134,815 75,519 35,017 |
| Options sold <br> with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers | 324,478 204,158 79,165 41,155 | 241,261 156,468 53,085 31,710 | 118,773 68,435 30,762 19,576 | 140,713 109,170 21,754 9,790 | 23,164 11,573 7,073 4,516 |
| Total contracts | 2,285,052 | 1,657,299 | 882,592 | 495,525 | 709,717 |

For footnotes, see facing page.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Gross market values of OTC foreign exchange by instrument, counterparty and currency at end-June \(2010^{1}\) \\
All currencies \\
In millions of US dollars
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Swiss franc \& Canadian dollar \& Swedish krona \& Other currencies \({ }^{2}\) \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Positive market values} \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 73,787 } \\
42,035 \\
22,020 \\
9,731 \\
\\
45,805 \\
23,469 \\
16,603 \\
5,733 \\
26,589 \\
16,327 \\
7,286 \\
2,976 \\
\\
\mathbf{1 4 6 , 1 8 3}
\end{array}
\] \& \(\begin{array}{r}\mathbf{3 8 , 2 6 8} \\ 16,569 \\ 13,718 \\ 7,979 \\ \\ \mathbf{3 5 , 7 8 4} \\ 13,216 \\ 12,783 \\ 9,780 \\ \\ 5,333 \\ 2,908 \\ 1,617 \\ 812 \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{7 9 , 3 8 7}\end{array}\) \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{1 9 , 9 3 1} \\
8,997 \\
5,334 \\
5,597 \\
\\
\mathbf{1 0 , 5 1 8} \\
6,234 \\
2,947 \\
1,332 \\
\\
\mathbf{1 , 9 4 9} \\
929 \\
511 \\
510 \\
\\
\mathbf{3 2 , 4 0 0}
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
245,302 \\
104,687 \\
91,709 \\
48,904 \\
238,950 \\
110,254 \\
100,007 \\
28,714 \\
90,133 \\
42,866 \\
33,182 \\
14,066 \\
\\
574,399
\end{array}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Forwards and FX swaps \\
with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers \\
Currency swaps \\
with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers \\
Options bought \\
with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers \\
Total contracts
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Negative market values} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
65,177 \\
37,246 \\
16,405 \\
11,525 \\
56,142 \\
22,670 \\
21,070 \\
12,401 \\
26,033 \\
15,953 \\
7,357 \\
2,718 \\
147,353
\end{tabular} \& 39,186
16,808
14,252
8,124

$\mathbf{3 5 , 9 6 0}$
11,827
13,137
10,994

$\mathbf{6 , 0 0 8}$
2,966
2,055
983

$\mathbf{8 1 , 1 5 5}$ \& | 19,731 |
| :--- |
| 10,100 |
| 4,938 |
| 4,693 |
| 13,027 |
| 7,148 |
| 3,683 |
| 2,192 |
| 2,224 |
| 899 |
| 866 |
| 455 |
| 34,986 | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
228,039 \\
107,897 \\
81,546 \\
38,581 \\
\\
242,661 \\
111,878 \\
102,764 \\
28,036 \\
\\
90,780 \\
42,852 \\
35,378 \\
12,562 \\
561,477
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | Forwards and FX swaps |
| :--- |
| with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers |
| Currency swaps |
| with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers |
| Options sold |
| with reporting dealers with other financial institutions with non-financial customers |
| Total contracts | <br>


\hline ${ }^{1}$ Counterparty breakdo \& partially estimated. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$ \& alculated as the differ \& e between the tota \& | and the sum of the listed components. |
| :--- |
| Table E. 26 (cont) | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## Notional amounts outstanding of OTC foreign exchange by instrument, counterparty and remaining maturity at end-June $2010^{1}$

In millions of US dollars

|  | Total | One year or less | Over 1 year and up to 5 years | Over 5 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forwards and swaps | 50,825,407 | 32,282,575 | 10,159,882 | 8,389,912 |
| with reporting dealers | 18084693 | 11,714,146 | 4,059,140 | 2,305,296 |
| with other financial institutions | 23,677,492 | 14,051,372 | 4,514,795 | 5,102,349 |
| with non-financial customers | 9,063,239 | 6,494,612 | 1,585,296 | 982,247 |
| Options sold | 8,550,830 | 6,128,066 | 1,939,216 | 483,556 |
| with reporting dealers | 4,826,250 | 3,275,891 | 1,216,854 | 330,780 |
| with other financial institutions | 2,393,299 | 1,867,443 | 440,450 | 85,375 |
| with non-financial customers | 1,331,289 | 982,604 | 280,373 | 67,402 |
| Options bought | 8,414,627 | 5,560,605 | 1,872,315 | 981,749 |
| with reporting dealers | 4,891,384 | 3,325,528 | 1,237,236 | 327,636 |
| with other financial institutions | 2,235,461 | 1,271,612 | 391,104 | 572,726 |
| with non-financial customers | 1,287,794 | 961,463 | 242,414 | 81,390 |
| Total options | 12,106,637 | 8,387,966 | 2,584,491 | 1,136,101 |
| Other products | 1,075 | ... | ... | ... |
| Total contracts | 62,933,119 | 40,670,527 | 12,744,367 | 9,526,012 |
| Total contracts including gold | 63,602,498 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |

${ }^{1}$ Data adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete allocation, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals.

