

# Ensayos

## sobre POLÍTICA ECONÓMICA



EXCHANGE RATE PASS-THROUGH EFFECTS:  
A DISAGGREGATE ANALYSIS OF COLOMBIAN  
IMPORTS OF MANUFACTURED GOODS

HERNÁN RINCÓN  
ÉDGAR CAICEDO  
NORBERTO RODRÍGUEZ

ENSAYOS SOBRE POLÍTICA ECONÓMICA,  
VOL. 25, NÚM. 54,  
EDICIÓN JUNIO 2007  
PP. 90-121

Los derechos de reproducción de este documento son propiedad de la revista Ensayos Sobre Política Económica (ESPE). El documento puede ser reproducido libremente para uso académico, siempre y cuando no se obtenga lucro por este concepto y además, cada copia incluya la referencia bibliográfica de ESPE. El(los) autor(es) del documento puede(n) además poner en su propio website una versión electrónica del mismo, pero incluyendo la referencia bibliográfica de ESPE. La reproducción de esta revista para cualquier otro fin, o su colocación en cualquier otro website, requerirá autorización previa de su Editor de ESPE.

# TRANSMISIÓN DE LA TASA DE CAMBIO A LOS PRECIOS: UN ANÁLISIS DESAGREGADO DE LOS PRECIOS DE LAS IMPORTACIONES COLOMBIANAS DE BIENES MANUFACTURADOS

HERNÁN RINCÓN  
ÉDGAR CAICEDO  
NORBERTO RODRÍGUEZ\*

---

\* Agradecemos a Hernando Vargas, Leonardo Villar, Munir Jalil y dos evaluadores anónimos por sus valiosos comentarios. Aarón Garavito, Carlos Patiño y Camilo Rodríguez colaboraron en diferentes momentos como asistentes. Los puntos de vista expresados en este documento son de los autores y no representan los del Banco de la República ni los de su Junta Directiva. Los autores son los únicos responsables de cualquier error u omisión.

Los autores son, en su orden, director adjunto de la Dirección de Estudios Económicos del Fondo Latinoamericano de Reservas (FLAR); profesional experto del Departamento de Programación e Inflación, y econométrista asociado del Departamento de Modelos Macroeconómicos, del Banco de la República (banco central de Colombia).

Correos electrónicos:  
hrincoca@banrep.gov.co;  
ecaicega@banrep.gov.co;  
nrodrini@banrep.gov.co

Documento recibido el 11 de abril de 2007; versión final aceptada el 28 de junio de 2007.

Este documento cuantifica el grado de transmisión (pass-through) de la tasa de cambio nominal a los precios de los bienes importados de una muestra de la industria manufacturera colombiana y evalúa si el tipo de régimen cambiario o monetario afecta dicho grado de transmisión. El marco analítico empleado es un modelo de mark-up. Las elasticidades de largo plazo se estiman entre 0,1 y 0,8; y las de corto plazo son inestables y varían entre 0,1 y 0,7. Estos resultados corroboran las hipótesis de los modelos de mark-up, y rechazan las hipótesis de competencia perfecta y grado de transmisión completo. Los resultados también muestran evidencia de variabilidad y diferente grado de transmisión para los diferentes sectores, lo cual confirma la importancia de usar modelos dinámicos y datos desagregados para los análisis de pass-through. Las hipótesis de que bajo un régimen de tasa de cambio flotante o de baja inflación el grado de transmisión es bajo no son corroborados por los resultados econométricos.

**Clasificación JEL:** F31; F41; E31; E52; C32; C51; C52.

**Palabras clave:** grado de transmisión (pass-through effects); PPP; competencia imperfecta; régimen de flotación; ambiente de baja inflación; modelo de parámetros fijos; modelo de parámetros cambiantes en el tiempo; filtro de Kalman.

# EXCHANGE RATE PASS-THROUGH EFFECTS: A DISAGGREGATE ANALYSIS OF COLOMBIAN IMPORTS OF MANUFACTURED GOODS

HERNÁN RINCÓN  
EDGAR CAICEDO  
NORBERTO RODRÍGUEZ\*

This paper quantifies the exchange rate pass-through effects on import prices within a sample of Colombian manufactured imports. Also, whether the foreign exchange and inflation regimes affect the degree of pass-through is evaluated. The analytical framework used was a mark-up model. The main finding is that the long-run pass-through elasticities are stable and go from 0.1 to 0.8 and the short-run ones are unstable and go from 0.1 to 0.7, supporting mark-up hypotheses, in contrast to the hypotheses of perfect market competition and complete pass-through. The findings also show evidence of the variability and different degrees of pass-through among manufacturing sectors, which confirm the importance of using dynamic models and disaggregate data for an analysis of the pass-through. Both, the hypothesis that under a floating regime there is a low degree of pass-through and the hypothesis that a low inflation environment has the same result are not supported.

**JEL Classification:** F31; F41; E31; E52; C32; C51; C52.

**Keywords:** Pass-through effects; PPP; imperfect competition; floating regime; low inflation environment; fixed parameter model; time-varying parameter model; Kalman filtering.

---

We are grateful to Hernando Vargas, Leonardo Villar, Munir Jalil, and two anonymous referees for their valuable comments. Aarón Garavito, Carlos Patiño, and Camilo Rodríguez provided research assistance. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not represent those of the Banco de la República or the Board of Directors. We are solely responsible for any errors of omission or commission.

In the order in which they are listed, the first author belongs to Economic Research Department of FLAR, the second one to the Inflation Section, and the last one to the Econometric Unit, of the Economic Studies Department of the central bank of Colombia (Banco de la República).

E-mail addresses:  
hrincoca@banrep.gov.co;  
ecaicega@banrep.gov.co;  
nrodrini@banrep.gov.co

Document received 11  
April 2007; final version  
accepted 28 June 2007.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Colombian central bank has been formally targeting inflation since the end of the nineties. Setting, meeting, or forecasting the target depends, among other things, on the effects that changes in the exchange rate will have on the import prices, and through this cost channel, on the consumer price variation.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, it is essential to know how those variables relate. Moreover, this knowledge is important because it allows authorities to measure their ability to affect macroeconomic aggregates such as trade and current account balances through foreign exchange policies.

The objective in this paper is to analyze the response of import prices to exchange rate changes using monthly disaggregated data on Colombian imports of manufactured products covering the period from 1995:1 to 2002:11. The techniques to be used are cointegration, fixed and time-varying-parameters, and Kalman filtering. Specifically, the study estimates the magnitude of the pass-through and tests two hypotheses derived from perfect and non-perfect competition. It also tests for structural changes in the pass-through coefficient due to changes in the foreign exchange rate regime: if exchange rate changes are perceived to be transitory, as should happen under a floating regime, the pass-through will be more variable and smaller than in other cases (Krugman, 1986; Froot and Klemperer, 1989). Finally, it evaluates Taylor's (2000) hypothesis, which states that there will be a decline in pass-through or in the pricing power that firms have in low inflation environments.

---

<sup>1</sup> The import component of the Colombian CPI amounts around 25%.