## Notional amounts outstanding of OTC foreign exchange by instrument and counterparty at end-June 2004, 2007 and $2010^{1}$

n millions of US dollars

|  | End-June 2004 |  | End-June 2007 |  | End-June 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional amounts outstanding | Gross market values | Notional amounts outstanding | Gross market values | Notional amounts outstanding | Gross market values |
| Forwards and FX swaps | 16,763,791 | 459,617 | 29,775,280 | 667,587 | 31,935,312 | 1,329,600 |
| with reporting dealers | 6,834,095 | 191,668 | 10,580,077 | 254,317 | 10,178,437 | 452,804 |
| with other financial institutions | 6,579,777 | 165,060 | 12,280,687 | 256,313 | 15,065,960 | 586,073 |
| with non-financial customers | 3,349,930 | 102,886 | 6,914,531 | 156,973 | 6,690,924 | 290,720 |
| Total including gold | 16,920,203 | ... | 30,486,523 | $\ldots$ | 32,320,119 | $\ldots$ |
| Currency swaps | 7,938,591 | 505,366 | 14,130,193 | 666,201 | 18,890,095 | 1,372,342 |
| with reporting dealers | 3,078,941 | 114,194 | 5,714,743 | 174,697 | 7,906,256 | 461,548 |
| with other financial institutions | 3,112,463 | 229,223 | 5,941,666 | 308,004 | 8,611,532 | 644,311 |
| with non-financial customers | 1,747,196 | 161,950 | 2,473,788 | 183,495 | 2,372,315 | 266,478 |
| Options sold | 4,702,476 | 98,624 | 9,915,023 | 204,856 | 8,550,830 | 324,478 |
| with reporting dealers | 2,565,614 | 50,516 | 6,127,064 | 124,230 | 4,826,250 | 204,158 |
| with other financial institutions | 1,144,366 | 22,220 | 2,282,195 | 49,391 | 2,393,299 | 79,165 |
| with non-financial customers | 992,505 | 25,895 | 1,505,781 | 31,238 | 1,331,289 | 41,155 |
| Total including gold | 4,792,802 | $\ldots$ | 10,066,243 | ... | 8,722,762 |  |
| Options bought | 4,646,972 | 100,603 | 9,880,162 | 197,877 | 8,414,627 | 335,921 |
| with reporting dealers | 2,554,475 | 52,989 | 6,139,281 | 125,530 | 4,891,384 | 202,042 |
| with other financial institutions | 1,102,087 | 20,518 | 2,215,249 | 44,442 | 2,235,461 | 75,061 |
| with non-financial customers | 990,416 | 27,100 | 1,525,647 | 27,909 | 1,287,794 | 58,813 |
| Total including gold | 4,804,363 | $\ldots$ | 10,144,470 | $\ldots$ | 8,590,477 | $\ldots$ |
| Total options | 6,789,401 | 148,712 | 13,662,018 | 278,507 | 12,106,637 | 456,243 |
| Other products | 7,229 | ... | 36,724 | ... | 1,075 | ... |
| Total contracts | 31,499,012 | 1,113,695 | 57,604,215 | 1,612,295 | 62,933,119 | 3,158,185 |
| Total contracts including gold | 31,857,932 | 1,159,925 | 58,655,148 | 1,668,515 | 63,602,238 | 3,212,349 |

${ }^{1}$ Data adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. While data on total options are shown on a net basis, separate data on options sold and options bought are recorded on a gross basis, ie not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. The counterparty breakdown for the gross market values was estimated.


[^0]:    1 Instrument definitions are provided in Section D (Methodology). See M King and C Mallo, BIS Quarterly Review, December 2010 (forthcoming), for a discussion of methodological issues that are important for correct interpretation of the survey data.
    2 Growth rates in this section refer to changes over three years unless otherwise noted.
    3 Foreign exchange growth in the earlier period was driven - apart from valuation effects - by factors such as low levels of financial market volatility and of risk aversion, and by expansion in hedge fund activity.
    4 For more details on the results of the 2007 triennial survey, see G Galati and A Heath, "What drives the growth in FX activity? Interpreting the 2007 triennial survey", BIS Quarterly Review, December 2007.