It is worth noting that as in the case of New Zealand which was analyzed by Steel and King (2004), Colombia also offers a natural experiment for evaluating the hypotheses developed by Krugman, Froot and Klemperer, and Taylor, because the currency of the country has been floating since 1999, after a long period of a crawling-peg regime and an exchange rate band just before October 1999. Also, because the Colombian inflation rate has been in the single digits since June 1999, after having had an endemic average inflation rate above 20% for three decades.<sup>2</sup>

The response of the prices of traded goods to exchange rate changes is known in the literature as the exchange rate pass-through effect (PTE). Strictly speaking, the PTE refers to the extent to which the prices of traded goods in the currency of the destination country respond to exchange rate changes. According to the “law of one price”, the price of a certain good should be the same measured in terms of a common currency, independently of the place where it is produced or sold. Perfect arbitrage and mobility of goods and services should guarantee that the “law” will hold. As a result, it is expected that in any country, which does not have important differences in its tradable goods with respect to the rest of the world in terms of homogeneity and substitutability, the law of one price holds permanently. This means that, in the case of a “small” economy, there will be a full transmission of the change in the exchange rate into domestic prices and the PTE over such prices will be completed (Dornbusch, 1973; Bruno, 1978).

The hypothesis of the law of one price, or the Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) hypothesis as a generalization of it, has been extensively tested in the international literature.<sup>3</sup> In most of the cases, it has been rejected (Isard, 1977; Richardson, 1978; Frenkel, 1981; De Grauwe *et al.*, 1985; Kasa, 1992; Froot *et al.*, 1995; Feenstra and Kendall, 1997). In the case of Colombia, the authors are not aware of any direct empirical work having been done on the hypothesis of the law of one price. It has simply been assumed that it holds permanently.<sup>4</sup>

---

2 The inflation rate of the countries that export to Colombia in the sample period (USA, Germany, and Japan) declined from levels that averaged above 2.6% in the period 1970-1998 to levels between -0.7 to 2.5 in the period 1999-2002.

3 Notice that the law of one price has to do with prices of individual goods while the PPP hypothesis refers to price aggregates. Of course, if the law of one price is met for every good and the PPP hypothesis refers to the same basket of goods for each country, then testing either of the two hypotheses should be equivalent.

4 Rincón (1999, 2000) tests (indirectly) the relative and absolute PPP hypotheses for the Colombian case. He found no empirical evidence to support them.

What happens if there is imperfect competition, lack of spatial arbitrage, imperfect substitutability of traded goods, heterogeneity of goods, or policy decisions that affect trade, foreign exchange markets or inflation? What happens if there are different perceptions by producers on the nature (temporary or permanent) of the exchange rate change? Or what if there are different inflation environments or exchange rate regimes? In these cases, the PTE could be lower than that which is predicted by the law of one price. In other words, there exists deviations from the law and the PTE may be incomplete.

The degree of pass-through will then depend on i) the market structure and degree of concentration (Krugman, 1986; Dornbusch, 1987); ii) the perception of the variability and duration of the exchange rate change (Krugman, 1986; Froot and Klemperer, 1989); iii) the degree of homogeneity and substitutability of traded goods and the market share of foreign firms with respect to domestic competitors (Dornbusch, 1987; Froot and Klemperer, 1989; Kardaz *et al.*, 2001; Burstein *et al.*, 2001); iv) the degree of asymmetry (hysteresis) of the entry or exit decision processes of firms when the exchange rate changes (Krugman and Baldwin, 1987; Baldwin, 1988; Dixit, 1989); v) the degree of intra-firm trade (Holmes, 1978; Goldstein and Khan, 1985; Mirus and Yeung, 1987; Menon, 1993); vi) the trade policies (Bhagwati, 1988; Branson, 1988; Froot and Klemperer, 1989; Steel and King, 2004); vii) the foreign exchange policies affecting the market prices of traded-goods (Hooper and Mann, 1989); viii) or the different inflationary environments (Taylor, 2000).

The empirical literature on pass-through effects based on most of these models grew rapidly during the eighties and nineties.<sup>5</sup> Many of the results, using primarily data for developed countries, have found empirical support for them. A partial list includes Dornbusch (1987), Krugman and Baldwin (1987), Hooper and Mann (1989), Kim (1990), Menon (1993, 1996), Murgasova (1996), Gross and Schmitt (1999), Takagi and Yoshida (1999), Kardaz *et al.* (2001), Burstein *et al.* (2001), and Steel and King (2004).

The PTE for Colombia was studied by Mesa *et al.* (1988), Rincón (2000), and Rowland (2003) using aggregate import price data. While Mesa *et al.* found long-run pass-through elasticities close to one for the import prices, Rincón and Rowland found elasticities of about 0.8. As is currently well documented in the literature,

---

<sup>5</sup> Menon (1995) and Goldberg and Knetter (1997) are two complete and good reviews of the theoretical and empirical literature on pass-through.

empirical work based on aggregate data may suffer problems from aggregation bias, as will be shown below. Rosas (2004) made a first attempt to use disaggregated data from the Colombian wholesale and consumer price indexes to evaluate the degree of pass-through. He found coefficients ranging from 0.5 to above 1, the latter being an explained result.

Contributions to the literature will be made in this paper in four ways. First, the issue of tradable-goods price determination for a small open economy is dealt with. Second, light is shed on the import market structure in the Colombian import market. Third, high frequency data are used. Fourth, dynamic coefficients are introduced and structural changes in the way import prices have adjusted to changes in the inflation environment and foreign exchange rate regime are tested for. Finally, since disaggregated data are used, the results do not face the problems of aggregation bias that are so well known.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The analytical framework underlying the relationship between exchange rate changes and import prices is discussed in section II and the equations used in making estimations are derived. The data are described, their time-series properties are evaluated, and the econometrics is developed in section III. The estimations are presented and the results are discussed in section IV. Finally, the conclusions are summarized and some of the policy implications are outlined in section V.

## II. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

First of all, a static model is set up in a partial equilibrium framework to analyze the exchange rate effects on import prices (no quantity effects are analyzed in this paper).<sup>6</sup> The model provides the simplest framework for analyzing the price effects of changes in the exchange rate, under features of the market structure that make price responses deviate from complete pass-through. Secondly, the hypotheses of Krugman, Froot and Klemperer, Kim, and Taylor are outlined. Finally, the analytical equations used in making the estimations are derived.

---

<sup>6</sup> See, for example, Dornbusch (1987) and Venables (1990) for extensions of the model. Notice that this is a partial equilibrium analysis because it refers to a single industry (producing one good) and takes as exogenous the nominal exchange rate, income, and the factor prices.

## A. AN IMPERFECT COMPETITION MODEL

Under this model, the market equilibrium involves firms in industry  $i$  charging a price above the marginal cost. The model is a basic Cournot oligopoly model with perfect substitutability between the competing domestic and imported goods. Assume that there are  $n$  (competitive) domestic firms, all of which are assumed to be identical, and  $n^*$  foreign firms, all identical to each other, but not to the domestic firms.<sup>7</sup> The profits for each of the  $n$  domestic firms are:

$$\pi = Px - CT(x) \quad (1)$$

and for each of the  $n^*$  foreign firms:

$$\pi^* = Px^* - ECT^*(x^*), \quad (2)$$

where  $P$  is the market price in domestic currency,  $x$  and  $x^*$  are the outputs of the domestic and foreign firms,  $E$  is the nominal exchange rate (measured as units of domestic currency per unit of foreign currency), and  $CT(.)$  and  $CT^*(.)$  are the respective cost functions (in local currencies). The inverse demand function is  $P(X)$ , where  $X = nx + n^*x^*$ . The necessary first order conditions for profit maximization for each firm, given the output of other firms, are:

$$P\{1 - S/\eta\} = C \quad (3)$$

$$P\{1 - S^*/\eta\} = EC^*, \quad (4)$$

where  $S$  and  $S^*$  are the respective market shares of a single domestic and a single foreign firm and  $C$  and  $C^*$  are the respective marginal costs. It is assumed that the foreign marginal cost is constant at  $C^*$  in local currency. Notice that a firm's markup is an increasing function of its market share. If  $S \rightarrow 1$ , then the solution to the maximization problem is monopoly. If  $S \rightarrow 0$ , then the solution is that of perfect competition. When the estimable equation is derived, the markup will be modeled as a function of competitive pressures in the domestic market and demand pressures in the foreign markets.

---

<sup>7</sup> From simple microeconomics principles, one knows that, in a Cournot setting, each firm in industry  $i$  will choose its sales in the domestic market given the sales of the other firms, and then prices will be determined from the demand curve.



To determine the equilibrium price in the market, the  $n$  equations (3) and  $n^*$  equations (4) are added together to obtain:<sup>8</sup>

$$P = \frac{\eta\{nC + n^*EC^*\}}{\{\eta(n + n^*) - 1\}}. \quad (5)$$

This equation shows that the market price depends on the sum of the marginal costs (in domestic currency) of all the firms in the market. Since a change in the exchange rate affects only the  $n^*$  foreign firms, equation (5) implies that the PTE will be incomplete, that is, less than 100 per cent. It is assumed that  $C^*$  and  $\eta$  remain constant. According to this model, in a small open economy, as in our case study, where there are probably few competing domestic firms; equation (5) will imply a high degree of transmission of the exchange rate changes.

#### B. HYPOTHESES DEVELOPED BY KRUGMAN, FROOT AND KLEMPERER, AND TAYLOR

Krugman (1986) and Froot and Klemperer (1989) predict that the exchange rate pass-through on import prices is variable and depends on whether foreign exporters perceive the domestic currency changes to be transitory or permanent. If their perception is the former, as should happen in the case of a floating regime, the PTE will be low; and if it is the latter, as should be the case in a fixed regime, it will be high.

Krugman argues that in order to keep (take) the market share from domestic competitors, the foreign firms selling to the local market absorb (through changes in their markup) exchange rate depreciations (appreciations), so they are not (are) fully passed-through to local import prices. Moreover, the more transitory the change in exchange rates is perceived to be, the smaller and slower the pass-through.

Froot and Klemperer point out that because foreign firms' future demands will depend on the exchange rate changes, specifically, on whether exchange rate changes are perceived to be temporary or permanent, then their current "pricing strategies" will also depend on them. For example, in the face of a temporary appreciation of the domestic currency, foreign exporters will reduce their foreign exporter price less in domestic currency than in the opposite case. The explanation is that the apprecia-

<sup>8</sup> See derivation in Appendix A.1.

tion intertemporally increases the value of the current profits measured in domestic currency (it shifts profits from tomorrow to today), so the exporter uses this opportunity to raise markups instead of lowering their prices fully. If the appreciation is perceived as permanent, such incentives do not appear, so the pass-through (the reduction in their prices) is higher.

Based on the evidence reported by Cunningham and Haldane (1999), McCarthy (1999), and Reserve Bank of Australia Bulletin (1999), of a reduction in the pricing-power that firms have had in many countries, Taylor (2000) postulates that “lower and more stable inflation is a factor behind the reduction in the degree to which firms ‘pass through’ [...] both price increases at competing firms and cost increases due to exchange rate movements or other factors” (p. 1390). His point is that, since lower inflation is associated with lower persistence of inflation, *ceteris paribus*, firms expect a change in costs and/or prices to be less persistent making them to set prices for several periods in advance. This will result in a lower matching of prices and cost increases, and therefore, for our purposes, in a smaller pass-through to import prices (in domestic currency).

### C. THE ESTIMABLE EQUATION

Studies of the PTE for manufacturing sectors which have been reported in the literature generally use the mark-up model of price determination we developed in section II.A. Certainly, since most modern industries seem to act under that type of market condition, we use that type of model to set up our estimable equation. Moreover, this type of model may be particularly suitable for analyzing small open economies, as was discussed above.

We assume *i-th* industry sets the price of its exports to Colombia ( $P_X^*$ ) at a markup ( $\kappa$ ) over its marginal cost of production ( $C^*$ ):

$$P_X^* = \kappa C^* \quad (6)$$

The import price in domestic currency ( $P_M$ ) is thus given by:

$$P_M^* = EP_X^* = E(\kappa C^*) \quad (7)$$

As in Hooper and Mann (1989), the markup is assumed to be variable and to respond, among others, to both competitive pressures in the Colombian market and demand

pressures in Colombia and in the foreign markets. Competitive and demand pressures on the  $i$ -th industry in the Colombian market are captured by the gap between the price (in Colombian currency) of the Colombian industry that is competing with imports ( $P_c$ ) and foreign production costs in domestic currency, while demand pressure on foreign output is measured by capacity utilization of the foreign firm ( $CU^*$ ). Thus, the markup  $\kappa$  is defined as:

$$\kappa = \{P_c / EC^*\}^\phi \{CU^*\}^\gamma \quad (8)$$

Substituting equation (8) in (7) and then, taking logs and rearranging yields (lower-case letters denote natural logarithmic values):

$$p_m = (1-\phi)e + \phi p_c + (1-\phi)c^* + \gamma cu^* \quad (9)$$

The pass-through coefficient is  $(1-\phi)$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ . If  $\phi = 1$ , the PTE is zero, which means that the foreign industry sets a domestic import price which is equal to the price of the Colombian industry that is competing with imports (pricing to the Colombian market) and changes in exchange rates and foreign costs, keeping  $cu^*$  unchanged, are absorbed by their markup and are not passed through. If  $\phi = 0$ , changes in exchange rates, as well as in foreign costs, are passed through completely to import prices so that the markup is left unmodified.

As argued by Hooper and Mann (1998, pp. 301-303), models that have the form specified by equation (9) have some limitations. First, they do not consider possible effects of exchange rates on other determinants of import prices such as  $c^*$  and  $cu^*$ . Second, they are static and the pass-through may change over time because firms may adjust their profits margins in response to exchange rate changes. Third, they impose the same rate of pass-through on  $e$  and  $c^*$ , and implicitly, a restriction on the coefficient of  $p_c$ . We see other limitations such as: i) They are partial equilibrium models; consequently, they ignore possible endogenous responses of  $p_c$  when exchange rate changes. ii) The pass-through coefficient may be different from one industry to another, and it may also change over time, for example, because of certain market asymmetries or changes in the exchange rate regime, or in the commercial, financial or monetary policy. As was said before, the case of Colombia is critical in

---

<sup>9</sup> Gali and López-Salido (2001) and Kardaz and Stollery (2001) built microfounded models where a condition like this can be derived.

this aspect since it drastically changed its monetary and exchange rate regime during the sample period, making the exchange rates less predictable, and yielding a low inflation environment.

The first limitation need not be an important one given the fact that data for a small open economy were used. With respect to the second limitation, a dynamic model that takes into account the adjustments will be estimated. As for the third one, a version of equation (9) that relaxes those restrictions is estimated. Concerning the other limitations, a partial error correction model is estimated, where  $p_m$  and  $p_c$  are endogenous and  $e$ ,  $c^*$ , and  $cu^*$  are *weakly exogenous* variables.<sup>10</sup> Then, monthly disaggregated data and a time-varying parameter estimation technique will be used. These will allow us not only to estimate the possible changes in the coefficient of pass-through for different sectors of the manufacturing industry but also to tests the hypotheses proposed by Krugman, Froot and Klemperer, and Taylor.

### III. THE DATA, THEIR TIME-SERIES PROPERTIES, AND THE ECONOMETRICS

#### A. THE DATA<sup>11</sup>

Monthly data for the period 1995:01 through 2002:11 ( $T = 95$ ) were used. Due to limitations on the availability of data for foreign countries, only data for the United States, Germany, and Japan ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) were used. On average, these countries represented 50% of the total imports to Colombia during the period (the United States alone represented 40%). Table 1 describes the manufacturing sectors which were analyzed. On average these sectors represented 60% of the total Colombian manufacturing imports for the period.