[^1]:    5 M King and D Rime, BIS Quarterly Review, December 2010 (forthcoming), analyse in depth how the trading activity of participants in the "other financial institutions" sector has contributed to recent foreign exchange market turnover growth.
    ${ }^{6}$ See G Galati and M Melvin, "Explaining the surge in FX turnover", BIS Quarterly Review, December 2004, and G Galati, "Why has global FX turnover declined? Explaining the 2001 triennial survey", BIS Quarterly Review, December 2001.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis). Due to incomplete reporting, components do not always sum to totals.

[^3]:    7 Disruptions to the foreign exchange swap market during the 2007-09 financial crisis attracted considerable attention; see N Baba and F Packer, "From turmoil to crisis: dislocations in the FX swap market before and after the failure of Lehman Brothers", BIS Working Papers, no 285, July 2009.

[^4]:    8 Original maturity.
    9 Because each transaction involves two currencies, the shares sum to $200 \%$.
    10 The shares of some currencies, in particular the Brazilian real, the Chinese renminbi and the Korean won, have benefited at the margin from a refinement in the data collection process, which encouraged reporting banks to report turnover for a more comprehensive set of currency pairs. For more details on the set of currencies covered by the survey, see the statistical notes in Section D.

[^5]:    Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-net" basis).

[^6]:    11 Detailed definitions of execution methods are provided in Section D. 7 of the Methodology.
    12 Most inter-dealer trading is also executed electronically.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ D Mihaljek and F Packer, BIS Quarterly Review, December 2010 (forthcoming), discuss in depth what distinguishes turnover in FX derivatives in emerging markets from that in developed countries.

[^8]:    14 The maturity distribution of currency swaps, outright forwards and FX swaps is not reported individually in the reporting template for amounts outstanding.

[^9]:    ${ }^{15}$ Because each transaction involves two currencies, the shares sum to $200 \%$.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting. ${ }^{2}$ Including "other" instruments. Counting both currency sides of every foreign exchange transaction means that the currency breakdown sums to $200 \%$ of the aggregate. ${ }^{3}$ Outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps and currency swaps. ${ }^{4}$ Due to incomplete maturity breakdown, components do not always sum to totals.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ The triennial survey complements more frequent regional surveys conducted in the following financial centres by local foreign exchange committees: Australia, Canada, Hong Kong SAR, London, New York, Singapore and Tokyo.
    17 Compared with 1,260 in 2007.
    ${ }^{18}$ The second part of the triennial survey is conducted on a consolidated basis and covers not only positions in FX-related instruments but also those in interest rate, equity-linked, commodity, credit and other derivative products.
    19 Detailed results of the June 2010 semiannual and triennial Surveys are available on the BIS website at www.bis.org/publ/otc_hy1011.htm.

[^12]:    20 Trading days are requested so that daily averages can be calculated.
    ${ }^{21}$ This category covers outright forwards and foreign exchange swaps.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards and FX swaps.

[^14]:    ${ }^{22}$ One of the main purposes of this counterparty breakdown is to eliminate double-counting; see Section 8 .

[^15]:    ${ }^{23}$ In other words, not according to its nationality.

[^16]:    24 In some cases, tables by country also include gross-gross aggregates for information purposes.

[^17]:    25 See Section 8.

[^18]:    ${ }^{26}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, currency options and other foreign exchange intruments.

[^19]:    For footnotes, see facing page.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. ${ }^{2}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local and cross-border inter-dealer double-counting. Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals. Due to incomplete counterparty breakdown, components do not always sum to totals. ${ }^{2}$ Includes all participating countries' currencies other than the ones listed. ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components. ${ }^{4}$ Due to incomplete reporting, the maturity breakdown does not always sum to totals.

[^22]:    For footnotes, see facing page

[^23]:    For footnotes, see facing page.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding.
    ${ }^{2}$ Calculated as the difference between the total and the sum of the listed components.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding. ${ }^{2}$ Not adjusted for inter-dealer double-counting (ie "gross-gross" basis). ${ }^{3}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis).

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in
    aggregation procedures and rounding.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted for local inter-dealer double-counting (ie "net-gross" basis). Since data on the maturity breakdown were only collected on a gross basis, data on a net basis have been calculated by adjusting the gross data proportionally. Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spot transactions, outright forwards, foreign exchange swaps, currency swaps, options and other products. Adjusted for local inter-dealer doublecounting (ie "net-gross" basis). Data may differ slightly from national survey data owing to differences in aggregation procedures and rounding.