For sectors  $i = 2, \dots, 14$ ,  $p_m$  represents the respective average wholesale price index for import products and  $p_c$  is the respective average wholesale price index for domestic products. The other variables in equation (9) were built up at each period  $t$  as average indexes weighted by trade as follows:

---

<sup>10</sup> “Weakly exogenous” is understood in the sense of Engle, Hendry, and Richard (1983).

<sup>11</sup> The sources of the data and some methodological notes are reported in Appendix A.2.

Table 1  
Manufacturing Sectors

CIUU (Rev. 2) classification	Name	Sector (i = 1, ...,14)
3	Manufacturing industry	1
311	Processed foodstuffs	2
313	Beverage and tobacco products	3
321	Textile products	4
331	Wood products, except furniture	5
341	Paper/paperboard products	6
342	Printing and related activities	7
354	Petroleum and coal products	8
351	Chemicals and related products	9
355	Plastics and rubber products	10
369	Non metallic mineral products	11
381	Fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	12
383	Fabricated machinery, electrical equipment and appliances	13
384	Automobile and light duty motor vehicles	14

Source: CIUU (Rev. 2).

$$e = \ln \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 tw_j E_j \right]$$

where  $tw_j$  is the trade weight corresponding to country  $j$  ( $\sum_{j=1}^3 tw_j = 1$ ) and  $E_j$  is an index of the average nominal exchange rate between Colombia and country  $j$  (domestic currency/foreign currency);

$$cu^* = \ln \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 tw_j cu_j^* \right];$$

$$c^* = \ln \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 tw_j c_j^* \right]$$

where  $cu_j^*$  is the capacity utilization index of country  $j$  and  $c_j^*$  is the average wholesale price index for exports of country  $j$ , which is used as a proxy for the foreign country's marginal costs.

For the manufacturing industry (sector  $i = 1$ ), all variables, except  $c^*$ , were re-weighted at each period  $t$  by the re-scaled import weight ( $mw$ ) for each of the sectors of the Colombian imports of manufacturer products.

$$p_m = \ln \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{14} mw_i p_{mi} \right]$$

$$p_c = \ln \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{14} mw_i p_{ci} \right]$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{i=2}^{14} mw_i = 1.$$

## B. THE ECONOMETRICS

With regard to the econometric technique, a partial (or conditional) error correction model was firstly used, following Johansen (1992) and Harbo *et al.* (1998), in order to distinguish the short-run from the long-run effects of the exchange rate changes for each of the  $i$ -th sectors. The model estimated was:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha B' X_{t-1} + \Gamma_0 \Delta Z_t + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \Gamma_l \Delta Z_{t-l} + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \Psi_h \Delta Y_{t-h} + u_t, \quad (10)$$

where  $Y$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  vector of the endogenous variables  $p_m$  and  $p_c$ ;  $\alpha$  is a  $(2 \times r)$  vector of the speed-of-error correction parameters;  $B$  is a  $(5 \times r)$  vector of the long-run elasticities of variables  $p_m$ ,  $p_c$ ,  $e$ ,  $c^*$ , and  $cu^*$ , which are included in the cointegration space;<sup>12</sup>  $r$  is the cointegrating rank;  $X$  is the  $(5 \times 1)$  vector of variables, which is decomposed into  $Y$  of dimension 2 and  $Z$  of dimension 3:  $X' = (Y', Z')$ ;<sup>13</sup>  $\Gamma_0$  is a  $(2 \times 3)$  matrix of the contemporaneous short-run elasticities;  $\Gamma_l$  is a  $(2 \times 3)$  matrix of the lagged short-run elasticities;  $\Psi_h$  is a  $(2 \times 2)$  matrix of the lagged short-run elasticities of the endogenous variables;  $u$  is the error term, which is assumed  $u \sim i.i.d. N_2(0, \Omega)$ ;  $k$  is the lag length; and,  $\Delta$  is the difference operator.

<sup>12</sup> According to the data, the dimension of this space will be enlarged if any constant or trend is present in it.

<sup>13</sup> Notice that  $Z$  is the vector of the weakly endogenous variables  $e$ ,  $c^*$ , and  $cu^*$ .

Equation (10) keeps the long-run relationship given by (9) without the imposition of any restriction on the elasticities of the import prices with respect to the any of the variables in the right-hand side in the long and short run. Call this model a fixed parameter model (FPM).

### C. TIME-SERIES PROPERTIES OF THE DATA

First of all, the statistical properties of the time series are explored. Regarding the integration order, all series, except one, are  $I(1)$  as shown in Appendix A.3. Then, cointegration *a la* Johansen on the model (10) was tested for each of the sectors in the sample.<sup>14</sup> As shown in Table 2, in all cases only one cointegration vector was found. Notice that the coefficients for all vectors are normalized by the coefficient of  $p_m$  and that all of them are in the same side of the long-run equation; thus, they have to be read with the inverse sign to that expected. In all sectors, most of the estimated long-run elasticities present the expected (positive) sign. It is worth to say that, a positive (negative) coefficient for the price of the domestic competing production  $p_c$  would indicate that imports substitute (complement) domestic production.

The table also shows that the long-run PTE (elasticity) goes from 0.1 in sector 10 to 0.9 in sector 8. Hypotheses of zero ( $\phi = 1$ ) and complete ( $\phi = 0$ ) PTE were carried out. As reported, no cointegration vector support such hypotheses, which implies that neither perfect market behavior nor perfect market competing hypotheses are supported by the data. What the estimates indicate is that markups are not zero.

Preliminary results on stability tests in a recursive analysis (Hansen y Johansen, 1993; Hansen and Juselius, 1995; Hansen and Johansen, 1999) indicated that the long-run cointegrating relationship in equation (10) was stable for all sectors but the short-run elasticities were unstable for all of them. This seemed to indicate a structural change, which had only short-run effects, probably related to the changes in the Colombian foreign exchange and monetary regimes.

---

<sup>14</sup> E-Views 5.0 and CATS were used for the unit root and cointegration tests, respectively. The outputs of specification and misspecification tests, as well as the stability tests and the TVPM estimates are available upon request.

Table 2  
Cointegration Estimates

Sector	Deterministic component	$K$	$r$ a/	$\hat{B}'$ b/ (Order: $p_m; p_c; e; c^*; cu^*$ )	$\hat{\alpha}_1$ c/	Ho: $\Phi = 1$ d/	Ho: $\Phi = 0$ d/
1	CIMEAN	5	1	(1; -0.5; -0.4; -0.4; 0.2)	-0.46	15.20	11.20
					(-4.2)	(0.00)	(0.02)
2	CIMEAN	6	1	(1; -0.6; -0.6; -1.5; -4.4)	-0.25	15.90	11.60
					(-4.4)	(0.00)	(0.02)
3	CIMEAN	10	1	(1; -0.4; -0.5; -1.9; -0.1)	-0.73	50.00	66.50
					(-5.7)	(0.00)	(0.00)
4	CIMEAN	9	1	(1; -0.9; -0.4; -0.5; -0.3)	-0.64	46.70	32.90
					(-5.73)	(0.00)	(0.00)
5	CIMEAN	3	1	(1; -0.5; -0.7; 0.2; -0.3)	-0.15	15.20	11.10
					(-3.61)	(0.00)	(0.00)
6	CIDRIFT	1	1	(1; 3.8; -0.3; -2.5; -5.6)	-0.05	24.00	21.20
					(-5.08)	(0.00)	(0.00)
7	CIDRIFT	5	1	(1; 1.9; -0.6; 2.7; 0.7)	-0.02	18.60	21.60
					(-2.0)	(0.00)	(0.00)
8	CIDRIFT	1	1	(1; 6.4; -0.9; -3.0; 1.5)	-0.08	38.30	37.30
					(-5.6)	(0.00)	(0.00)
9	DRIFT	2	1	(1; -0.3; -0.5; -1.2; -0.3)	-0.10	16.40	17.10
					(-4.3)	(0.00)	(0.00)
10	CIMEAN	4	1	(1; -0.7; -0.1; -1.7; -0.2)	-0.14	11.50	14.30
					(-3.8)	(0.02)	(0.01)
11	DRIFT	2	1	(1; -0.4; -0.4; 0.01; -1.1)	-0.11	11.30	11.80
					(-3.6)	(0.01)	(0.01)
12	CIMEAN	6	1	(1; -0.3; -0.6; -0.2; -0.01)	-0.20	16.00	16.10
					(-4.6)	(0.00)	(0.00)
13	DRIFT	5	1	(1; -0.2; -0.3; 1.3; -1.1)	-0.11	13.90	18.10
					(-3.4)	(0.00)	(0.00)
14	DRIFT	3	1	(1; -0.6; -0.6; 2.4; 0.3)	-0.24	14.10	9.10
					(-4.0)	(0.00)	(0.03)

a/ The critical values for the cointegrating rank tests were taken from Harbo, Johansen, Nielsen and Rahbek (1998).

b/ The estimates of the deterministic components are not reported.

c/ Speed of adjustment estimate for the equation of  $\Delta p_m$  (t-test). Centered seasonal dummy variables were included in the VEC system.

d/ LR test,  $\chi^2_c$  statistics (p-value), where  $c$  is the number of constraints.

Source: authors estimations.



D. A TIME VARYING-PARAMETER PROCEDURE

Given the results of the stability tests, a Time Varying-Parameter Model (TVPM) is used to estimate the short-run pass-through coefficients for each of the *i*-th sectors. The equation estimated is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p_{mt} = & \alpha_{0,t} + \alpha_{1,t}(p_{mt-1} - \hat{B}'\tilde{X}_{t-1}) + \gamma_{0,1,t}\Delta e_t + \gamma_{0,2,t}\Delta c_t^* \\ & + \gamma_{0,3,t}\Delta cu_t^* + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{l,1,t}\Delta e_{t-l} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{l,2,t}\Delta c_t^* + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{l,3,t}\Delta cu_t^* \\ & + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \psi_{h,1,t}\Delta p_{mt-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \psi_{h,2,t}\Delta p_{ct-h} + v_t, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $\hat{B} = (\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5)'$  and  $\tilde{X}_{t-1} = (p_c, e, c^*, cu^*)'_{t-1}$ , and the error term  $v_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2)$ .<sup>15</sup> The numerical subscripts denote an element in the corresponding vector or matrix. The way the parameters change in time can be expressed compactly as a multivariate random walk:

$$\Theta_t = \Theta_{t-1} + v_t, \text{ with } v_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2 Q), \tag{12}$$

where

$\Theta_t = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_{0,1}, \gamma_{0,2}, \gamma_{0,3}, \gamma_{1,1} \dots \gamma_{k-1,1}, \gamma_{1,2} \dots \gamma_{k-1,2}, \gamma_{1,3} \dots \gamma_{k-1,3}, \psi_{1,1} \dots \psi_{k-1,1}, \psi_{1,2} \dots \psi_{k-1,2})_t$  and  $v_t$  is a  $[5 + 5(k-1)]$  by 1 vector of innovations, which are mutually and serially uncorrelated with  $v_t$ , with a mean of zero and a stationary variance-covariance matrix of the innovations  $\sigma^2 Q$ .

Notice that equations (11) and (12) stand for each and every one of the 13 sectors by individually as well as for the whole manufacturing sector (sector 1).

The process in (12) allows constant and varying parameters, even in a non-stationary environment. Other structures could be tried, but as usual, the random walk is a useful initial starting point. Under another framework, such as the multivariate *AR*(1) with drift, the number of parameters to be estimated would increase enormously, which is costly in terms of degrees of freedom.

<sup>15</sup> According to the results shown in Table 1, equation (11) is augmented by the respective constant term in the cointegration space or in the data. They are included to capture possible non-observable effects, which change through time and are not capture by the error term.

Equations in (11) and (12) are already in the state-space form with equation (11) being the state equation and (12) the transition one. This allows us to use the Kalman-filter algorithm for estimating the state-vector as well as the *hyper-parameters* (Harvey, 1989) and, depending on the software (numerical method), for estimating their standard errors.<sup>16</sup>

#### IV. THE ESTIMATIONS OF THE SHORT-RUN PTE

The estimation procedure starts allowing  $Q$  matrix elements in the equation (12) being estimated freely, that is, as well as Kim (1990), it is not assumed a diagonal matrix. Thus, the estimation procedure imposes no restrictions over variances and co-variances into matrix  $Q$ . To initialize the algorithm,  $\Theta_0$  in equation (12) should be specified, along with its variance-covariance matrix, which might affect the results since equation (12) is a non-stationary representation. Here, the OLS estimates from equation (10) on the sample 1995:01 through 1998:01 were used for that purpose. The idea was to use an initial sample which was neither too small nor too large and a number of complete years. The results reported below, however, do not change notoriously when one year is added or excluded from the initial sample. This finding is used as support to argue that non-stationarity does not affect the results.

The variance-covariance matrix of  $\Theta_0$  was taken as proportional to  $V(Var(\Theta_0) = \mu V)$ , where  $V$  is the variance-covariance matrix of the OLS estimates of  $\Theta$  using the same sample. Then, a likelihood maximization procedure (grid search) on  $\mu$  was followed.

Graph 1 depicts the fourteen smoothed (Harvey, 1989) estimates of the  $\gamma_{0,t/T}$  coefficients, along with intervals of one standard deviation.<sup>17</sup> Even though, the original purpose was to estimate the full model given by equation (16), for computational limitations a reduce version was estimated, where only  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$ , and  $\gamma_{0,1}$  were allowed to change. From the Graph 1, it is clear that the estimated short-run PTE coefficient  $\gamma_{0,t}$  does not remain constant for almost all sectors and presents a major


---

<sup>16</sup> E-Views 5.0 was used for all the calculations.


<sup>17</sup> The Kalman Filter generates the estimate of the state vector at time  $t$ , given the information up to  $t - 1$ , which is called the prediction, as well as the update estimated, which uses information up to  $t$ . Conversely, the smoothing estimate uses all the information available up to the sample end, that is, it uses  $T$ . This algorithm is carried out after the final Kalman Filter run up to the end of the sample period.

Graph 1  
Smoothed Estimates of the Short-Run PTE


Manufacturing industry



Processed foodstuffs




Beverages and tobacco products




Graph 1 (continued)  
Smoothed Estimates of the Short-Run PTE


### Textile products



### Wood products, without furniture




### Paper and paperboard products




Graph 1 (continued)  
Smoothed Estimates of the Short-Run PTE


Printing and related activities



Chemicals and related products




Plastics and rubber products




Graph 1 (continued)  
Smoothed Estimates of the Short-Run PTE


Non metallic mineral products



Fabricated metal products,  
without machinery and equipment




Fabricated machinery, electrical equipment and appliances



Graph 1 (continued)  
Smoothed Estimates of the Short-Run PTE

Automobile and light duty motor vehicles



Source: author's estimation.

change between 1998 and 1999, time when the foreign exchange rate regime changed and the domestic inflation rate reached a single digit.<sup>18</sup>

Table 3 shows that the estimate of the short-run PTE coefficient (elasticity) for the manufacturing industry goes from 0.22 to 0.26. When the data are disaggregated by sector, very different values are founded. For more than half of the sectors the coefficient statistically rises and for the others it drops. It ranges from 0.06 (sector 9) to 0.7 (sector 5), which shows both the difference of the PTE among sectors and the importance of using disaggregated data for the analysis of the PTE. Unfortunately, we do not go deeper in the analysis for explaining those differences. A possible economic explanation is that related to different market structures and price setting behavior across sectors. From the econometric point of view, it could be done using dynamic panel data techniques and an additional information set.

Others results important to mention are that, for almost all sectors, the short-run pass-through coefficient rose, and that there was an overshooting of the coefficient around the period when the exchange rate started floating. After this, the coefficient felt or remained constant. With respect to the negative coefficients, they are not statistically significant.

<sup>18</sup> Sector 8 was excluded due to unreasonable results.

Table 3  
 Short-run Estimates of the Exchange  
 Rate Pass-Through Coefficient

Sector	Short-run pass-through			
	Feb-95	Nov-02	Minimum	Maximum
1	0.22	0.26	0.17	0.36
2	0.27	0.47	-0.02	0.47
3	0.25	0.21	0.08	0.52
4	0.20	0.56	0.07	0.61
5	0.11	0.37	-0.07	0.68
6	0.17	0.51	-0.01	0.60
7	0.14	0.03	-0.02	0.14
8	0.47	0.23	-0.22	2.07
9	0.11	0.48	0.06	0.49
10	0.18	0.20	0.09	0.32
11	0.26	0.48	0.17	0.58
12	0.21	0.29	0.16	0.47
13	0.29	0.48	0.25	0.66
14	0.35	0.09	-0.02	0.41

Source: authors estimation.

The predictive accuracy of forecasting models is checked, in at least one way that is useful, comparing the root mean squared error from the one-month-ahead forecast of the estimated TVPM and FPM models. The forecasting exercise starts in January 1999 and goes through the end of the sample period. The results shown in Table 4 indicate that neither the FPM nor TVPM follow completely the short-run parameter variations.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the response of import prices to changes in the exchange rate was analyzed using monthly disaggregated data on Colombian imports of manufactured products covering the period from 1995:1 to 2002:11. To quantify the exchange rate pass-through coefficient and relevant hypotheses, different statistical and econometric techniques such as cointegration, fixed and time-varying-parameters and Kalman filtering were used. The analytical framework used was a mark-up model.



Table 4  
Root Mean Squared Error ( $\times 10^2$ )

Sector	FPM	TVPM
1	0.9031	1.0524
2	1.2540	1.2098
3	2.2003	2.3201
4	1.4452	1.5261
5	1.4455	1.3758
6	2.3167	2.0202
7	1.5600	1.2780
8	5.5457	4.6054
9	0.9182	0.7778
10	1.2763	1.3052
11	0.7285	0.7755
12	1.2594	1.3556
13	0.9821	1.0637
14	n.a.	n.a.

n.a. Not available.  
Source: author's estimation.

The long-run pass-through elasticities of the exchange rate for the industries in the sample are stable and go from 0.1 to 0.8 and the short-run ones are unstable and go from 0.1 to 0.7, which supports mark-up hypotheses, in contrast to the hypotheses of perfect market competition and complete pass-through. Both the hypothesis that under a floating regime there is a low degree of pass-through and the hypothesis that a low inflation environment has the same result are not supported.

The findings also show evidence of the variability and the different degrees of the pass-through among manufacturing sectors, which indicates the importance of using dynamic models and disaggregated data for the analysis of the pass-through, and, implicitly, the different nature of the price setting behavior of the different manufacturing sectors. This paper did not perform a deeper analysis to find an explanation for this.

An additional finding from the short-run estimates is that, under the exchange rate floating regime, the pass-through coefficient is higher than during the exchange

rate bands (a semi-fixed regime), which go against hypotheses developed and tested by Froot and Klemperer and Kim. That also does not support the Taylor's hypothesis, in the sense that, in a low inflation environment, the pass-through is lower than in other cases.

Some of the main policy implications of our findings for the monetary and exchange rate policy are: i) the floating regime, instead of lowering the PTE, appeared to increase it; ii) during the floating and inflation targeting regimes there was a structural change on the short-run pass-through coefficient and an unexpected pass-through increase; iii) a time-varying parameter model is a good alternative for forecasting PTE.

This paper can be extended in several ways. First, explain better the different degrees of the PTE among industries can be done. Second, study possible asymmetries when exchange rate is appreciating/depreciating. Third, quantify the different responses of the coefficients of pass-through in the face of different levels or duration of the appreciation/depreciation of the importer's currency.

## REFERENCES

1. Baldwin, R. E. "Hysteresis in Import Prices: The Beachhead Effect", *American Economic Review*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 773-785, 1988.
2. Bhagwati, J. "The Pass-Through Puzzle: The Missing Prince from Hamlet" (mimeo), Columbia University, 1988.
3. Branson, W. "Comment: on Exchange rate Pass-Through in the 1980s: The Case of U.S. Imports of Manufacturers, by P. Hooper and C. Mann", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, no. 1, pp. 330-333, 1989.
4. Bruno, M. "Exchange Rates, Import Costs, and Wage-Price Dynamics," *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 379-403, 1978.
5. Burstein, A.; Eichenbaum, M.; Rebelo, S. "Why Are Rates of Inflation so Low after Large Contractionary Devaluations?" (mimeo), International Monetary Fund, 2001.
6. Cunningham, A.; Haldane, A. G. "The Monetary Transmission Mechanism in the United Kingdom: Pass-through and Policy Rules", prepared for the Third Annual Conference of the Central Bank of Chile, September, 1999.
7. De Grauwe, P.; Janssens, M.; Leliaert, H. "Real-Exchange-Rate Variability from 1920 to 1982", *Princeton Studies in International Finance*, no. 56, p. 45, 1985.
8. Dixit, A. "Hysteresis, Import Penetration, and Exchange Rate Pass- Through", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 205-228, 1989.
9. Dornbusch, R. "Devaluation, Money, and Non-traded Goods", *American Economic Review*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 871-880, 1973.
10. Dornbusch, R. "Exchange Rates and Prices", *American Economic Review*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 93-105, 1987.
11. Engle, R. F.; Hendry, D.; Richard, J-F. "Exogeneity", *Econometrica*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 277-304, 1983.
12. Feenstra, R. C.; Kendall, J. D. "Pass-Through of Exchange Rates and Purchasing Power Parity", *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 43, no. 1/2, pp. 237-261, 1997.
13. Frenkel, J. A. "The Collapse of Purchasing Power Parities during the 1970s," *European Economic Review*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 145-165, 1981.
14. Froot, K.; Klemperer, P. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through when Market Share Matters", *American Economic Review*, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 637-654, 1989.
15. Froot, K.; Kim, M.; Rogoff, K. "The Law of One Price over 700 Years", working paper no. 5132, National Bureau of Economic Research, 1995.
16. Gali, J.; López-Salido, D. "A New Phillips Curve for Spain", *BIS Papers*, no. 3, pp. 174-203, Bank for International Settlements, 2001.
17. Goldberg, P.; Knetter, M. "Goods Prices and Exchange Rates: What Have We Learned?", *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. XXXV, no. 3, pp. 1243-1272, 1997.
18. Goldstein, M.; Khan, M. "Income and Price Effects in Foreign Trade", R. Jones and P. Kenen (eds.), *Handbook of International Economics*, vol. II, pp. 1040-1105, 1985.
19. Gross, D. M.; Schmitt, N. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Dynamic Oligopoly: An Empirical Investigation", working paper WP/99/47, International Monetary Fund, 1999.
20. Hansen, H.; Johansen, S. "Recursive Estimation in Cointegrated Var-models" (preprint), no. 1, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, University of Copenhagen, 1993.
21. Hansen, H.; Juselius, K. *Cats in RATS: Cointegration Analysis of Time Series*, Evanston, Illinois, Estima, 1995.
22. Hansen, H.; Johansen, S. "Some Tests for Parameter Constancy in Cointegrated VAR-models", *Econometrics Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 306-333, 1999.

23. Harbo, I.; Johansen, S.; Nielsen, B.; Rahbek, A. "Asymptotic Inference on Cointegrating Rank in Partial Systems", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 388-399, 1998.
24. Harvey, A. *Forecasting, Structural Time Series and the Kalman Filter*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
25. Holmes, P. M. *Industrial Pricing Behavior and Devaluation*, London: Macmillan, 1978.
26. Hooper, P.; Mann, C. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in the 1980s: The case of U.S. Imports of Manufactures", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, vol. 1989, no. 1, pp. 297-329, 1989.
27. Isard, P. "How Far Can We Push the Law of One Price?", *American Economic Review*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 942-948, 1977.
28. Isard, P. *Exchange Rate Economics*, Cambridge: Cambridge Surveys of Economic Literature, 1995.
29. Johansen, S. "Cointegration in Partial Systems and Efficiency of Single-equation Analysis", *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 389-402, 1992.
30. Kardasz, S.; Stollery, K. "Exchange Rate Pass-through and its Determinants in Canadian Manufacturing Industries", *Canadian Journal of Economics*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 719-738, 2001.
31. Kasa, K. "Adjustment Costs and Pricing to Market: Theory and Evidence", *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-30, 1992.
32. Kim, Y. "Exchange Rates and Import Prices in the United States: A Varying-Parameter Estimation of Exchange-Rate Pass-Through", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 305-315, 1990.
33. Krugman, P. "Pricing to Market When the Exchange Rate Changes", working paper no. 1926, National Bureau of Economic Research, 1986.
34. Krugman, P.; Baldwin, R. "The Persistence of the U.S. Trade Deficit", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, vol. 1987, no. 1, pp. 1-43, 1987.
35. MacDonald, R.; Taylor, M. P. "Exchange Rate Economics: A Survey", *IMF Staff Papers*, vol. 39, no. 1, International Monetary Fund, pp. 1-57, 1992.
36. McCarthy, J. "Pass-Through of Exchange Rates and Import Prices to Domestic Inflation in Some Industrialized Countries", BIS working papers, no. 79, November, 1999.
37. Menon, J. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through Elasticities for the MONASH Model: A Disaggregate Analysis of Australian Manufactured Imports", working paper, no. OP-76, Centre of Policy Studies and the Impact Project (CPSIP), 1993.
38. Menon, J. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through", *Journal of Economic Surveys*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 197-231, 1995.
39. Menon, J. "The Degree and Determinants of Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Market structure, Non-Tariff Barriers and Multinational Corporations", *The Economic Journal*, vol. 106, no. 435, 106, pp. 434-444, 1996.
40. Mesa, F.; Salguero, L.; Sánchez, F. "Efectos de la tasa de cambio real sobre la inversión industrial en un modelo de transferencia de precios (*pass through*)", *Revista de Economía del Rosario*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 111-143, 1998.
41. Mirus, R.; Yeung, B. "The Relevance of the Invoicing Currency in Intra-firm Trade Transactions", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 449-464, 1987.
42. Murgasova, Z. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Spain", working paper, no. 96/114, International Monetary Fund, 1996.
43. Richardson, D. "Some Empirical Evidence on Commodity Arbitrage and the Law of one Price", *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 341-351, 1978.
44. Rincón, H. "Testing the Short-and-Long-Run Exchange Rate Effects on Trade Balance: The Case of Colombia", *Ensayos sobre Política Económica*, no. 35, Banco de la República, 1999.
45. Rincón, H. "Devaluación y precios agregados en Colombia, 1980-1998", *Desarrollo y Socie-*

- dad*, no. 46, Centro de Estudios sobre Desarrollo Económico (CEDE), Universidad de los Andes, 2000.
46. Rosas, E. "El *pass-through* del tipo de cambio en Colombia: un análisis sectorial", tesis de maestría en economía, Facultad de Economía, Universidad de los Andes, January 2004.
47. Rowland, P. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through to Domestic Prices: The Case of Colombia", Borradores de Economía, no. 254, Banco de la República, 2003.
48. Steel, D.; King, A. "Exchange Rate Pass-through: The Role of Regime Changes", *International Review of Applied Economics*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 301-322, 2004.
49. Takagi, S.; Yoshida, Y. "Exchange Rate Movements and Tradable Goods Prices in East Asia: An Analysis Based on Japanese Customs Data, 1988-98", working paper, no. WP/99/31, International Monetary Fund, 1999.
50. Taylor, J. B. "Low Inflation, Pass-through, and the Pricing Power of Firms", *European Economic Review*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 1389-1408, 2000.
51. Venables, A. J. "Microeconomic Implications of Exchange Rate Variations", *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 18-27, 1990.

## APPENDIX 1 DERIVATION OF EQUATION (5)

To determine the market equilibrium price, the  $n$  equations (3) and  $n^*$  equations (4) are added up:  $nP\{1-S/\eta\} = nC$  and  $n^*P\{1-S^*/\eta\} = n^*EC^*$ , then  $nP\{1-S/\eta\} - nC + n^*P\{1-S^*/\eta\} - n^*EC^* = 0$ . Solving for  $P$ , using the fact  $nS + n^*S^* = 1$ , yields equation (5).

## APPENDIX 2 SOURCES OF THE DATA AND METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

### COLOMBIA

Import prices (“import whole price index”) and domestic competing prices (“produced and consumed whole price index”): Subgerencia de Estudios Económicos, Banco de la República; imports: customs data from the Dirección de Impuestos y Aduanas Nacionales (DIAN); exchange rates: CD Rom of the IFS, FMI (time series “233..RF.ZF..”).

### GERMANY

Capacity utilization (“Capacity utilization of manufactured (quartely)”): Federal Statistic Office Germany ([www.destatis.de](http://www.destatis.de)). The monthly data were obtained letting constant two months and then, using an MA(4) filter; export prices (“export price index”): Deutsche Bundesbank ([www.bundesbak.de](http://www.bundesbak.de)) and University of Munich’s Center for Economic Studies (CES) and the Ifo Institute for Economic Research ([www.cesifo.de](http://www.cesifo.de)); exchange rates: CD Rom of the IFS, FMI (time series “134..RF.ZF...” for data from 1995 to 19989 and “163..RF.ZF...” from 1999 to 2002); and, costs and their weights: Input-Output Accounts 2000, Federal Statistic Office Germany ([www.destatis.de](http://www.destatis.de)). Since the unit labor cost index was not available in a monthly frequency, we calculate it as the ratio of the manufacturing wage index (“Wages and Salaries per Employee”) and the manufacturing labor productivity index. This is estimated as the ratio of the manufacturing production index (“Output Industry”) and the manufacturing employment (“Persons in employment [Mining and MFG sectors]”). The source for these series is Bundesbank-Time Series Database. The estimated unit labor cost series was seasonally adjusted using a filter from RATS.

## JAPAN

Capacity utilization: Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry ([www.meti.go.jp/English/statistics/data](http://www.meti.go.jp/English/statistics/data)); export and whole price indexes (“export price index” and “domestic whole price index”): Bank of Japan ([www2.boj.jp](http://www2.boj.jp)); exchange rates: CD Rom of the IFS, FMI (time series “158..RF.ZF..”). Data of capacity utilization were not found for the homologous Japanese industries 311, 313, 331, and 342. Then, 311 and 331 were replaced by “Other manufacturing”; 313 by “Manufacturing (exc. machinery industry)”; and 342 by “pulp, paper and paper products.” Also, the export price index for industries 321, 331, and 354 were not available. They were replaced by the respective whole price indexes; and, costs and their weights: Input-Output Accounts 2000, Ministry of International Affairs and Communications (Statistics Bureau). Since the unit labor cost index was not available in a monthly frequency, we calculate it as explained above. The names of the series used are, respectively, “Wages MFG”, “Production index”, “Employment (MFG)”. The sources for these series are Statistics Bureau-Labor Force Survey and Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry. All the cost series, except raw materials, were seasonally adjusted.

## UNITED STATES

Capacity utilization: Economic Time Series Page ([www.economagic.com](http://www.economagic.com)); export and whole price indexes (“export price index” and “domestic whole price index”): Bureau of Labor Statistics ([www.bls.gov](http://www.bls.gov)); exchange rates: CD Rom of the IFS, FMI (time series “111..RF.ZF..”); and, costs and their weights: Input-Output Accounts 2003, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Since the unit labor cost index was not available in a monthly frequency, we calculate it as explained above. The names of the series used are, respectively, “Average Weekly Earnings of Production Workers”, “Industrial Production Index”, and “Employment”. The sources for these series are BLS-Employment Statistics Survey and Federal Reserve-Statistical Release. All the cost series, except raw materials, were seasonally adjusted.

### APPENDIX 3 UNIT ROOT TESTS

Table A3.1  
Dickey-Fuller Tests <sup>a/</sup>

	Series	Statistic	Lagged differences*	Ljung box**	Critical value (5%)***	Integration order
Intercept and trend	P <sub>m1</sub>	-2.1833	8	0.973	-3.4620	I(1)
	P <sub>m2</sub>	-2.3061	7	0.782	-3.4614	I(1)
	P <sub>m3</sub>	-1.7780	0	0.898	-3.4576	I(1)
	P <sub>m4</sub>	-2.2984	10	0.975	-3.4632	I(1)
	P <sub>m5</sub>	-1.5983	3	0.774	-3.4591	I(1)
	P <sub>m6</sub>	-3.3262	7	0.613	-3.4614	I(1)
	P <sub>m7</sub>	-1.9446	2	0.827	-3.4586	I(1)
	P <sub>m8</sub>	-1.7934	0	0.673	-3.4576	I(1)
	P <sub>m9</sub>	-2.0858	1	0.473	-3.4581	I(1)
	P <sub>m10</sub>	-1.7751	12	0.634	-3.4645	I(1)
	P <sub>m11</sub>	-0.8794	6	0.972	-3.4608	I(1)
	P <sub>m12</sub>	-2.4165	7	0.443	-3.4614	I(1)
	P <sub>m13</sub>	-2.4255	11	0.949	-3.4639	I(1)
	P <sub>m14</sub>	-2.2000	1	0.998	-3.4581	I(1)
P <sub>c1</sub>	-0.4627	5	0.842	-3.4602	I(1)	
P <sub>c2</sub>	-0.8126	2	0.458	-3.4586	I(1)	
P <sub>c3</sub>	-0.8003	10	0.808	-3.4632	I(1)	
P <sub>c4</sub>	0.0139	12	0.943	-3.4645	I(1)	
P <sub>c5</sub>	-0.0616	7	0.951	-3.4614	I(1)	
P <sub>c6</sub>	-3.8265	2	0.819	-3.4586	I(0)	
P <sub>c7</sub>	-0.3867	12	0.924	-3.4645	I(1)	
P <sub>c8</sub>	-2.6968	11	0.916	-3.4639	I(1)	
P <sub>c9</sub>	-2.6403	12	0.927	-3.4645	I(1)	
P <sub>c10</sub>	-1.3870	12	0.931	-3.4645	I(1)	
P <sub>c11</sub>	-0.4690	7	0.938	-3.4614	I(1)	
P <sub>c12</sub>	-2.5133	12	0.693	-3.4645	I(1)	
P <sub>c13</sub>	-2.9962	11	0.916	-3.4639	I(1)	
P <sub>c14</sub>	-2.7212	12	0.689	-3.4645	I(1)	



	Series	Statistic	Lagged differences*	Ljung box**	Critical value (5%)***	Integration order
Intercept and no trend	C <sub>1</sub> *	-2.2933	6	0.418	-2.8943	I(1)
	C <sub>2</sub> *	-1.4140	1	0.81	-2.8925	I(1)
	C <sub>3</sub> *	-2.6186	2	0.988	-2.8928	I(1)
	C <sub>4</sub> *	-1.1153	0	0.878	-2.8922	I(1)
	C <sub>5</sub> *	-1.9123	11	0.951	-2.8963	I(1)
	C <sub>6</sub> *	-2.5505	2	0.992	-2.8928	I(1)
	C <sub>7</sub> *	-1.6500	0	0.492	-2.8922	I(1)
	C <sub>8</sub> *	-1.8626	7	0.961	-2.8947	I(1)
	C <sub>9</sub> *	-1.9262	1	0.527	-2.8925	I(1)
	C <sub>10</sub> *	-2.1926	0	0.675	-2.8922	I(1)
	C <sub>11</sub> *	-2.8400	11	0.588	-2.8963	I(1)
Intercept and trend	C <sub>12</sub> *	-3.4479	0	0.997	-3.4576	I(1)
Intercept and no trend	C <sub>13</sub> *	-3.2522	0	1	-3.4576	I(1)
	C <sub>14</sub> *	-1.3499	0	0.575	-2.8922	I(1)
	CU <sub>1</sub> *	-1.1331	1	0.997	-2.8925	I(1)
	CU <sub>2</sub> *	-2.0763	0	0.791	-2.8922	I(1)
	CU <sub>3</sub> *	-0.6865	9	0.571	-2.8955	I(1)
	CU <sub>4</sub> *	-0.7273	0	0.553	-2.8922	I(1)
	CU <sub>5</sub> *	-0.4855	6	0.837	-2.8943	I(1)
	CU <sub>6</sub> *	-2.1722	1	0.642	-2.8925	I(1)
	CU <sub>7</sub> *	-1.7034	6	0.993	-2.8943	I(1)
	CU <sub>8</sub> *	-1.4900	2	0.8158	-2.8928	I(1)
	CU <sub>9</sub> *	-0.5305	4	0.726	-2.8936	I(1)
	CU <sub>10</sub> *	-0.8058	6	0.936	-2.8943	I(1)
	CU <sub>11</sub> *	-1.0566	1	0.521	-2.8925	I(1)
	CU <sub>12</sub> *	-0.1213	3	0.817	-2.8932	I(1)
CU <sub>13</sub> *	-0.8833	6	0.794	-2.8943	I(1)	
CU <sub>14</sub> *	-4.7906	0	0.979	-2.8922	I(1)	
Intercept and trend	e	-1.6693	5	0.812	-3.4602	I(1)

\* To assure that the error is white noise.

\*\* The p-values for the Ljung-Box are given for 24 lags.

\*\*\* Mackinnon critical values for rejection of hypothesis of a unit root is been used.

a/ All variables are in natural logs.

Source: authors estimations.